

Amendment No. 157

Senate Amendment to Senate Bill No. 133

(BDR 48-631)

Proposed by: Senate Committee on Natural Resources**Amends:** Summary: Yes Title: Yes Preamble: No Joint Sponsorship: No Digest: Yes

ASSEMBLY ACTION				Initial and Date	SENATE ACTION				Initial and Date
Adopted	☐	Lost	☐	_____	Adopted	☐	Lost	☐	_____
Concurred In	☐	Not	☐	_____	Concurred In	☐	Not	☐	_____
Receded	☐	Not	☐	_____	Receded	☐	Not	☐	_____

EXPLANATION: Matter in (1) *blue bold italics* is new language in the original bill; (2) *green bold italic underlining* is new language proposed in this amendment; (3) ~~red strikethrough~~ is deleted language in the original bill; (4) ~~purple double strikethrough~~ is language proposed to be deleted in this amendment; (5) orange double underlining is deleted language in the original bill that is proposed to be retained in this amendment; and (6) *green bold underlining* is newly added transitory language.

DPR/JRS



Date: 4/9/2013

S.B. No. 133—Requires the State Engineer to allow a county to participate in the development and implementation of a plan relating to the appropriation of water for beneficial use under certain circumstances. (BDR 48-631)



SENATE BILL NO. 133—SENATORS GOICOECHEA
AND GUSTAVSON (BY REQUEST)

FEBRUARY 18, 2013

JOINT SPONSORS: ASSEMBLYMEN GRADY; AND ELLISON

Referred to Committee on Natural Resources

SUMMARY—Requires the State Engineer to allow a county to participate in an advisory capacity in the development and implementation of a plan relating to the appropriation of water for beneficial use under certain circumstances. (BDR 48-631)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.
Effect on the State: No.

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in ***bolded italics*** is new; matter between brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to water; requiring the State Engineer to allow a county to participate in an advisory capacity in the development and implementation of a plan relating to the appropriation of water for beneficial use under certain circumstances; requiring the State Engineer to consider any comment, analysis or other information submitted by a participating county before approving the plan and during the period in which the plan, if approved, is carried out; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

1 The State Engineer, an appointee of the Director of the State Department of Conservation
2 and Natural Resources, manages the appropriation of water in this State. (Title 48 of NRS)
3 This bill requires the State Engineer to allow a county to participate in an advisory capacity
4 in the development and implementation of a plan required by the State Engineer relating to the
5 appropriation of water for beneficial use if the county where the ~~proposed~~ State Engineer
6 has approved the point of diversion ~~has~~ requests to participate. This bill also requires the
7 State Engineer to consider any comment, analysis or other information submitted to the State
8 Engineer by the county before approving the plan and during the period in which the plan, if
9 approved, is carried out. Finally, this bill exempts from judicial review a decision by the
10 State Engineer whether or not to include in the plan or to follow any comment, analysis
11 or other information submitted by a participating county.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN
SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Chapter 532 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to read as follows:

1. ~~For~~ For each new application to appropriate water for a beneficial use filed on or after January 1, 2012, if the State Engineer requires a monitoring, management ~~for~~ and mitigation plan as a condition of appropriating water for a beneficial use, the State Engineer shall, within 30 days after requiring the plan and if requested by the county where the ~~proposed~~ State Engineer has approved the point of diversion, ~~then~~ allow the county to participate in an advisory capacity in the development and implementation of the plan.

2. Before approving any plan developed pursuant to subsection 1 and during the period in which the plan, if approved, is carried out, the State Engineer shall consider any comment, analysis or other information submitted by the participating county. The State Engineer is not required to include any comment, analysis or other information submitted by a participating county in a monitoring, management and mitigation plan required pursuant to this section.

3. A decision by the State Engineer whether or not to include in the plan or to follow any comment, analysis or other information submitted by a participating county pursuant to this section is not subject to judicial review pursuant to NRS 533.450.

Sec. 2. NRS 533.450 is hereby amended to read as follows:

533.450 1. ~~Any~~ Except as otherwise provided in section 1 of this act, any person feeling aggrieved by any order or decision of the State Engineer, acting in person or through the assistants of the State Engineer or the water commissioner, affecting the person's interests, when the order or decision relates to the administration of determined rights or is made pursuant to NRS 533.270 to 533.445, inclusive, or NRS 533.481, 534.193, 535.200 or 536.200, may have the same reviewed by a proceeding for that purpose, insofar as may be in the nature of an appeal, which must be initiated in the proper court of the county in which the matters affected or a portion thereof are situated, but on stream systems where a decree of court has been entered, the action must be initiated in the court that entered the decree. The order or decision of the State Engineer remains in full force and effect unless proceedings to review the same are commenced in the proper court within 30 days after the rendition of the order or decision in question and notice thereof is given to the State Engineer as provided in subsection 3.

2. The proceedings in every case must be heard by the court, and must be informal and summary, but full opportunity to be heard must be had before judgment is pronounced.

3. No such proceedings may be entertained unless notice thereof, containing a statement of the substance of the order or decision complained of, and of the manner in which the same injuriously affects the petitioner's interests, has been served upon the State Engineer, personally or by registered or certified mail, at the Office of the State Engineer at the State Capital within 30 days following the rendition of the order or decision in question. A similar notice must also be served personally or by registered or certified mail upon the person who may have been affected by the order or decision.

4. Where evidence has been filed with, or testimony taken before, the State Engineer, a transcribed copy thereof, or of any specific part of the same, duly certified as a true and correct transcript in the manner provided by law, must be received in evidence with the same effect as if the reporter were present and

1 testified to the facts so certified. A copy of the transcript must be furnished on
2 demand, at actual cost, to any person affected by the order or decision, and to all
3 other persons on payment of a reasonable amount therefor, to be fixed by the State
4 Engineer.

5 5. An order or decision of the State Engineer must not be stayed unless the
6 petitioner files a written motion for a stay with the court and serves the motion
7 personally or by registered or certified mail upon the State Engineer, the applicant
8 or other real party in interest and each party of record within 10 days after the
9 petitioner files the petition for judicial review. Any party may oppose the motion
10 and the petitioner may reply to any such opposition. In determining whether to
11 grant or deny the motion for a stay, the court shall consider:

12 (a) Whether any nonmoving party to the proceeding may incur any harm or
13 hardship if the stay is granted;

14 (b) Whether the petitioner may incur any irreparable harm if the stay is denied;

15 (c) The likelihood of success of the petitioner on the merits; and

16 (d) Any potential harm to the members of the public if the stay is granted.

17 6. Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the petitioner must file a
18 bond in an amount determined by the court, with sureties satisfactory to the court
19 and conditioned in the manner specified by the court. The bond must be filed within
20 5 days after the court determines the amount of the bond pursuant to this
21 subsection. If the petitioner fails to file the bond within that period, the stay is
22 automatically denied. A bond must not be required for a public agency of this State
23 or a political subdivision of this State.

24 7. Costs must be paid as in civil cases brought in the district court, except by
25 the State Engineer or the State.

26 8. The practice in civil cases applies to the informal and summary character of
27 such proceedings, as provided in this section.

28 9. Appeals may be taken to the Supreme Court from the judgment of the
29 district court in the same manner as in other civil cases.

30 10. The decision of the State Engineer is prima facie correct, and the burden
31 of proof is upon the party attacking the same.

32 11. Whenever it appears to the State Engineer that any litigation, whether now
33 pending or hereafter brought, may adversely affect the rights of the public in water,
34 the State Engineer shall request the Attorney General to appear and protect the
35 interests of the State.

36 ~~Sec. 2.~~ **Sec. 3.** This act becomes effective upon passage and approval.