# MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS

## Seventy-Seventh Session May 21, 2013

The Committee called on Ways and Means was to order bν Chair Maggie Carlton at 10:34 a.m. on Tuesday, May 21, 2013, in Room 3137 of the Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada. Copies of the minutes, including the Agenda (Exhibit A), the Attendance Roster (Exhibit B), and other substantive exhibits, are available and on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau and on the Nevada Legislature's website at nelis.leg.state.nv.us/77th2013. In addition, copies of the audio record may be purchased through the Legislative Counsel Bureau's (email: publications@lcb.state.nv.us; **Publications** Office telephone: 775-684-6835).

#### **COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Assemblywoman Maggie Carlton, Chair Assemblyman Paul Aizley
Assemblyman Paul Anderson
Assemblyman David P. Bobzien
Assemblyman Andy Eisen
Assemblywoman Lucy Flores
Assemblyman Tom Grady
Assemblyman John Hambrick
Assemblyman Cresent Hardy
Assemblyman Pat Hickey
Assemblyman Joseph M. Hogan
Assemblywoman Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick
Assemblyman Randy Kirner
Assemblyman Michael Sprinkle

#### **COMMITTEE MEMBERS EXCUSED:**

Assemblyman William C. Horne, Vice Chair

#### **STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst Michael J. Chapman, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst Sherie Silva, Committee Secretary



Cynthia Wyett, Committee Assistant

Chair Carlton asked the Committee Assistant to call the roll. A quorum was present; Assemblyman Horne was excused.

Assembly Bill 58 (1st Reprint): Revises various provisions relating to veterans. (BDR 37-303)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled that <u>Assembly Bill 58 (1st Reprint)</u> was heard in Committee the day before. The bill had originally established the Office of Veterans Policy and Coordination (OVPC) in the Office of the Governor and included other provisions relating to veterans, including changing the name of the Office of Veterans' Services to the Department of Veterans Services and allowing free entry to state parks for disabled veterans.

Ms. Jones said the budgets for the Nevada Office of Veterans' Services and the Governor's Office were closed with positions envisioned in the new OVPC, which was not approved by the money committees. She reviewed the proposed amendments submitted by the Office of Veterans' Services (Exhibit C):

- Remove sections 8 and 9, which created the Office of Veterans Policy and Coordination and the Executive Director of the OVPC.
- Remove subsection 1, paragraph (a) from section 10, which appointed the Executive Director of the OVPC to the Interagency Council on Veterans Affairs.
- Revise section 11 to change the chairmanship of the Interagency Council
  on Veterans Affairs from the Executive Director of the OVPC to the
  Director of the Department of Veterans Services.

Chair Carlton recommended that the bill should not designate the chair of the Interagency Council on Veterans Affairs in section 11; the Council should have authority to elect the chair.

Chair Carlton asked for questions from Committee members; there were none. She asked for testimony in support of or in opposition to A.B. 58 (1st Reprint), and hearing none, she called for a motion.

ASSEMBLYMAN BOBZIEN MOVED TO AMEND AND DO PASS ASSEMBLY BILL 58 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN GRADY SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 461: Enacts provisions governing the management of sagebrush ecosystems. (BDR 26-1194)

Chair Carlton asked Assemblyman Bobzien to update the Committee on the status of <u>Assembly Bill 461</u>.

Assemblyman Bobzien explained that Brenda Erdoes, Legislative Counsel, Legislative Counsel Bureau, had advised that the proposed amendment adopted by the Committee to provide for the appointment of two legislators on the Sagebrush Ecosystem Council might have constitutional problems. She had opined that even if the legislators were ex officio nonvoting members, there could be concerns that they would have the ability to exercise legislative powers over an Executive Branch agency.

Assemblyman Bobzien recommended rescinding the Committee's previous day's action to amend and do pass the bill, and to delete section 6, subsection 1, paragraph (b), subparagraphs (7) and (8), of proposed amendment 9115 (Exhibit D) to remove the appointment of two legislators to the Sagebrush Ecosystem Council.

ASSEMBLYMAN EISEN MOVED TO RESCIND THE COMMITTEE'S PREVIOUS ACTION ON MAY 20, 2013, TO AMEND AND DO PASS ASSEMBLY BILL 461.

ASSEMBLYMAN HARDY SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

ASSEMBLYMAN SPRINKLE MOVED TO DELETE THE SECTIONS OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT 9115 PROVIDING FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF TWO LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS TO THE SAGEBRUSH ECOSYSTEM COUNCIL AND TO AMEND AND DO PASS ASSEMBLY BILL 461.

ASSEMBLYMAN HARDY SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 106: Provides for the award of certain costs, fees and expenses to prevailing parties in actions before the Occupational Safety and Health Review Board under certain circumstances. (BDR 53-156)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, explained that the Division of Industrial Relations (DIR), Department of Business and Industry, had included a fiscal note for funding of additional staffing because DIR expected that the number of appeals to the Occupational Safety and Health Review Board would increase. She noted that the positions would be funded from the workers' compensation safety fund assessments and there would be no impact on the General Fund.

Ms. Jones said an amendment had been proposed to change the source of payment for the recovery of the costs to the Fund for Insurance Premiums from the money for tort claims managed by the Attorney General. The award would be approved by the Attorney General, except for larger amounts that required approval by the State Board of Examiners.

Chair Carlton said that most of the outstanding issues on the bill had been resolved, and she recommended passing the bill out of Committee. She asked for questions from Committee members, and hearing none, she called for a motion.

ASSEMBLYMAN HARDY MOVED TO AMEND AND DO PASS ASSEMBLY BILL 106.

ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 125 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions relating to governmental administration. (BDR 26-30)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled that <u>Assembly Bill 125 (1st Reprint)</u> had been heard in Committee on May 13, 2013.

Chair Carlton noted that an amendment to the bill would allow for the withdrawal of all fiscal notes.

ASSEMBLYMAN EISEN MOVED TO AMEND AND DO PASS ASSEMBLY BILL 125 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN SPRINKLE SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 213 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions governing the issuance of a certificate of registration to a provider of a service contract. (BDR 57-759)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled that <u>Assembly Bill 213 (1st Reprint)</u> was heard in Committee on May 13, 2013, with an amendment proposed by the Division of Insurance, Department of Business and Industry.

Chair Carlton asked for questions or comments from Committee members; there were none.

ASSEMBLYMAN KIRNER MOVED TO AMEND AND DO PASS ASSEMBLY BILL 213 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN EISEN SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 186 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions relating to compensation. (BDR 53-796)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled that <u>Assembly Bill 186 (1st Reprint)</u> was heard on May 16, 2013. The amendment was submitted to clarify violations applicable to the Wage Claim Restitution Account in the State General Fund to be administered by the Labor Commissioner.

Chair Carlton asked for questions or comments from the Committee, and hearing none, she called for a motion.

ASSEMBLYMAN SPRINKLE MOVED TO AMEND AND DO PASS ASSEMBLY BILL 186 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN EISEN SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblymen Hambrick and Kirner voted no. Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 242 (1st Reprint): Authorizes the placement of a designation of veteran status on certain documents issued by the Department of Motor Vehicles. (BDR 43-145)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled that <u>Assembly Bill 242 (1st Reprint)</u> was originally heard in Committee on May 13, 2013.

Chair Carlton explained that an amendment was needed to avoid a conflict with another bill in the Assembly Committee on Transportation. She said an amendment from the Committee on Transportation would require the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to submit a monthly list to the Office of Veterans' Services of persons who declared that they were veterans of the Armed Forces. The DMV would require a current list of declared veterans for statistical and communication purposes. Chair Carlton added that the amendment also included provisions for a written release authorizing the sharing of personal information.

Assemblyman Grady recalled that when the bill was first heard, there was a \$55,000 fiscal note from DMV, and DMV Interim Director Troy Dillard had said he would provide written confirmation that the Department had a commitment to cover the fiscal note.

Chair Carlton replied she had a letter from the Nevada Office of Veterans' Services stating that the Office would pay the \$55,000 fee required by the DMV's vendor to make the change to the Nevada license.

ASSEMBLYMAN KIRNER MOVED TO AMEND AND DO PASS ASSEMBLY BILL 242 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN SPRINKLE SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

<u>Assembly Bill 336 (1st Reprint)</u>: Provides for an extended term of vehicle registration for certain trailers. (BDR 43-240)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled that <u>Assembly Bill 336 (1st Reprint)</u> was originally heard in Committee on May 13, 2013. The bill allowed for the extension of the term of vehicle registration for certain trailers to three years. She noted that the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) had submitted a revised fiscal note for the amended version of the bill, and it appeared that the revenue would offset the costs.

Wayne Seidel, Administrator, Motor Carrier Division, Department of Motor Vehicles, explained that with the three-year registration and the bill becoming effective January 1, 2015, the same revenue would be collected for the trailer plates, including the basic governmental services tax (GST) and the supplemental GSTs for Churchill and Clark Counties. A fee of \$110 for nontransferable trailer plates that did not expire would be allocated \$86 to the counties for the GST and \$24 to the State Highway Fund. The intent was to stay revenue-neutral and to collect the same amount of revenue currently being collected.

ASSEMBLYMAN HARDY MOVED TO DO PASS AS AMENDED ASSEMBLY BILL 336 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN KIRKPATRICK SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 287 (1st Reprint): Authorizes the involuntary court-ordered admission of certain persons with mental illness to programs of community-based or outpatient services under certain circumstances. (BDR 39-163)

Chair Carlton noted that <u>Assembly Bill 287 (1st Reprint)</u> had been amended and the fiscal impact was deleted.

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, explained that the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) had submitted an unsolicited fiscal note indicating that an additional Program for Assertive Community Treatment (PACT) team would be needed to address the provisions of A.B. 287 (1st Reprint). However, an

amendment to the DHHS budget was received from the Department of Administration that negated the fiscal note.

ASSEMBLYMAN GRADY MOVED TO DO PASS AS AMENDED ASSEMBLY BILL 287 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN SPRINKLE SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 304: Makes an appropriation to the Eighth Judicial District Court for a Veterans Court Coordinator. (BDR S-629)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, explained that <u>Assembly Bill 304</u> made an appropriation of \$136,950.55 to the Eighth Judicial District Court for a Veterans Court Coordinator.

Chair Carlton noted that the appropriation was contingent upon matching funds being provided from other sources.

ASSEMBLYMAN EISEN MOVED TO DO PASS ASSEMBLY BILL 304.

ASSEMBLYMAN HARDY SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 404 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions relating to time shares. (BDR 10-960)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled that <u>Assembly Bill 404 (1st Reprint)</u>, was heard in Committee on May 13, 2013, and was related to licensing and registration provisions for sales agents, representatives, managers, developers, project brokers, and time-share resale brokers.

Ms. Jones said there was an amendment before the Committee to address adjustments to the fee structure that would render the fiscal note submitted by the Department of Business and Industry no longer necessary.

Chair Carlton explained she had concerns with the amount of the fee increases, but representatives of the industry had told her they supported the amendment because they realized the Real Estate Division would need the resources to do a good job.

Chair Carlton asked for questions from the Committee, and hearing none, she called for a motion.

ASSEMBLYMAN SPRINKLE MOVED TO AMEND AND DO PASS ASSEMBLY BILL 404 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN KIRNER SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 405 (2nd Reprint): Revises provisions concerning the identification of seasonal residents and establishes provisions concerning the permitting of the motor vehicles of seasonal residents. (BDR 43-414)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled <u>Assembly Bill 405 (2nd Reprint)</u> was originally heard by the Committee on May 13, 2013. She noted that a fiscal note had been submitted by the Department of Motor Vehicles for approximately \$1.1 million in lost revenue over the biennium because of seasonal licensing of vehicles and additional costs to administer the program.

Chair Carlton explained there were problems with out-of-state residents who had homes in southern Nevada, drove their cars from another state for use while in Nevada, and were ticketed for not having a Nevada license plate, even though they were part-time residents of Nevada. The seasonal registration would document that those persons owned a home in Nevada.

Chair Carlton asked Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick to explain the fiscal note.

Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick said the "snowbirds" in southern Nevada had requested that the state provide a sticker for their car windows that would indicate they had a residence in Nevada, but their primary residence was in another state. Stickers or something similar were provided in other states, such as Utah, Arizona, and California, where the snowbirds traveled for the winter. Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick said legislators were involved in over 50 citations, which required the part-time residents to go to court and pay fines. She noted that they paid property taxes and used no services for two-thirds of the year.

Assemblywoman Carlton added that the snowbirds had requested the sticker and were willing to pay the \$33 annual fee.

Assemblyman Kirner said it appeared to be good policy, but it would be helpful to hear the details of the fiscal note.

Chair Carlton read the explanation attached to the fiscal note (Exhibit E):

BDR 43-414 makes changes for seasonal residents. Section 2 allows a seasonal resident to operate their vehicle while in Nevada without full registration requirements if they obtain a seasonal registration and identification card. Section 3 implements the registration process for the vehicles owned and operated by seasonal residents. Seasonal registration expires two years after the date of issuance. Section 5 changes the fees for a seasonal identification card; a four year expiration remains unchanged.

The effective date for the purposes of issuing the seasonal registration and identification cards is January 1, 2014.

Chair Carlton said the following assumptions were used by the Department in its calculations of the fiscal note:

- Seasonal vehicle registrations would be half of the number of seasonal identification cards issued.
- Current registration loss would be half of the number of seasonal identification cards issued, as it was assumed half of the number of seasonal residents registered one vehicle currently.
- The growth rate for seasonal identification (ID) cards was based on the State Demographer's estimates of the percentage increase of senior residents.
- The seasonal registration decal fee would increase in cost due to size and quantity purchased.
- Calculation for fiscal year (FY) 2016 seasonal ID card renewals would be based on all active cards in FY 2012 for the current fee and proposed fee, as the Department was unable to determine the exact number of cards eligible for renewal in FY 2014. Fee revenue was calculated as the difference between the current fee of \$9 and the proposed fee of \$17.

• The Department's programming staff estimated that it would take approximately 5,626 hours to complete the work; of those, 551 hours would be completed by DMV information technology staff and 5,075 would be contract programmer hours. However, combining the Department's existing priorities and mandates with implementing the BDR would make it very difficult for the programming staff to meet the proposed effective date.

Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick recalled that the Assembly Committee on Transportation amended the bill to change the effective date from January 1, 2014, to January 1, 2015. She said she wished there were a way to help DMV complete the program sooner.

Chair Carlton noted that the state needed to be able to hire its own programmers.

Chair Carlton asked for further questions or concerns from the Committee, and hearing none, she called for a motion.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN KIRKPATRICK MOVED TO DO PASS AS AMENDED <u>ASSEMBLY BILL 405 (2ND REPRINT)</u>.

ASSEMBLYMAN HAMBRICK SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 408 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions governing business impact statements prepared by state agencies and governing bodies of local governments. (BDR 18-416)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled that <u>Assembly Bill 408 (1st Reprint)</u> was heard by the Committee on May 8, 2013. There were two fiscal notes remaining on the bill: \$100,000 from the Department of Administration and \$50,000 from the State Public Works Division of the Department of Administration for each year of the biennium.

Chair Carlton asked Mr. Torvinen to approach the testimony table to discuss the fiscal note from the Department of Administration.

Mike Torvinen, Deputy Director, Department of Administration, said that when the fiscal note was submitted, the Department's concern was it had no staff

with the expertise to make the level of assessment or conduct the evaluations indicated in the language of the bill. He said the Department had made a concerted effort to reduce the fiscal note as much as possible. Mr. Torvinen said the estimate was based on five regulations per year at \$20,000 per regulation in consultant fees to complete the analysis.

Chair Carlton questioned the amount of \$20,000 per regulation. Mr. Torvinen replied the estimated cost was \$20,000 per assessment: every time a new regulation was submitted, an assessment would have to take place.

Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick said she struggled with the additional cost and expertise because currently under chapter 233B of the *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS), when agencies submitted regulations, they were required to provide an impact statement. The reason for the bill was that the impact statements did not contain the information required to justify the regulations, and the local governments were not completing the business impact statements before the vote for approval was taken. Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick pointed out there were many unintended consequences with impact statements that contained no information.

Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick said that she and Assemblyman Hansen had a discussion at the Legislative Commission meeting in December 2012 about the impact statements submitted for 30 regulations that indicated "not applicable." She said if there were no impacts, perhaps the regulations were not necessary. The bill was a way to force agencies to comply with the impact statement requirements and to ensure that the entity that had to vote on whether to approve the regulations was made aware of impacts to businesses. Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick questioned placing a fiscal note on a function that was already required.

Mr. Torvinen said the Department believed the new language in NRS raised the level of standards required of the assessment. He agreed that the "not applicable" response was not appropriate, but the standards were raised significantly high enough that the Department would not have the analytical expertise necessary.

Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick said she was glad the Department recognized that the bill raised the standards: that was the intent of the bill. She pointed out that agencies knew why they needed regulations and the reasons for regulation hearings.

Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick understood the need for a fiscal note, but she maintained higher standards had to be required. She noted that none of the local entities had indicated that they could not comply with the higher standard.

Mr. Torvinen said the assessment was an order of magnitude for discussion. If a consultant was hired to perform the higher standard of assessment contemplated in the language in the bill, \$20,000 was the Department's estimated cost. He noted the Legislature controlled the funding, and section 6, subsection 1, of the bill stated, "... make a concerted effort ...." He said the Department would commit to making a concerted effort for every regulation it put forth.

Chair Carlton recommended that the Committee pass the bill with no funding and allow the Department of Administration to update the Interim Finance Committee during the interim concerning the financial impacts on the Department. She pointed out that to realize the actual impacts, the requirements of the bill would have to be fulfilled, and the results could be considered by the 2015 Legislature.

Chair Carlton asked for questions from the Committee, and hearing none, she called for a motion.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN KIRKPATRICK MOVED TO DO PASS AS AMENDED ASSEMBLY BILL 408 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN HARDY SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 410 (1st Reprint): Directs the Office of Economic Development to create a pilot program to encourage the growth of existing businesses in this State. (BDR S-33)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled that <u>Assembly Bill 410 (1st Reprint)</u> was heard by the Committee on May 13, 2013. She said the bill included an appropriation of \$300,000 to the Nevada System of Higher Education.

Chair Carlton asked for questions from the Committee, and hearing none, she called for a motion.

ASSEMBLYMAN EISEN MOVED TO DO PASS AS AMENDED ASSEMBLY BILL 410 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN SPRINKLE SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblymen Grady, Hickey, and Kirner voted no. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 414 (1st Reprint): Requires a course of study in health to include, to the extent money is available for this purpose, instruction in cardiopulmonary resuscitation and the use of an automated external defibrillator for certain grade levels. (BDR 34-204)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled that <u>Assembly Bill 414 (1st Reprint)</u> was heard by the Committee on May 16, 2013. There was a fiscal note on the bill related to reprinting of the health standards, and there was discussion in the meeting concerning the time frame in which the standards would be revised to incorporate the new provisions in the bill.

Julia Teska, Director, Finance and Planning, Department of Education, said she had communicated with the American Heart Association in Northern Nevada, which confirmed that the intent of the bill would be for the Department to incorporate the changes in the health standards in the next regularly scheduled update of the standards. With that understanding, Ms. Teska said the Department could withdraw the fiscal note.

Assemblyman Sprinkle confirmed the understanding and intent of the conversations with the American Heart Association in Northern Nevada.

Chair Carlton asked for questions or comments from the Committee.

Assemblyman Kirner disclosed that he was a board member of the American Heart Association in Northern Nevada, which would not affect his vote, and he would be supporting the bill.

ASSEMBLYMAN EISEN MOVED TO DO PASS AS AMENDED ASSEMBLY BILL 414 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN SPRINKLE SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblymen Hambrick and Horne were not present for the vote.)

Assembly Bill 424 (1st Reprint): Authorizes the State Fire Marshal and the State Board of Fire Services to issue administrative citations. (BDR 42-1151)

Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, recalled that the Committee had heard the bill on May 6, 2013. She explained the bill would authorize the State Fire Marshal to issue administrative fines and penalties as opposed to a warning or order to shut down a business.

Ms. Jones said the Fire Marshal's budget account 3816 had been closed by the money committees with General Fund revenue to be realized from the penalties and fines, and there was currently no fiscal impact on the bill.

Chair Carlton asked for questions from the Committee, and hearing none, she called for a motion.

ASSEMBLYMAN EISEN MOVED TO DO PASS AS AMENDED ASSEMBLY BILL 424 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN KIRNER SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION PASSED. (Assemblyman Horne was not present for the vote.)

Chair Carlton asked for public comment, and hearing none, she adjourned the meeting at 11:34 a.m.

	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:
	Sherie Silva Committee Secretary
APPROVED BY:	
Assemblywoman Maggie Carlton, Chair	_
DATE:	

### **EXHIBITS**

**Committee Name: Committee on Ways and Means** 

Date: May 21, 2013 Time of Meeting: 10:34 a.m.

Bill	Exhibit	Witness / Agency	Description
	Α		Agenda
	В		Attendance Roster
	С	Office of Veterans' Services	Proposed Amendment
A.B. 461	D	Assemblyman David P. Bobzien, Washoe County Assembly District No. 24	Proposed Amendment 9115
A.B. 405	E	Department of Motor Vehicles	Fiscal Note