

Amendment No. 907

Senate Amendment to Assembly Bill No. 444 First Reprint (BDR S-817)

Proposed by: Senator Segerblom**Amends:** Summary: No Title: No Preamble: No Joint Sponsorship: No Digest: No

ASSEMBLY ACTION		Initial and Date		SENATE ACTION		Initial and Date																							
Adopted	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lost	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Adopted	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lost	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Concurred In	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Concurred In	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Receded	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Receded	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Concurred In	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Concurred In	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Receded	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Receded	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____										
Receded	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Receded	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Receded	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Receded	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____										

EXPLANATION: Matter in (1) ***blue bold italics*** is new language in the original bill; (2) ***green bold italic underlining*** is new language proposed in this amendment; (3) ***red strikethrough*** is deleted language in the original bill; (4) ***purple double strikethrough*** is language proposed to be deleted in this amendment; (5) ***orange double underlining*** is deleted language in the original bill that is proposed to be retained in this amendment; and (6) ***green bold underlining*** is newly added transitory language.

BAW



Date: 5/28/2013

A.B. No. 444—Provides for an audit of the fiscal costs of the death penalty.

(BDR S-817)



* A A B 4 4 4 R 1 9 0 7 *

**ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 444—COMMITTEE ON
LEGISLATIVE OPERATIONS AND ELECTIONS**

MARCH 25, 2013

Referred to Committee on Legislative Operations and Elections

SUMMARY—Provides for an audit of the fiscal costs of the death penalty.
(BDR S-817)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.
Effect on the State: Yes.

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in ***bolded italics*** is new; matter between brackets **[omitted material]** is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to the death penalty; providing for an audit of the fiscal costs of the death penalty; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

This bill requires the Legislative Auditor to conduct an audit of the fiscal costs of the death penalty in Nevada. The audit must include, without limitation, an examination and analysis of the costs of prosecuting and adjudicating capital cases compared to noncapital cases. The Legislative Auditor is required to present a final written report of the audit to the Audit Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission on or before January 31, 2015.

**THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN
SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:**

1 **Section 1.** 1. The Legislative Auditor shall conduct an audit of the fiscal
2 costs associated with the death penalty in this State.

3 2. The audit conducted pursuant to this section must include an examination
4 and analysis concerning the costs of prosecuting and adjudicating capital murder
5 cases as compared to noncapital murder cases, including, without limitation, the
6 costs relating to the death penalty borne by the State of Nevada and by the local
7 governments in this State at each stage of the proceedings in capital murder cases,
8 including, without limitation, pretrial costs, trial costs, appellate and postconviction
9 costs and costs of incarceration such as:

10 (a) The costs of legal counsel involved in the prosecution and defense of a
11 capital murder case for all pretrial, trial and postconviction proceedings; and

12 (b) Additional procedural costs involved in capital murder cases as compared
13 to noncapital murder cases, including, without limitation, costs relating to:

14 (1) The processing of bonds, including costs for investigation by
15 prosecutors, police and other staff;

1 (2) The investigation of a case before a person is charged with a crime,
2 including costs for investigation by the prosecution and the defense;

3 (3) Pretrial motions;

4 (4) Extradition;

5 (5) Psychiatric and medical evaluations;

6 (6) Expert witnesses;

7 (7) Expenses for witnesses other than expert witnesses, including,
8 without limitation, expenses for witnesses during the penalty phase;

9 (8) Facilities, including, without limitation, any additional costs to the
10 court, such as costs for increased security;

11 (9) Juries;

12 ~~(8)~~ (10) Sentencing proceedings;

13 ~~(9)~~ (11) Appellate and postconviction proceedings, including motions,
14 writs of certiorari and state and federal petitions for postconviction relief;

15 ~~(10)~~ (12) Requests for clemency;

16 ~~(11)~~ (13) The incarceration of persons awaiting trial in capital murder
17 cases and persons sentenced to death; and

18 ~~(12)~~ (14) The execution of a sentence of death, including costs of
19 facilities and staff.

20 3. The audit must also examine the fiscal costs, including , without
21 limitation, any potential cost savings, of the death penalty on:

22 (a) The use of plea bargaining in death eligible cases;

23 (b) Strategic litigation choices by the prosecution and the defense; and

24 (c) Sentencing.

25 4. The audit must be conducted:

26 (a) In the manner set forth in NRS 218G.010 to 218G.450, inclusive, and for
27 the purposes of the audit conducted pursuant to this section, the provisions of those
28 sections are applicable to a local government in the same manner as to an agency of
29 the State.

30 (b) In accordance with applicable auditing standards set forth by the United
31 States Government Accountability Office, including standards relating to the
32 professional qualifications of the auditors, the quality of the audit work and the
33 characteristics of professional and meaningful reports.

34 5. In determining the methodologies to be used, the Legislative Auditor shall
35 review and consider audits, reports and data relating to the costs of the death
36 penalty conducted or published by other states and the United States Department of
37 Justice and the Administrative Office of the United States Courts. Methodologies
38 and data to be considered must include, at a minimum, the cost estimation
39 approach, top-down accounting method, retrospective observational design,
40 independent statistical analyses, administrative databases and self-reported data.

41 6. On or before January 31, 2015, the Legislative Auditor shall present a final
42 written report of the audit to the Audit Subcommittee of the Legislative
43 Commission created by NRS 218E.240.

44 Sec. 2. This act becomes effective upon passage and approval.