

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING  
OF THE  
ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY**

**Seventy-Seventh Session  
February 5, 2013**

The Committee on Judiciary was called to order by Chairman Jason Frierson at 8:06 a.m. on Tuesday, February 5, 2013, in Room 3138 of the Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada. The meeting was videoconferenced to Room 4401 of the Grant Sawyer State Office Building, 555 East Washington Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada. Copies of the minutes, including the Agenda ([Exhibit A](#)), the Attendance Roster ([Exhibit B](#)), and other substantive exhibits, are available and on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau and on the Nevada Legislature's website at [nelis.leg.state.nv.us/77th2013](http://nelis.leg.state.nv.us/77th2013). In addition, copies of the audio record may be purchased through the Legislative Counsel Bureau's Publications Office (email: [publications@lcb.state.nv.us](mailto:publications@lcb.state.nv.us); telephone: 775-684-6835).

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Assemblyman Jason Frierson, Chairman  
Assemblyman James Ohrenschall, Vice Chairman  
Assemblyman Richard Carrillo  
Assemblywoman Lesley E. Cohen  
Assemblywoman Olivia Diaz  
Assemblywoman Marilyn Dondero Loop  
Assemblyman Wesley Duncan  
Assemblywoman Michele Fiore  
Assemblyman Ira Hansen  
Assemblyman Andrew Martin  
Assemblywoman Ellen B. Spiegel  
Assemblyman Jim Wheeler

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:**

None

**GUEST LEGISLATORS PRESENT:**

None



**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Dave Ziegler, Committee Policy Analyst  
Brad Wilkinson, Committee Counsel  
Jeff Eck, Committee Manager  
Karyn Werner, Committee Secretary  
Nancy Davis, Committee Secretary  
Dianne Harvey, Committee Secretary  
Thelma Reindollar, Committee Secretary  
Linda Whimple, Committee Secretary  
Gariety Pruitt, Committee Assistant

**OTHERS PRESENT:**

Keith Munro, Assistant Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General  
Brett Kandt, Special Deputy Attorney General, Office of the  
Attorney General  
Kristin Erickson, Chief Deputy District Attorney, Washoe County  
District Attorney

**Chairman Frierson:**

[Roll was taken.] I want to start with a few remarks and introductions. It is a pleasure to be here. I am looking forward to a productive and, when we can, fun session.

To start Committee introductions, to my right and two chairs over is Assemblyman James Ohrenschall from District 12 in Clark County. This is Mr. Ohrenschall's second session as Vice Chair. He has served on this Committee since 2007. He also served on the Committee on Corrections, Parole, and Probation in 2009.

**Assemblyman Ohrenschall:**

We are lucky to have you as our Chairman. Assemblyman Frierson was a law clerk to the late Supreme Court Justice Myron Leavitt. He now works in the Clark County District Attorney's Office. We are lucky to have someone with his breadth of knowledge chairing this Committee.

**Chairman Frierson:**

To Mr. Ohrenschall's right is Assemblywoman Marilyn Dondero Loop from District 5. This is Ms. Dondero Loop's third term on the Judiciary Committee. She also served on the Committee on Corrections, Parole, and Probation. I was privileged to serve as her Vice Chair on the Assembly Committee on Transportation last session.

Two seats to my left is Assemblyman Richard Carrillo, the Assemblyman from District 18 in Clark County. This is also Mr. Carrillo's second term on this Committee. He helped a lot with the standard committee issues, as well as subcommittee issues.

To his left is Assemblywoman Olivia Diaz from District 11 in Clark County. This is also her second term on the Assembly Committee on Judiciary. We all started together and are fortunate to have experienced members on this Committee.

Assemblyman Ira Hansen, my friend from District 32 in the north central counties, is also serving his second term in the Assembly and on the Committee on Judiciary. Those are our returning members.

We have several new members on the Committee on Judiciary. Directly in front of me is Assemblywoman Lesley Cohen from District 29 in Clark County. To her left is Assemblyman Wesley Duncan. He is from Clark County District 37. To the far left is Assemblywoman Michele Fiore out of District 4 in Clark County. To her right is Assemblyman Andrew Martin from Clark County District 9. In front of me and to the right is Assemblywoman Ellen Spiegel, who is not exactly a freshman. She served one term in the Assembly, took a little time off, and is back this session from District 20 in Clark County. Last but not least is Assemblyman Jim Wheeler from District 39.

Our Committee Manager will introduce our Committee staff, but first I want to introduce my attaché, Judy Molnar. She is the face of my office and she will be the one deciding whether to let you in.

Jeff Eck is our Committee Manager. He was on the Committee staff last session as a committee secretary. He will be responsible for creating the agendas and posting exhibits. At this time, Jeff will introduce the remaining staff.

**Jeff Eck, Committee Manager:**

I will start with the committee secretaries. We have Karyn Werner recording today's proceedings. We also have secretaries Linda Whimple, Thelma Reindollar, Dianne Harvey, and Nancy Davis. Gariety Pruitt is our committee assistant and, as Chairman Frierson mentioned, his personal attaché is Judy Molnar.

**Chairman Frierson:**

I also want to introduce the gentleman on my right, Bradley Wilkinson. He is our Committee Counsel. Our Committee Policy Analyst, who is on my left,

is Dave Ziegler. This Committee handles many bills on heavy issues, and we are fortunate to have Committee people with strong experience to help us get through it.

I want to make some personal remarks about staff. I decided to run for the Assembly because of the examples depicted by now-Majority Leader William Horne and Assemblyman Bernie Anderson when they chaired this Committee. They had extremely different styles, but both of them had a passion for this institution and for the process. They offered—and expected—a great deal of respect for this institution. I share that passion. It starts with respect for our staff. We have wonderful staff. I have traveled to legislatures in other states, and I cannot hear enough about how excellent our Nevada staff is. Respect for the institution starts with respect for our staff. I am, and we should be, passionate and fiercely protective of our staff. We expect them to be treated like the professionals they are, because we cannot do our job without them. I offer that respect to them and I expect that of our members, as well as the public; and they will offer the same respect, professionalism, and courtesy to you.

We are going to handle some controversial issues that involve a lot of emotions and strong feelings. We can discuss those issues and treat each other with respect at the same time. My job as Chairman of this Committee is to help navigate us through these issues and ensure we do it in a productive and respectful way. That is how we will get through everything with the best outcomes. I will run this Committee as fairly as I can. There will be times I will have to redirect the discussions, but it will have nothing to do with my personal views on an issue. It will be because, as Chairman, I am trying to get us through each agenda and hear a certain number of bills so we can get through the entire session. I ask that we do not talk over each other, we respect each other, and we wait to be recognized. I will get to you. I will recognize you and keep us on task. Try not to repeat yourself. That goes for the public, too. It is perfectly all right to come forward and simply say "ditto."

Our hearings start at 8 a.m.—with the possible exception of Mondays—and are almost always broadcast to southern Nevada. Remember the microphones are on all of the time, both here and in Las Vegas. Be mindful of that. Please also remember to mute your cell phones and laptops. When you testify, they give feedback, so we may have to ask for the devices to be turned off.

We are going to go through some Committee rules, which have changed slightly, but before we go on to the presentations, I would welcome any comments from the Committee. [There were none.]

Today we will have a committee brief; then a representative from the Office of the Attorney General will give a presentation. Later this week we will have an overview on the Administrative Office of the Courts by Mr. Ben Graham. We will also have a presentation on the Nevada court system from the Supreme Court, possibly by the Chief Justice or Justice Hardesty. Later we will have overviews from the Department of Corrections and the State Board of Parole Commissioners, and on Friday we will have an overview from the State Gaming Control Board. We plan to have a presentation next week on juvenile justice services, and a presentation from the Records and Technology Division of the Department of Public Safety. The Central Repository of Criminal Records is also scheduled for next week. There will also be more presentations later.

Mr. Ziegler is going to give a Committee brief now. If there are any questions after that, we will ask Mr. Ziegler.

**Dave Ziegler, Committee Policy Analyst:**

Last session we tried to go paperless as much as possible. All exhibits should be posted on the Nevada Electronic Legislative Information System (NELIS). You can find this Committee Brief ([Exhibit C](#)) on NELIS. This is basically a summary of the historical jurisdiction of this Committee, review of what we have done in the past, and what we will do in the future. I will talk about the jurisdiction of the Committee, statistics from last session, some of the selected legislation we covered last session, and some of the things that we will be covering this session. I will not go over everything in the Brief.

This presentation discusses the jurisdiction of this Committee, which is very broad. We cover a wide range of subjects and are probably the busiest policy committee in the building. Historically, that has been the case. Last session this Committee considered 174 measures: 117 Assembly measures and 57 Senate measures. One hundred and three measures were reported to the Governor. That is a lot of legislation. Three of those measures were vetoed. One measure regarding the revocation of probation was vetoed, which the Legislature upheld. Two measures, one regarding eligibility for parole and one on common-interest communities, were vetoed and are being returned this session for your final disposal. Those are listed on page 3 of the Committee Brief.

I will review legislation that this Committee handled last session: measures that were passed and enacted into law. This is a review of the historical jurisdiction. We handled a number of bills from the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. There were uniform acts on collaborative law, commercial transactions, interstate depositions, partition of heirs' property,

and unsworn foreign declarations. The Committee recommended passage of a number of bills related to civil practice, estates, judgment creditors, legal services for the indigent, class action rules, summary eviction of tenants, and eminent domain. You may remember the eminent domain bill that removed mining and sugar beet pipelines from the uses for which eminent domain may be exercised.

On Corrections, there were a number of bills, such as the evaluation of sex offenders prior to a parole hearing, mediation of complaints from prisoners, and various procedures of the Board of Parole Commissioners. There were a couple of measures regarding the transition of ex-offenders back into the community, and the whole subject of reentry, which I believe we will address again this session.

There were a number of bills regarding the Nevada Supreme Court, the district courts, municipal and justice courts, and specialty courts. One was a bill on the safety and security of the Nevada Supreme Court. There was also a bill raising the limit on small claims actions to \$7500, a bill creating the Tenth Judicial District in Churchill County, and a number of other measures. These measures included court reporters, electronic court seals, jury duty, proceedings in juvenile court, records retention, the selection of grand juries, and writs of execution.

Under crimes and punishments we heard everything from concealed weapons, crimes against vulnerable persons, graffiti, justifiable homicide, property crimes, prostitution, "sexting," trespassing, and other subjects. In the Committee Brief, there is a paragraph on what happened on concealed weapons permits and a paragraph on justifiable homicide, which I will not go through now. Another related bill was on a pilot diversion program for probation offenders. The idea was modeled after the widely known Hawaiian program of diverting probation offenders into swift sanctions rather than having them serve their prison sentences.

Under criminal procedures, there are eight bullets. I will not dwell on them now. However, this is a major topic of deliberation in this Committee that requires a lot of our time.

Domestic relations, family law, and guardianships are other important topics of this Committee. We had bills last session on the Children's Advocate in the Office of the Attorney General. Child custody laws included the issue of custody when one or both parents are active members of the military. Other family law measures dealt with adoption, heirs, marriage certificates, marriage licenses, and professional guardians.

Gaming is another major subject that this Committee is responsible for. It is fair to say that most, if not all, of the gaming legislation that we had last session dealt with the increase in the use of computer technology in the gaming industry. We recommended legislation on the licensing and registration of computer service providers, hosting centers—large technology centers where computers are housed, such as in Las Vegas—independent testing laboratories for new gaming equipment and software, interactive gaming including Internet poker, and mobile gaming computer systems. There was a related measure on the vouchers from cashless slot machines. It was suggested that they expire and need not be paid by the licensee after 180 days—or a shorter period if established by the Nevada Gaming Commission—and that 75 percent of the value of the expired voucher escheats to the State of Nevada, like unclaimed property.

Another subject that we hear is mortgages and deeds of trust. We do not typically handle licensing issues; they are usually covered in Commerce and Labor. However, this Committee does handle the legal aspects of mortgages and deeds of trust. Last session we had the "robo-signing" bill, Assembly Bill No. 284 of the 76th Session, which related to the widespread allegations of forgery and other irregularities in the foreclosure process. We will probably see follow-up legislation this session. We have other bills related to the foreclosure process, including two on the notice that must be given to a borrower before foreclosure is initiated on owner-occupied property.

Finally, two other subjects that took a great deal of this Committee's time last session were common-interest communities (CICs) and homeowner associations (HOAs). We had a number of bills, some of which were quite lengthy, and one that was ultimately vetoed. In spite of all that, the Legislature did pass, and the Governor did sign into law, new statutes on HOA boards, financial statements, information provided in resale packages, the management of HOA operating accounts, the lease or rental of units in a CIC, and many other aspects of CICs.

That is a quick-and-dirty overview of the types of things we did last session and what we did with them. You can anticipate that the same subject matters will come back this session. On pages 7 and 8 of the Committee Brief is a list of some of what we are seeing on the bill draft request (BDR) list, such as business associations and securities. We know bills on the regulation of securities broker-dealers and solicitations by nonprofit corporations are coming. There is a wide variety of bills under Corrections in the BDR list, along with crimes and punishments, criminal procedures, domestic relations, gaming, the Judiciary, legal proceedings, and property rights and transactions. These are in the Committee Brief and we can anticipate all of those things coming to the Committee.

We all have to keep the 120-day session in mind. There are firm deadlines for activities that you and the Committee as a whole have coming up. Next Monday, February 11, 2013, is the deadline for submitting your BDRs. The Committee also submits BDRs and the deadline is a week from next Monday, February 18, 2013. After the submittal of the BDRs, the details have to be followed up on quickly. The deadlines to introduce the legislators' and committees' bills are in March. The period in April known as "mini sine die" is the most brutal part of the session from the staff's perspective. That is the time when bills have to pass out of committee in the first house before moving to the other house. I know you are well aware of those deadlines, so this is just a reminder.

We have a handout for you that is a summary of selected court decisions ([Exhibit D](#)) that came out of the Supreme Court of Nevada and federal court since the last session. There were three cases in the Supreme Court of Nevada that affect the historical jurisdiction of this Committee: one whether a statute was unconstitutionally ambiguous, another defined the term "minor," and the third dealt with cell phones being used to escape from prison.

An important United States District Court decision having to do with the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) versus the Attorney General [*ACLU of Nevada v. Masto*, 2:08-CV-00822 (D. Nev. Oct. 7, 2008)] deals with the classification of sex offenders and the implementation of the federal Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act. These are complex situations and decisions from the courts. You should be aware of these and, if you have any questions, contact someone who is qualified to answer them.

That is it for the Committee Brief except there is contact information in the back of the Brief. For the members of the audience, if your agency or organization is not represented there, or if there are any mistakes in the listed contact information, please let me know. We certainly did not mean to exclude or offend anyone if there are errors.

**Chairman Frierson:**

Are there any questions for Mr. Ziegler? [There were none.] The cases that Mr. Ziegler mentioned are extensive and I would encourage everyone to talk with Mr. Wilkinson about the implications in the event they come back up. We visited them before and will undoubtedly revisit many of them.

Do you want to briefly touch on the standing rules?



**Dave Ziegler:**

Last session there was a change in the way committee rules were handled. Last session, and again this session, the committee rules were imbedded in the Assembly Standing Rules. You adopted the Assembly Standing Rules yesterday and, by virtue of doing that, you also adopted the rules for the conduct of this Committee. Therefore, we do not need to take any action today on committee rules. We have, however, provided some excerpts from the Assembly Standing Rules ([Exhibit E](#)) for your use in Committee.

One of the new duties of the Chair this session is to prepare and distribute a work session document. That has always been the case in the past, but that is now imbedded in the rules. For the new members, a work session is where the rubber meets the road, where you vote on measures.

Under testimony, there are new rules on the meaning of the words "support," "opposed," and "neutral." This has to do with the order in which members of the audience will testify on measures and how they frame their testimony.

There is also a new rule this session that a Committee may not take final action on a bill or resolution for 24 hours after the close of the hearing on the measure. That has been the normal practice in the past, but it is now included in the rules. Other than that, the rules should be familiar to the returning members and will become familiar to the new members.

**Chairman Frierson:**

The work session document rule has typically been the practice, but there have been times when a committee voted without actually seeing what they were voting for, and then would have to find a way to put it in the minutes accurately. Therefore, it seemed more prudent to ensure we have a work session document so everyone can follow along and be on the same page.

We discussed adding the definitions of "support," "opposed," and "neutral" not only to help with the order of testimony, but also to help staff and committee members figure out who is actually in support or in opposition. Sometimes we get creative testimony that frames in terms of neutrality in support, which does not give us an accurate way of knowing who is in support or in opposition. We want it to be clear if testimony is in support or opposed. I believe the rules take into account whether you are supportive of the measure only if there is an amendment. That probably means you are not in support. Keep that in mind. There will always be situations where it is not quite clear and we will deal with it. We want it to be clear where the testimony is coming from.

Mr. Ziegler mentioned the cooling-off period. Oftentimes we hear bills when a stakeholder does not know we are hearing it. He is then able to provide information after the hearing that we were not able to take into consideration during the hearing. This will give us an opportunity to be contemplative and make sure we are thorough before making our decision. Towards the end of session and at deadlines, we will inevitably have to decide on suspending some of the rules to get our business done.

There is often a practice in committees of addressing a committee member through the Chair. The first time I came to testify I stumbled over that language not knowing why I was doing it. I am not going to hold anyone to the formality of responding through the Chair to the member, recognizing that the Chair may redirect or refocus the conversation.

It is important for committee members to keep in mind that however you vote in Committee should be how you vote on the floor, unless you notify the Chair. As a courtesy, if you are planning on voting differently on the floor than you did in Committee, let the Chair know. There may be a question that can be answered that will prevent the change. That helps us as a committee to operate smoothly. The fewer surprises, the less bad legislation. The more we communicate, the better off we will be.

Also for Committee members, it is important that we have full attendance for votes. If you are not going to be here for any reason, it is important that you let me know so I can plan accordingly and accurately record excused absences.

Are there any questions from Committee members on the Committee Brief or the changes in the rules? [There were none.]

We have a presentation today by the Office of the Attorney General and, as is tradition, we often have a criminal justice presentation. However, Chairman Segerblom in the Senate beat us to the punch, so we will do that tomorrow.

One other rule I meant to point out is that members of the public and lobbyists should avoid approaching members at the dais. That has always been the rule and I would ask that the rule be honored. It is subject to enforcement by the Chair. It is difficult because we are often having conversations that are not necessarily public conversations. Please respect members' privacy. That goes for Committee members as well. It is difficult for us to enforce that rule if we invite people back here.

**Keith Munro, Assistant Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General:**

With me is Brett Kandt, a Special Deputy Attorney General. The Office of the Attorney General works to promote the stability, efficiency, and the continued viability of our state. [Continues to read from written presentation ([Exhibit F](#)).]

**Chairman Frierson:**

As a former deputy attorney general, I want to echo your sentiments about the commitment and hard work of the staff of the Office of the Attorney General. I hope through your presentation and what we see throughout the session we come to appreciate what you offer, because the work you do is important and your office is the first to take hits when hits are given out.

Are there any questions?

**Assemblyman Ohrenschall:**

I want to let the new members know that Mr. Munro is a great resource if you need any information. He is more than happy to meet with you or answer a phone call. He has encyclopedic knowledge from his experience in the Attorney General's Office and state government.

Do you have the figures on how many persons are incarcerated right now in the State of Nevada?

**Keith Munro:**

I do not have the exact number, but my recollection is somewhere around 11,000.

**Assemblyman Ohrenschall:**

Do we have a current statistic as to what the daily or monthly cost is to keep someone incarcerated?

**Keith Munro:**

I would not venture a guess, but if I did, it is probably somewhere in excess of \$15,000.

**Assemblyman Ohrenschall:**

Per year?

**Keith Munro:**

Yes, per year.

**Assemblyman Ohrenschall:**

And that is at our state facilities, not a county jail?

**Keith Munro:**

Yes.

**Assemblyman Ohrenschall:**

The Attorney General serves on the State Board of Pardons Commissioners and I know there have been some issues with funding and scheduling meetings. Are they scheduled to meet at all this year?

**Keith Munro:**

I do not know. The clerk of that Board works for the Parole Board.

**Chairman Frierson:**

I know that the omnibus bill on human trafficking (Assembly Bill 67) is an extensive bill, which we do not have before us. You mentioned the Attorney General meeting in Geneva, and I am curious if the concepts and motivation behind the Attorney General's bill arose out of that meeting or if she brought those to the meeting.

**Keith Munro:**

She brought those issues to the meeting.

**Chairman Frierson:**

Obviously, it is easier to look across the country and at other states, but are you aware of any other countries that are addressing the trafficking issue? I know you mentioned Nevada entering into an agreement with some states in Mexico. Are we behind the ball or are we developing this as we learn more about it?

**Keith Munro:**

I think our state and other states are working collectively to make sure we address this important issue. By the fact that the United Nations is hearing it in Switzerland, it is clear that it is a global issue. I think it is important that we work together. As I mentioned a few times in my presentation about transnational crime, our world has changed and crimes are being committed on a transnational basis. This is one of those issues that we are going to bring to the Legislature and work with you to address. The problem is that it exists here in Nevada.

**Chairman Frierson:**

If we do not work on trafficking with neighboring countries, it is incomplete. Oftentimes the victims come from other countries. I am glad to see the Attorney General working with other countries so we can address this globally.

You also mentioned work on dealing with methamphetamines (meth). Could you please elaborate on that and whether the Attorney General's Office has been involved with bath salts or any of the other creative chemical compounds that are emerging that we have to constantly adjust to.

**Keith Munro:**

Those are issues that we are constantly working on, and she is working on through her Substance Abuse Working Group. On methamphetamines, 28 other states have developed a real-time tracking of purchases of pseudoephedrine. We are trying to bring that here to Nevada so we can give law enforcement better tools to combat that problem. In prior sessions, there has been great work done by this Committee and Senate Judiciary in creating a logbook and addressing meth. Now that we have increasing technology, we are working to improve on the good work that has been done previously.

**Assemblyman Ohrenschall:**

Is law enforcement finding a reduction in the meth labs here in the state and that more of it is being brought in from Mexico or other states? Do you feel that manufacturing is as great as it has been in past years?

**Keith Munro:**

Those issues are ever changing. Sometimes it is here and sometimes it is elsewhere. What we want to do with our bill regarding real-time tracking is to track what is being done here in the pharmacies in Nevada, and we are working with the Retail Association of Nevada to try to address that portion of it.

**Chairman Frierson:**

Are there any other questions for Mr. Munro? [There were none.]

I would like to give Mr. Kandt an opportunity to introduce himself. We will see a great deal of Mr. Kandt as well. I believe there is another group that you will be providing information about.

**Brett Kandt, Special Deputy Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General:**

I also serve as the Executive Director of the Nevada Advisory Council for Prosecuting Attorneys, which is a state board that was created pursuant to Chapter 241A of *Nevada Revised Statutes*. It is chaired by the Attorney General and is made up of district attorneys and city attorneys and a law enforcement representative. It focuses on the policies that are attendant to the duties of the Attorney General and our district and city attorneys. Its goals are to ensure the fair and consistent enforcement of our laws, to focus on victim safety and offender accountability in our criminal justice system, and to ensure open and transparent government.

**Chairman Frierson:**

Are there any questions for Mr. Kandt? [There were none.]

Generally, the overall purpose is to protect victims and to hold offenders accountable. Are there any specific measures or areas that you look at, or do you watch everything?

**Brett Kandt:**

Those goals are reflected in some of the legislation that you will see presented to you this session. In addition, the Council tries to focus on policies and best practices to be developed, adopted, and followed by our state prosecutors. There are specific policies that have been developed in areas such as prosecuting impaired driving, domestic violence, sexual assault, and various areas that are of primary concern in the criminal justice system.

**Chairman Frierson:**

Are there any other questions for Mr. Kandt? [There were none.]

I want to take a moment to introduce Kristin Erickson from the Washoe County District Attorney's Office, who will be spending a lot of time before this Committee. John Jones, who was here earlier, from the Clark County District Attorney's Office is also going to be spending a lot of time before our Committee.

**Kristin Erickson, Chief Deputy District Attorney, Washoe County District Attorney:**

This is my fifth or sixth session and I have been with the Washoe County District Attorney's Office for over 20 years, the last 13 of which have been as a Chief Deputy District Attorney. I am open and available to all of you at any time to answer any questions you may have regarding the criminal justice system, and to assist you in any way I can.

**Chairman Frierson:**

I have found these individuals to be tremendously helpful in criminal issues even if it is an issue on which they do not share a position. They are still resources for information and are reliable and able to be contacted. I appreciate them being here.

Are there any other questions? [There were none.] Are there any closing remarks from anyone?

**Keith Munro:**

We want to thank you for the opportunity to appear before this Committee and to offer ourselves to you and the Committee. We know your staff and work well with them. Feel free to contact us during the next 119 days.

**Chairman Frierson:**

Tomorrow we will have another presentation regarding the criminal justice system. I am excited and looking forward to a productive and informative session even when we disagree. I am hoping with all of the tough and controversial issues that we find a way to have fun with it too. If there are no further closing remarks, this meeting is adjourned [at 9:14 a.m.].

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

---

Karyn Werner  
Committee Secretary

APPROVED BY:

---

Assemblyman Jason Frierson, Chair

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

**EXHIBITS**

**Committee Name:** Committee on Judiciary

**Date:** February 5, 2013

**Time of Meeting:** 8:06 a.m.

<b>Bill</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Witness / Agency</b>	<b>Description</b>
	A		Agenda
	B		Attendance Roster
	C	Dave Ziegler, Committee Policy Analyst	Committee Brief
	D	Dave Ziegler	Summary of Selected Court Decisions
	E	Dave Ziegler	Assembly Standing Rules
	F	Keith Munro, Assistant Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General	Written Presentation