

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.



RE: AB274

Dear Sirs:

We respectfully submit ^{our} opposition to AB274 as presented.

As a 55+ senior housing provider, who does not offer assisted living, our stance is that a 60 Day Notice would not be in the best interest of the resident.

Service of the existing 30 Day Notice typically transpires as a need for the resident to relocate due to health issues. Quite often, our residents are challenged by diminishing health. Either they have no family members to assist them, or their family won't assist and we, as managers, are placed in an awkward position. It is at ~~these~~ ^{live this} times we involve the Adult Protective Services and/or the Nevada Division of Aging, who will assess the resident and determine their ability to care for themselves. Frequently, the resident is resistant to their recommendation and this is when the 30 Day Notice is served. This entire process usually transpires over 3-6 months. The resident, in the mean time, is at risk of injuring themselves or others until they receive the care they require.

Prolonging the notice period to 60 days enhances this risk. & puts our residents in harms way.

^{therefore} For the health of our seniors, we ask that you deny AB274.

Sincerely,

Carrie Barone
Vice President
Carefree Senior Living

ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY

DATE: 3/21/03 ROOM: 3138 EXHIBIT F

SUBMITTED BY: CARRIE BARRONE