

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

SB222 Testimony

GOOD AFTERNOON, FOR THE RECORD MY
NAME IS TOM CIESYNSKI, CHIEF ACCOUNTANT
FOR THE WASHOE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT.

I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS A NUMBER OF
FISCAL CONCERNS THAT RELATE TO THIS BILL:

1. ARBITRAGE REBATE – ARBITRAGE IS THE
MAXIMUM INTEREST THAT THE INTERNAL
REVENUE SERVICE ALLOWS AN ENTITY TO
EARN ON BOND PROCEEDS. THE CONCERN
HERE IS THAT THIS BILL WOULD NOW HAVE
THE DISTRICT AND ONE OR MORE CHARTER
SCHOOLS SHARE BOND PROCEEDS. THE
QUESTION BECOMES HOW TO ACCOUNT FOR
MUTIPLE EARNERS OF INTEREST AND WHO IS
ULTIMATELY RESPONSIBLE FOR REBATE
CALCULATIONS AND PAYMENTS TO THE IRS,
ESPECIALLY IF A CHARTER SCHOOL CLOSES.

2. BOND RATINGS - WHEN BONDS ARE ISSUED, RATING AGENCIES REVIEW THE CREDIT WORTHINESS OF THE ENTITY FOR WHOM THE BONDS ARE ISSUED. IF AN ENTITY HAS A POOR FINANCIAL HISTORY, THE BOND RATINGS ARE DOWNGRADED AND BONDS ARE ISSUED AT HIGHER INTEREST COSTS TO THE TAXPAYERS. THIS IS A HIGH CONCERN FOR OUR DISTRICT, GIVEN THAT SOME CHARTER SCHOOLS HAVE HAD AND CONTINUE TO HAVE, WEAK FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT HISTORIES.

3. DEBT COVENANTS - WHEN DEBT IS ISSUED, RULES GOVERNING HOW DEBT IS USED AND REPAYED MUST BE FOLLOWED. THE CONCERN HERE IS THAT IF A CHARTER SCHOOL FAILS TO FOLLOW DEBT COVENANTS, THE DISTRICT'S DEBT COULD BE CONSIDERED IN VIOLATION OF FAILING TO FOLLOW RULES, FOR ACTIONS THEY HAD NO CONTROL OF.

4. INSURANCE - PROVIDING THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF INSURANCE FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS FINANCED BY BOND PROCEEDS IS ANOTHER CONCERN. OUR DISTRICT HAS IN PLACE THE APPROPRIATE INSURANCE FOR THEIR PROJECTS, BUT THE QUESTION IS DOES A CHARTER SCHOOL HAVE THIS INSURANCE AND IF THE FAILS TO GET IT, WHAT IS THE POTENTIAL EXPOSURE FOR THE IMPACTED PROJECT.

5. BOND REFUNDINGS - IF A DISTRICT CHOOSES TO REFUND DEBT TO SAVE TAXPAYERS INTEREST, THIS BILL DOES NOT CLEARLY PROVIDE THAT THE REFUNDED PROCEEDS WILL GO TO PAY FOR THE PAST DEBT. (IE REFUNDED PROCEEDS COULD BE ALLOCATED TO A CHARTER SCHOOL OR SCHOOLS). THIS WOULD IMPAIR THE COST SAVINGS EFFORT OF A DISTRICT, IF THIS WERE THE CASE.

IN CLOSING, THESE ARE SOME OF THE MORE
COMPELLING FISCAL ISSUES THAT RELATE TO
SB222. PLEASE LET ME EMPHASIZE THAT THE
FAILURE TO PROPERLY ADMINISTER BOND
PROCEEDS, AS DISCUSSED IN POINTS ABOVE,
WILL PROBABLY COST A DISTRICT ITS TAX
EXEMPT STATUS ON BONDS, WHICH WILL COST
TAXPAYERS MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. PLEASE
TAKE THESE ISSUES INTO CONSIDERATION IN
YOUR DELIBERATIONS AND THANK YOU FOR
YOUR TIME TODAY.