DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

Nevada Senate Judiciary, Room 2149

Re: **SB 316**

Testimony for Juanita Cox, representing—Citizens In Action, People Organized for the Next Generation.

Chairman and members of the Judiciary, I am Juanita Cox and today I am representing Citizens In Action and People Organized for the Next Generation.

"Misdemeanor" Search Warrant

I am here today because Nevada law is not clear about it's Search Warrants. I am asking what is the intent of this legislative body in regards to a "Misdemeanor" Search Warrant?

I had never heard of one before the year 2000 but at that time I saw two and with further research. I found many. See my examples attached. I sent three requests to the Washoe County District Attorney asking what was a MISDEMEANOR Search Warrant? I never received a reply. I asked the Honorable Supreme Court Justice Rose and he had never heard of one. My investigation found there were FELONY Search Warrants and ADMINISTRATIVE Search Warrants but never a MISDEMEANOR Search Warrant.

I found a Nevada Attorney General Opinion (No. 79-2) which stated that the *district* court has jurisdiction to issue warrants in other than criminal cases and such warrants must be directed to and executed by the sheriff. But...these "MISDEMEANOR" search warrants were directed to non-peace officers as defined under who is and who is not a peace officer—NRS 169.125.

NRS 179.045, subsection 5(a), provides that a criminal search warrant must be directed to a peace officer. Therefore, warrants issued pursuant to NRS 179.015—179.115 in criminal proceedings must be directed to and executed by a peace officer and not the department or its inspectors as shown in my examples. These "MISDEMEANOR" search warrants were used like an administrative search warrant but were issued from a Justice of the Peace and not from a District Court as directed.

Does this legislative body believe a misdemeanor is such an awful "CRIME" in Nevada that should have Search Warrant power equal to a FELONY? Or...should a misdemeanor "CRIME" believed to be a problem by inspectional agencies use the ADMINISTRATIVE process as directed by law?

The absence of legislation governing the procedure surrounding the issuance of these search warrants in other than criminal felony cases necessarily makes Nevada law and the courts that issue such warrants somewhat speculative as to who should appropriately execute them if "criminal" or "crime" is not defined.

EXHIBIT I Committee on Judiciary

Date: 03/27/03 Page / of 13

The issuance of search warrants is governed by the strictures of the Fourth Amendment and is also subject to whatever statutory control exists. My suggested amendment to this bill is to define a crime in this section, as to those crimes defined as a felony. This will make clear to all, that Nevada will conform to the rest of the U.S.A.

"Probable cause"

It is also disturbing to see the language of "probable cause" (see definition below) stricken (see the former (a)) and the lesser language "offenses alleged to have been committed" inserted. Why? What is wrong with the stronger language of probable cause?

Thank you for taking my recommendations. I would be happy to take any questions.

Probable cause. Reasonable cause; having more evidence for than against. A reasonable ground for belief in certain alleged facts. A set of probabilities grounded in the factual and practical considerations which govern the decisions of reasonable and prudent persons and is more than mere suspicion but less than the quantum of evidence required for conviction. *U.S. v. Riemer, D.C. Ohio*, 392 F.Supp. 1291, 1294. An apparent state of facts found to exist upon reasonable inquiry (that is, such inquiry as the given case renders convenient and proper), which would induce a reasonably intelligent and prudent man to believe, in a criminal case, that the accused person had committed the crime charged, or, in a civil case, that a cause of action existed. *Cook v. Singer Sewing Mach. Co.*, 138 Cal.App. 418, 32 P.2d 430, 431.

Arrest, search and seizure. Reasonable grounds for belief that a person should be arrested or searched. The evidentiary criterion necessary to sustain an arrest or the issuance of an arrest or search warrant. "Probable cause" to arrest exists where facts and circumstances within officers' knowledge and of which they had reasonably trustworthy information are sufficient in themselves to warrant a person of reasonable caution in the belief that an offense has been or is being committed; it is not necessary that the officer possess knowledge of facts sufficient to establish guilt, but more than mere suspicion is required. State v Phillips, 67 Hawaii 535, 696 P.2d 346, 350. Probable cause is the existence of circumstances which would lead a reasonably prudent man to believe in guilt of arrested party; mere suspicion or belief, unsupported by facts or circumstances, is insufficient. State v Jones, 248 Or. 428, 435 P.2d 317, 319. It permits an officer to arrest one for a felony without a warrant. Probable cause justifying officer's arrest without warrant has been defined as situation where officer has more evidence favoring suspicion that person is guilty of crime than evidence against such suspicion, but there is some room for doubt. Nugent v. Superior Court for San Mateo County, 254 C.A.2d 420, 62 Cal. Rptr. 217, 221.

Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Ed.

§ 29. Classification of misdemeanors and petty offenses

At common law two classes of misdemeanors were recognized; those of a heinous nature, which might be punished corporally, and those not heinous. The terms "gross misdemeanor," "high misdemeanor," and the like, are of statutory origin, invented to permit a like distinction between petty offenses and those of a more serious nature. However, the distinction between high and low misdemeanors is not uniformly observed.

Minor offenses triable summarily by a magistrate without a jury have been deemed to be distinct from misdemeanors³⁰ and to constitute a separate class of offenses, below the grade of misdemeanor.⁴⁰ In some jurisdictions such petty misdemeanors are not even deemed criminal offenses.⁴¹

Federal law terms certain types of misdemeanors and infractions to be petty offenses.48

E. Particular Acts or Omissions as Punishable Offenses [§§ 30-33]

Research References

ALR Digest: Criminal Law § 1

ALR Index: Alcoholics and Alcoholism; Character and Reputation; Criminal Law;

Omissions; Status

West Digest Key Numbers: Criminal Law = 26

§ 30. Generally; acts based on reputation or association with others

Generally speaking, the state may not punish a person on the basis of his or her reputation. Statutes making criminal the accused's reputation in connection with certain kinds of activities or violations are generally held to be invalid as a deprivation of liberty without due process of law in violation of federal and state constitutional guarantees. Likewise, statutes punishing a person's mere

imprisonment either in a county jail or in a state prison is called a "wobbler," in which case the characterization of the crime is dependent upon the actual punishment imposed; when the defendant is sentenced to state prison, the offense is a felony, and when the defendant is sentenced to county jail, the offense is a "misdemeanor").

See also State v. Shlionsky, 184 Ariz. 631, 911 P.2d 637 (Ct. App. Div. 2 1996) (involving a statute permitting the court to defer characterization of some offenses as felonies or misdemeanors until the defendant's term of probation following conviction therefor has ended).

36. State v. Kelly, 218 Minn. 247, 15 N.W.2d 554, 162 A.L.R. 477 (1944).

37. State v. Kelly, 218 Minn. 247, 15 N.W.2d 554, 162 A.L.R. 477 (1944).

38. Ex parte Westenberg, 167 Cal. 309, 139 P. 674 (1914).

39. People v. Grogan, 260 N.Y. 138, 183 N.E. 273, 86 A.L.R. 1266 (1932) (disorderly conduct, breach of the peace, etc.).

40. People ex rel. Cooley v. Wilder, 234 A.D. 256, 255 N.Y.S. 218 (4th Dep't 1932).

41. State v. Aanerud, 374 N.W.2d 491 (Minn. Ct. App. 1985).

42. 18 USCA § 19.

43. Spilotro v. State, ex rel. Nevada Gaming Com'n, 99 Nev. 187, 661 P.2d 467 (1983).

The government cannot convict someone of being a bad person. U.S. v. Waechter, 771 F.2d 974 (6th Cir. 1985).

44. People v. Belcastro, 356 Ill. 144, 190 N.E. 301, 92 A.L.R. 1223 (1934) (a statute making subject to conviction as vagabonds all persons reputed to be habitual violators of the criminal laws of the state or United States or habitually

Am Jur 2d

been held une

§ 31. Acts b:

Despite the certain atypic times been dethan in terms the law seem should be hell violative of the punishment is an act.

Obsewould precede: ing addition havish the has faile becoming

32. Crim
A crime r

to carry specificates, companireputed to be laws operates liberty without the federal and

45. Ex parte (1896) (association of being agree to com town v. Christ (1917) (male reputed prost

46. § 4.

47. As to the offense, see 7'

48. Steffan Rep. 32, 69 (D.C. Cir.] criminal pun ties or status,

Status alo tute a crime, an offense in offense is in victed only for Profit v. City App. 1980).

> 49. Ander 4r. 1995).

	IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF REND TOWNSHIP,	
_	IN THE SOUTHER COUNTY OF WASHOE, STATE OF NEVADA.	
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MADRIOLY CLITTLE OF MADRIOLS	
3		
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	
5	FOR A SEARCH WARRANT.	
6		
7	SEARCH WARRANT	
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA, TO ANY PEACE OFFICER IN THE COUNTY OF WASHOE:	
9		
0	Proof by Affidavit having been made this date before me	
.1	by Kebecca Tackett, of the WASHOE COUNTY CODE	
. 2	ENFORCEMENT , Washoe County, Nevada, that there is	
L3	probable cause to believe that the crime(s) of <u>DUTDOOR STORAGE</u> /	
L 4	DISPLAY OCCUPATION OF RECREATIONAL VEHICLE, INDUSTRIAL USE OF	İ
15		
16	STURAGE OF SOLID WASTE 110.306.35, 110.310.35, 110.304.30(j), 050.172	15
17	CONDERINA	
18	and that evidence of the crime(s) Thotograph the land, open	
19	The following the deleganishing of wests Starred look inside	
2(1. Or wallow a unread send rare the purpose of illegal acroniancy	•
2		
2:		
2		
	parcel of land that could house a	
2	thereto	
2	$\alpha: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha $	
2	described as 2355 Plute, Rd. Reno, IW. Creek A	
	I-4 = +a5/01039.	

	in Washoe County, Nevada;
	() a vehicle, described as
	which is presently located at
	in Washoe County, Nevada;
	() a container, described as
	which is/are presently located at
	in Washoe County, Nevada.
	YOU ARE THEREFORE DIRECTED to make a complete search within
	the exterior boundaries of the location and items described
	above, including any containers therein, whether locked or
	unlocked, which could reasonably contain the evidence to be
	searched for, and if the evidence is found, to seize it, make a
	written inventory of the same, and bring the inventory forthwith
;	before me at the above Court.
5	$(\cancel{ u})$ Serve this Warrant between the hours of 7:00 a.m.
,	and 7:00 p.m.
3	() Good cause appearing, serve this Warrant at any
,	time.
٥	DATED this 20th day of April , 2020.
1	The first of the second of the
2	JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
3	1 To the same of t
4	1 25/2/00
5	
26	T-5
	1-3

RETURN

<u> </u>	
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY and return that I received the annexed
3	Search Warrant on the 27th day of 11 pril , 2000;
4	that I executed the same by making said search of the premises
5	commonly designated as 2355 Fruit Creek Road
6	7 NO NO
7	bet woon said search I seized the
8	following item(s): multiple photos to len of
9	the property
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
1	
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	.9
2	described in the annexed Search Warrant.
:	DATED this 27th day of 100.
	$0 + \frac{1}{2}$
	Code Enforcement Officer
	24 Code Enforcement Officer
	25
	I^{-6}
	- 3 - 3 -

'99 OCT 13 A8:28

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE. STATE IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION FOR A SEARCH WARRANT. 5 6 DUPLICATE ORIGINAL SEARCH WARRANT 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA. TO ANY PEACE OFFICER IN THE COUNTY OF 9 WASHOE: Proof by Affidavir having been made this date before 10 McCullogh. of the W.C. Blog & Satety 11 Washoe County, Nevada, that there 12 is probable cause to believe that the crime(s) of no celm 13 14 15 wcc 110.306.10 - 110.306.35, inc his ive MISDEMERNOR. felony violations of MRS WCC 120. 16 17 has/have been committed b DARKAL PCARK to wit. 18 and that evidence of the crime(s), 19 lence of environmental, hazardous or toxic waste 20 21 Indicia tax assessment violations be present for property 22 is/are presently located, concealed and/or hidden on or within 23 a residence, and its surrounding premises and curtilage 24 including sheds, outbuildings and areas appurtenant thereto,

26 1 //

. II	described as 5400 Bony Springs, (Assessor's Parcel # 077-
2	170-18). Palomino Valley in Washoe County, Nevada:
3	() a vehicle, described as
	which is presently located at
4	
5	in Washoe County, Nevada;
6	() a container, described as
7	•
8	which is/are presently located at
9	in Washoe County, Nevada:
10	YOU ARE THEREFORE DIRECTED to make a complete search
11	within the exterior boundaries of the location and items.
12	described above including any containers therein whether locked
13	or unlocked which could reasonably contain the evidence to be
14	searched for, and if the evidence is found, to seize it, make a
15	written inventory of the same, and to bring the inventory
16	forthwith before me at the above Court.
17	Serve this Warrant between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and
18	7:00 p.m.
19	
20	DATED this 8th day of Cotobek , 1919.
21	Q 1/000
22	
23	TENCE OFFICE ON TASSOCIAL
24	7-3-
25	TIME: WITNESS
26	I-8

12.

I-9

RETURN

2	I HEREBY CERTIFY and return that I received the
3	annoyed Search Warrant on the day of October
4	1999; that I executed the same by making said search of the
5	premises commonly designated as 5400 Pony Springs
6	APN # 077-170-18
7	, Washoe County, Nevada; that upon said
8	search I seized the following items:
9	
10	No items seized.
11	
i2	
13	
14	
15	
· 16	
17	
18	
1!	
2	0
2	1.
2	described in the annexed Search Warrant.
:	DATED this 11 day of October, 1999.
:	24
	T-10 Milail M. Salloy
	26
	u .

- 11	·
1	IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF RENO TOWNSHIP,
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE, STATE OF NEVADA.
3	* * *
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
5	FOR A SEARCH WARRANT.
6	7 24 109
7	SEARCH WARRANT
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA, TO ANY PEACE OFFICER IN THE COUNTY OF WASHOE:
9	WASHOE:
10	Proof by Affidavit having been made this date before me
11	by Officer Rebecca Tackett, of the Washoe County Community
12	Development Zoning Division, Washoe County, Nevada, that there is
13	probable cause to believe that the crime(s) of Grading Without &
14	Permit Building Constructed without a Permit, Living in a Recreational
15	Velicle Without a Permit
16	follows violations of NRS 100.010 , 110.310.35
17	has/have been committed by Susan Seid!
18	and that evidence of the crime(s) videotype of the property al
19	structures, photograph he project and structures, indicin of avnertip
20	and occupancy, permits to building shockers
21	
22	
23	is/are presently located, concealed and/or hidden on or within a parcel of lad that could house ~
24	$(\cancel{\checkmark})$ a residence and its surrounding premises and curtilage
25	including sheds, outbuildings and areas appurtenant thereto,
26	described as 2400 Pinte (reck Road Reno

1	in Washoe County, Nevada;
2	() a vehicle, described as
3	which is presently located at
4	in Washoe County, Nevada;
5	() a container, described as
6	
7	which is/are presently located at
8	in Washoe County, Nevada.
9	YOU ARE THEREFORE DIRECTED to make a complete search within
10	the exterior boundaries of the location and items described
11	above, including any containers therein, whether locked or
12	unlocked, which could reasonably contain the evidence to be
13	searched for, and if the evidence is found, to seize it, make a
14	written inventory of the same, and bring the inventory forthwith
15	before me at the above Court.
16	(\underline{X}) Serve this Warrant between the hours of 7:00 a.m.
17	and 7:00 p.m.
18	() Good cause appearing, serve this Warrant at any
19	time.
20	DATED this day of May, 2000.
21	Market James James
22	JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
23	
24	

I-12

.	<u>RETURN</u>
	I HEREBY CERTIFY and return that I received the annexed
,	Search Warrant on the 27nd day of May, 2000;
1	that I executed the same by making said search of the premises
5	commonly designated as 2400 Piute Creek Rd, Rong N
6	
7	Washoe County, Nevada; that upon said search I seized the
8	following item(s): lock to at entrance gate was co
9	to gain access to property. Multiple photos
.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	ioope i
L2	
13	
14	
15	98 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16	
1.	
1	
1	و الله
2	o described in the annexed Search Wartant.
2	DATED this 22nd day of 1, 2000.
2	
7	Reliepra 1. Ochelli Enhance Officer
2	24