ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 456–ASSEMBLYMAN PERKINS

MARCH 10, 1999

Referred to Committee on Judiciary

SUMMARY—Makes various changes concerning custody of children. (BDR 11-1301)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No. Effect on the State or on Industrial Insurance: No.

~

EXPLANATION - Matter in bolded italics is new; matter between brackets [omitted material] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to domestic relations; prohibiting a court from awarding custody to or rights to visitation to a parent who is convicted of murder of the first degree for the murder of the other parent of a child under certain circumstances; establishing a presumption that custody of a child by a person who has engaged in certain acts of domestic violence is not in the best interest of the child; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

- Section 1. Chapter 125A of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto the provisions set forth as sections 2 and 3 of this act.
- Sec. 2. If a parent of a child is convicted of murder of the first degree for the murder of the other parent of the child:
- 1. A court shall not enter an order awarding custody to or rights to visitation with the child to the parent so convicted, unless the court determines that it is in the best interest of the child to do so or the child
- 8 who is the subject of the order is of suitable age to signify his assent and9 assents to the order.
- 2. Until the court makes a determination pursuant to subsection 1, no person may bring the child into the presence of the parent so
- 12 convicted without the consent of the legal guardian or custodian of the 13 child.
- 14 Sec. 3. 1. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 125A.360 and
- 15 section 2 of this act, a determination by the court after an evidentiary
- 16 hearing and finding by clear and convincing evidence that either parent
- or any other person seeking custody of a child has engaged in one or

1 more acts of domestic violence against the child, a parent of the child or 2 any other person residing with the child creates a rebuttable presumption 3 that sole or joint custody of the child by the perpetrator of the domestic 4 violence is not in the best interest of the child. Upon making such a determination, the court shall set forth:

- (a) Findings of fact that support the determination that one or more acts of domestic violence occurred; and
- (b) Findings that the custody or visitation arrangement ordered by the court adequately protects the child and the parent or other victim of domestic violence who resided with the child.
- If after an evidentiary hearing held pursuant to subsection 1 the 12 court determines that more than one party has engaged in acts of 13 domestic violence, it shall, if possible, determine which person was the primary physical aggressor. In determining which party was the primary physical aggressor for the purposes of this section, the court shall consider:
 - (a) All prior acts of domestic violence involving any of the parties;
 - (b) The relative severity of the injuries, if any, inflicted upon the persons involved in those prior acts of domestic violence;
 - (c) The likelihood of future injury;

10

11

16

17

18

20

21

30

36

- (d) Whether, during the prior acts, one of the parties acted in selfdefense; and 22
- (e) Any other factors that the court deems relevant to the 23 24 determination.
- In such a case, if it is not possible for the court to determine which party 26 is the primary physical aggressor, the presumption created pursuant to subsection 1 applies to each of the parties. If it is possible for the court to determine which party is the primary physical aggressor, the presumption created pursuant to subsection 1 applies only to the party determined by the court to be the primary physical aggressor.
- 3. As used in this section, "domestic violence" means the commission 31 of any act described in NRS 33.018. 32
- Sec. 4. Chapter 432B of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto the 33 34 provisions set forth as sections 5 and 6 of this act. 35
 - Sec. 5. If a parent of a child is convicted of murder of the first degree for the murder of the other parent of the child:
- 1. A court shall not enter an order awarding custody to or rights to 37 visitation with the child to the parent so convicted, unless the court determines that it is in the best interest of the child to do so or the child who is the subject of the order is of suitable age to signify his assent and 41 assents to the order. A court, agency, institution or other person who

places a child in protective custody shall not release a child to the custody of a parent convicted of murder of the first degree for the murder of the 3 other parent of the child.

- Until the court makes a determination pursuant to subsection 1, no person may bring the child into the presence of the parent so convicted without the consent of the legal guardian or custodian of the child.
- Sec. 6. 1. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 125A.360 and section 5 of this act, a determination by the court after an evidentiary hearing and finding by clear and convincing evidence that either parent or any other person seeking custody of a child has engaged in one or 12 more acts of domestic violence against the child, a parent of the child or 13 any other person residing with the child creates a rebuttable presumption 14 that it is not in the best interest of the child for the perpetrator of the 15 domestic violence to have custody of the child. Upon making such a determination, the court shall set forth:
 - (a) Findings of fact that support the determination that one or more acts of domestic violence occurred; and
 - (b) Findings that the custody or visitation arrangement ordered by the court adequately protects the child and the parent or other victim of domestic violence who resided with the child.
 - If after an evidentiary hearing held pursuant to subsection 1 the court determines that more than one party has engaged in acts of domestic violence, it shall, if possible, determine which person was the primary physical aggressor. In determining which party was the primary physical aggressor for the purposes of this section, the court shall consider:
 - (a) All prior acts of domestic violence involving any of the parties;
 - (b) The relative severity of the injuries, if any, inflicted upon the persons involved in those prior acts of domestic violence;
 - (c) The likelihood of future injury;

17

18

19

22

26

27

28 29

31

32

- (d) Whether, during the prior acts, one of the parties acted in self-33 defense; and
- (e) Any other factors that the court deems relevant to the 34 determination. 35
- In such a case, if it is not possible for the court to determine which party is the primary physical aggressor, the presumption created pursuant to
- subsection 1 applies to each of the parties. If it is possible for the court to
- determine which party is the primary physical aggressor, the presumption
- created pursuant to subsection 1 applies only to the party determined by the court to be the primary physical aggressor. 41
- 3. A court, agency, institution or other person who places a child in 42 protective custody shall not release a child to the custody of a person who

a court has determined pursuant to subsection 1 has engaged in one or
 more acts of domestic violence against the child, a parent of the child or
 any other person residing with the child unless:

4 (a) A court determines that it is in the best interest of the child for the perpetrator of the domestic violence to have custody of the child; or

- 6 (b) Pursuant to the provisions of subsection 2, the presumption
 7 created pursuant to subsection 1 does not apply to the person to whom
 8 the court releases the child.
- 9 4. As used in this section, "domestic violence" means the commission of any act described in NRS 33.018.

~