DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

S.B. 400

Testimony supporting S.B. 400
Presented May 7, 2003
Assembly Commerce Committee
David Goldwater, Chairman

Testimony submitted by Sprint of Nevada and SBC Nevada

ASSEMBLY COMMERCE & LABOR 1810

DATE: 5/7/03 ROOM: 4/00 EXHIBIT F
SUBMITTED BY: SPYINT & SBC

Enactment of S.B. 400 will benefit Nevada consumers by:

- discounts and other benefits in exciting new service packages to residential customers (Section 23(1)(b)). Eliminating current restrictions which limit our flexibility to offer
- 12(1)). options to business customers (Section 23(1)(c) and (Section Expediting roll-out of new telecommunications price and service
- volume discounts, as well as new service packages, to business Authorizing Sprint of Nevada and SBC Nevada to offer term and customers (Section 23(1)(c)).

S.B. 400 benefits the State of Nevada by encouraging additional investment in Nevada and growth in telecommunications

- access to the Internet (Section 8(1)). companies, and satellite firms providing broadband services, such as high speed by creating equality in regulatory treatment for telephone companies, cable TV S.B. 400 incents additional investment in Nevada telecommunications infrastructure
- broadband services. Their broadband cable modem products have similar functionality to telephone company DSL broadband Today, cable TV companies have majority of customers for residential
- FCC Cable TV companies broadband services are NOT regulated by PUC or
- assignment of regulatory responsibility regulated by the FCC, not the PUC. S.B.400 maintains this current Today, Sprint and SBC broadband (DSL) service is tariffed with and

S.B. 400 continues current PUC regulation of basic

residential rates.

- Nevada has some of the lowest basic residential rates in the nation:
- Sprint of Nevada: \$ 10.40 per month.
- SBC Nevada: \$10.75 per month.
- S.B. 400 protects the low basic residential rates currently paid by Nevada consumers
- S.B. 400 preserves the status of R1 as basic service (Section 15).
- S.B. 400 does not allow any basic residential rate increases. Under existing law (NRS 704.110), Sprint of Nevada and SBC Nevada must file a general rate case with the PUC to adjust basic residential rates. This protection continues following enactment of S.B. 400.

S.B. 400 includes additional consumer protections

income residential consumers: Today, Sprint of Nevada and SBC Nevada offer "Lifeline" service to low

 SBC Nevada 	 Sprint of Nevada 	
\$ 5.70	\$ 5.77	Lifeline Rate
11,970	26,964	# of Lifeline Customers

Lifeline rates will continue unchanged by S.B. 400

the only telephone companies which offer the low Liteline rates to Nevada consumers Note: Sprint of Nevada, SBC Nevada and other Nevada ILECs are

with services provided by Sprint of Nevada and SBC Nevada can bring their complaints to the PUC. This is not changed by S.B. 400 Today, Nevada residential and business consumers who have problems

Will S.B. 400 will raise basic residential rates?

- subject to PUC review. NO: under SB 400, basic residential rates are still
- Under S.B. 400, Sprint of Nevada, and now SBC Nevada, are required to file periodic rate cases to ensure their prices are "just and reasonable
- 1 and prices of services have the right to engage in substantial discovery, and to challenge the structure design. The PUC, BCP and other parties (including CLECs and retail customers) and other parties, have 180 days to review the companies earnings and rate In rate cases, the PUC, the Attorney General's Office of Consumer Protection,
- Nothing in S.B. 400 changes these current PUC rate case requirements.

provision of network elements and services to CLECs under the federal Telecommunications Act The PUC received explicit authority to regulate ILEC's

standards on the ILECs' provision of local In 1996, the U.S. Congress enacted the under the Telecom Act is not diminished by S.B. 400. PUC's authority to continue to exercise jurisdiction telecommunications infrastructure to CLECs. The SBC, specifically the jurisdiction to enforce federal PUCs a new source of jurisdiction to regulate Sprint and telecommunications competition. This bill gave the state Telecommunications Act, to help develop local

Nevada, especially in the market for business services Under the 1996 Telecom Act, local competition is developing today in

- Today, Nevada CLECs serve over 200,000 customer lines.
- other than Sprint of Nevada and SBC Nevada. customers with facilities of their own, or facilities obtained from companies Sprint of Nevada and SBC Nevada. CLECs serve their remaining Today, Nevada CLECs purchase over 100,000 lines (unbundled loops) from
- Today, Nevada CLECs serve about 30% of the statewide business market.

S.B. 400 adds to the protections mandated by the 1996 Telecom Act.

- 400 includes several sections to protect local competition: In addition to the PUC's federally-mandated jurisdiction under the Telecom Act, S.B
- federal 1996 Telecommunications Act and FCC regulations continue to provide CLECs with unbundled elements and resold services at Section 8(2): Ensures that Sprint of Nevada and SBC Nevada will have to prices, terms and conditions regulated by the Nevada PUC, as authorized by the
- service pricing or terms. anticompetitive act or unreasonable discrimination, in exercising flexibility in Section 7: Prohibits Sprint of Nevada and SBC Nevada from engaging in any
- Section 28: Preserves existing Nevada law stating that the PUC will enforce the obligations of Sprint of Nevada and SBC Nevada under the 1996 Telecom Act to provide unbundled network elements and resold services to CLECs
- complaint proceedings for any complaint submitted by a CLEC alleging violation by Sprint of Nevada or SBC Nevada of ANY provision of NRS 703 and 704. This section is NOT affected by S.B. 400 And, existing law (NRS 704.282) requires the Nevada PUC to conduct expedited

Why should the Nevada legislature approve S.B. 400?

S.B. 400:

- Provides benefits to residential customers, including better packages of telecommunications services, and continuing PUC review of basic rates
- customers, more efficiently than current procedures Provides better price and service options and flexibility to business
- economy Encourages continuing investment in Nevada telecommunications infrastructure, which is needed to support development of the Nevada

would be happy to respond to any questions from the Committee Thank you for the opportunity to present this testimony. We