

Amendment No. 855

Senate Amendment to Assembly Bill No. 145 Second Reprint (BDR 57-1068)

Proposed by: Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor**Amends:** Summary: Yes Title: No Preamble: No Joint Sponsorship: No Digest: Yes

ASSEMBLY ACTION				Initial and Date	SENATE ACTION				Initial and Date	
Adopted	☐	Lost	☐	_____		Adopted	☐	Lost	☐	_____
Concurred In	☐	Not	☐	_____		Concurred In	☐	Not	☐	_____
Receded	☐	Not	☐	_____		Receded	☐	Not	☐	_____

EXPLANATION: Matter in (1) ***blue bold italics*** is new language in the original bill; (2) ***green bold italic underlining*** is new language proposed in this amendment; (3) ~~red strikethrough~~ is deleted language in the original bill; (4) ~~purple double strikethrough~~ is language proposed to be deleted in this amendment; (5) orange double underlining is deleted language in the original bill that is proposed to be retained in this amendment; and (6) ***green bold*** is newly added transitory language.

SJA/EGO



Date: 5/22/2007

A.B. No. 145—Revises provisions governing the assignment of benefits for health insurance. (BDR 57-1068)



ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 145—ASSEMBLYMAN HARDY

FEBRUARY 22, 2007

Referred to Committee on Commerce and Labor

SUMMARY—Revises provisions governing the assignment of benefits for health insurance. (BDR ~~[57-1068]~~ **40-1068**)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.
Effect on the State: No.

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in **bolded italics** is new; matter between brackets ~~[omitted material]~~ is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to health insurance; revising provisions governing the assignment of benefits; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

This bill prohibits an insurer that is **not licensed in this State and that is** obligated to pay benefits for services provided to a person by a hospital or other provider of health care **or is obligated to reimburse a person for the costs of such services** to make such payments directly to the person if the insurer has notice that the person has assigned the benefits to the hospital or other provider of health care. **This bill does not create grounds for civil or criminal liability.**

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN
SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Chapter ~~[679A]~~ **449** of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to read as follows:

1. ~~[Notwithstanding any specific statute to the contrary, an]~~ An insurer that is not licensed in this State pursuant to title 57 of NRS and that is obligated to pay benefits for services provided to a person by a hospital or other provider of health care, or to reimburse a person for the costs of such services, shall not make the payment directly to the person if an itemized statement for the services is submitted to the insurer which clearly indicates that the right of the person to those benefits has been assigned to the hospital or other provider of health care.

2. ~~If an insurer that has notice of such an assignment makes payment directly to the person in violation of subsection 1, the payment:~~

~~(a) Does not release the insurer from liability to pay the hospital or other provider of health care to which the benefits have been assigned; and~~

~~(b) Is not a defense to any action by the hospital or other provider of health care against the insurer to collect the assigned benefits.]~~ The provisions of this section do not create grounds for civil or criminal liability.