

Amendment No. 714

Senate Amendment to Senate Bill No. 195

(BDR 1-524)

Proposed by: Committee on Finance

Amendment Box:

Resolves Conflicts with: N/A

Amends: Summary: No Title: No Preamble: No Joint Sponsorship: No Digest: Yes

Adoption of this amendment will MAINTAIN an unfunded mandate by the affected local government to S.B. 195 (§ 1).

ASSEMBLY ACTION	Initial and Date	SENATE ACTION	Initial and Date
Adopted <input type="checkbox"/> Lost <input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Adopted <input type="checkbox"/> Lost <input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Concurred In <input type="checkbox"/> Not <input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Concurred In <input type="checkbox"/> Not <input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Receded <input type="checkbox"/> Not <input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Receded <input type="checkbox"/> Not <input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Amend section 1, page 1, line 2, by deleting “**40**” and inserting “**37**”.

Amend sec. 2, page 2, line 3, by deleting “\$608,405” and inserting “\$335,105”.

ALT/BJE

Date: 5/16/2005

S.B. No. 195—Increases number of district judges in Eighth Judicial District.

**If this amendment is adopted, the Legislative
Counsel's Digest will be changed to read as follows:**

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Existing law requires the Eighth Judicial District to have 33 district judges, 12 of whom must be judges of the family court. (NRS 3.018) Clark County constitutes the Eighth Judicial District. (NRS 3.010)

This bill increases the number of district judges in the Eighth Judicial District to 37. One of the additional 7 district judges must preside in family court, increasing the number of family court judges to 13.

This bill appropriates \$335,105 from the State General Fund to the District Judges' Salary Account to pay for the salaries of the additional district judges.