DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

AB 436

Madam Chairwoman, for the record, I am Don Gustavson representing Assembly District 30, Washoe County and portions of Sparks and Reno.

Thank you for allowing me the time to present this bill.

A constituent suggested AB 435 to me and I thought that it was a great idea.

How many times do our constituents or friends ask us about a ballot question?

I know that I am asked all the time.

Ballots questions are sometimes vague or not fully understood by many.

What AB 436 would do, is to help our voters have a better understanding of ballot questions.

This would be accomplished by having every question for a constitutional amendment or statewide measure proposed by initiative or referendum be accompanied by an

"Argument in Favor", with a "Rebuttal to that Argument in Favor" and an "Argument in Opposition" with a "Rebuttal to that Argument in "Opposition" just as it is already required for county and city ballots questions.

This would require the Secretary of State to form two committees just as the counties and cities do now. One would be to prepare an "Argument in Favor" of the ballot question and prepare the "Rebuttal to the Argument in Opposition."

The other committee would prepare the "Argument in Opposition" and the "Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor".

The other part of this bill would require that all arguments for and against and the rebuttals list the names of the persons, organizations or governmental entities that assisted in writing such explanations.

Madam Chairwoman, members of the committee, thank you for your time. I will be happy to take any questions.