SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 8-SENATOR PORTER

MARCH 14, 2001

JOINT SPONSOR: ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN

Referred to Committee on Transportation

SUMMARY—Urges CANAMEX Corridor Coalition and Federal Highway Administration to consider alternative routes for certain segment of CANAMEX Trade Corridor. (BDR R-1245)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.

Effect on the State: Yes.

EXPLANATION - Matter in bolded italics is new; matter between brackets [omitted material] is material to be omitted.

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION-Urging the CANAMEX Corridor Coalition and the Federal Highway Administration to consider alternative routes for a certain segment of the CANAMEX Trade Corridor.

WHEREAS, The states of Arizona, Nevada, Utah, Idaho and Montana are working cooperatively to plan for the enhancement and promotion of the CANAMEX Trade Corridor, a continuous highway route that connects Canada and Mexico through portions of each of these states; and

WHEREAS, The CANAMEX Corridor Coalition, consisting of representatives from each of the five states, is responsible for managing the development of the CANAMEX Corridor Plan; and

WHEREAS, The portion of the Corridor consisting of Interstate Highway No. 15 extends from the border between Montana and Alberta to Las Vegas, and the Corridor south of Phoenix consists of portions of Interstate Highways No. 10 and No. 19; and

WHEREAS, Of the two alternative routes between Las Vegas, Nevada, and Kingman, Arizona, the route following U.S. Highway No. 95, Nevada state highway Route No. 163 and Arizona state highway Route No. 68, which passes through Laughlin, Nevada, and Bullhead City, Arizona, has many advantages and is superior to the existing plan, in which the route follows U.S. Highway No. 93, through Boulder City, Nevada, and over the Hoover Dam; and

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 WHEREAS, The key goal of the designation of the CANAMEX Trade Corridor is the development of a continuous four-lane highway from 20 Mexico City, Mexico, to Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, and both routes for



the segment of the Corridor between Las Vegas and Kingman will require
upgrades and are not in the system of interstate highways; and

WHEREAS, The Nevada Department of Transportation is planning to upgrade U.S. Highway No. 95 in southern Clark County to at least four lanes, and the remaining portions of the segment consisting of Nevada state highway Route No. 163 and Arizona state highway Route No. 68 also have, or are planned to have, four-lane status; and

WHEREAS, One purpose of the CANAMEX Trade Corridor is to provide "a broad economic development concept that fosters trade and provides an opportunity for accelerated economic growth throughout the region" of the Corridor; and

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

30

WHEREAS, The area that includes Laughlin and Bullhead City is a rapidly growing resort community and offers a wide variety of recreational attractions for visitors; and

WHEREAS, Increased traffic through Laughlin and Bullhead City would stimulate the economic development of each community by providing additional visitors and travelers to that area; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, JOINTLY, That the Nevada Legislature respectfully requests that the CANAMEX Corridor Coalition consider alternative routes to the route through Boulder City, Nevada, and over Hoover Dam and particularly consider the route that goes through Laughlin, Nevada, and Bullhead City, Arizona, as a part of the CANAMEX Trade Corridor; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Nevada Legislature also urges the Federal Highway Administration to consider, evaluate and include the route containing U.S. Highway No. 95, Nevada state highway Route No. 163 and Arizona state highway Route No. 68 through Laughlin and Bullhead City as an official alternative to the U.S. Highway No. 93 route over Hoover Dam in its planning for the upgrade of the CANAMEX Trade Corridor; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Secretary of the Senate prepare and transmit a copy of this resolution to the CANAMEX Corridor Coalition and to the Federal Highway Administration; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this resolution becomes effective upon passage.



