

ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 433—COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

MARCH 23, 2015

Referred to Committee on Judiciary

SUMMARY—Revises provisions concerning the interception of wire, electronic or oral communications. (BDR 14-913)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.
Effect on the State: No.

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets [omitted material] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to criminal procedure; defining certain terms relating to the interception of wire, electronic or oral communications; providing that the interception, listening or recording of a wire, electronic or oral communication by a peace officer or certain other persons is not unlawful in certain circumstances; authorizing district courts to issue orders requiring a provider of electronic communication service to disclose the contents of a wire or electronic communication or a record or other information pertaining to a subscriber to, or customer of, such service in certain circumstances; revising certain existing definitions relating to the interception of wire or oral communications; authorizing the interception of electronic communications in certain circumstances; requiring a judge to accept a facsimile copy of the signature of certain persons as part of an application for an order authorizing the interception of a wire, electronic or oral communication as an original signature; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

- 1 Existing law authorizes the Attorney General or the district attorney of any
- 2 county to apply to a Supreme Court justice or to a district judge in the county where
- 3 the interception is to take place for an order authorizing the interception of wire or
- 4 oral communications. The judge may grant an order authorizing such interception
- 5 by certain investigative or law enforcement officers when the interception may
- 6 provide evidence of the commission of certain crimes. (NRS 179.460) **Section 16**



* A B 4 3 3 *

of this bill additionally authorizes the Attorney General or the district attorney to apply for an order authorizing the interception of an electronic communication. Sections 9-12, 16-23 and 25 of this bill add a reference to an electronic communication to the provisions of existing law that reference wire or oral communications. Section 24 of this bill adds a reference to an electronic or oral communication to make the section consistent with the references to communications included in the federal statute cited therein.

Existing law also requires that each application for an order authorizing the interception of a wire or oral communication be made in writing upon oath or affirmation to a justice of the Supreme Court or district judge. (NRS 179.470) Section 18 of this bill requires the judge to accept a facsimile copy of the signature of any person required to give an oath or affirmation as part of an application for an order authorizing the interception of a wire, electronic or oral communication as an original signature to the application.

Section 6 of this bill provides that the interception, listening or recording of a wire, electronic or oral communication by a peace officer or certain other persons is not unlawful if the peace officer or person is intercepting the communication of a person who has: (1) barricaded himself or herself, resulting in an imminent risk of harm to life or property; (2) created a hostage situation; or (3) threatened the imminent illegal use of an explosive.

Section 7 of this bill authorizes district courts of this State to issue orders requiring a provider of electronic communication service to disclose the contents of a wire or electronic communication or a record or other information pertaining to a subscriber to, or customer of, such service upon the application of a district attorney or the Attorney General, or their deputies, supported by an affidavit of a peace officer under the circumstances and upon the conditions prescribed by federal law.

Sections 11, 15, 19 and 25 of this bill replace existing references to a communications common carrier with the term "provider of electronic communication service."

Sections 2-5 of this bill add new definitions of terms relating to the interception of wire, electronic or oral communications, and sections 11 and 13-15 of this bill revise certain existing definitions relating to the interception of wire or oral communications.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN
SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Chapter 179 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto the provisions set forth as sections 2 to 7, inclusive, of this act.

Sec. 2. *"Electronic communication" means a transfer of signs, signals, writings, images, sounds, data or intelligence of any nature transferred in whole or in part by a wire, radio, electromagnetic, photoelectric or photo-optical system. The term does not include:*

- 1. A wire or oral communication.*
- 2. A communication made through a tone-only paging device.*
- 3. A communication from a tracking device.*



4. *Electronic funds transfer information stored by a financial institution in a communication system used for the electronic storage and transfer of funds.*

5. *The incoming or outgoing electronic or other impulses that identify the originating number of an instrument or device from which or to which a wire or electronic communication was transmitted.*

Sec. 3. *“Electronic communication service” means a service that provides to users of the service the ability to send or receive a wire or electronic communication.*

Sec. 4. *“Tracking device” means an electronic or mechanical device that permits the tracking of the movement of a person or an object.*

Sec. 5. *“User” means a person or entity who:*

1. *Uses an electronic communication service; and*

2. *Is authorized by the provider of the electronic communication service to engage in such use.*

Sec. 6. 1. *The interception, listening or recording of a wire, electronic or oral communication by a peace officer specifically designated by the Attorney General or the district attorney of any county, or a person acting under the direction or request of a peace officer, is not unlawful if the peace officer or person is intercepting the communication of a person who has:*

(a) *Barricaded himself or herself and is not exiting or surrendering at the direction or request of a peace officer, in circumstances in which there is the potential for imminent risk of harm to the life or property of the person or others as a result of his or her actions or the actions of law enforcement in resolving the barricade situation;*

(b) *Created a hostage situation; or*

(c) *Threatened the imminent illegal use of an explosive.*

2. *For the purposes of subsection 1:*

(a) *A barricade occurs when a person refuses to come out from a covered or enclosed position after being provided an order to exit by a peace officer.*

(b) *A hostage situation occurs when a person holds another person against the other person’s will, regardless of whether the person holding the other person has made a demand.*

3. *As used in this section, “peace officer” means:*

(a) *Sheriffs of counties and metropolitan police departments and their deputies;*

(b) *Investigators, agents, officers and employees of the Investigation Division of the Department of Public Safety who have the powers of peace officers pursuant to paragraph (d) of subsection 1 of NRS 289.270;*



(c) *Police officers of cities and towns;*

(d) *Agents of the State Gaming Control Board who are investigating any violation of subsection 2 or 3 of NRS 463.360 or chapter 465 of NRS;*

(e) *Special investigators employed by the Attorney General who have the powers of peace officers pursuant to NRS 289.170; and*

(f) *Investigators employed by a district attorney who have the powers of peace officers pursuant to NRS 289.170.*

Sec. 7. *District courts of this State may issue orders requiring a provider of electronic communication service to disclose the contents of a wire or electronic communication or a record or other information pertaining to a subscriber to, or customer of, such service upon the application of a district attorney or the Attorney General, or their deputies, supported by an affidavit of a peace officer under the circumstances and upon the conditions prescribed by 18 U.S.C. § 2703. As used in this section, "peace officer" has the meaning ascribed to it in section 6 of this act.*

Sec. 8. NRS 179.410 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.410 As used in NRS 179.410 to 179.515, inclusive, *and sections 2 to 7, inclusive, of this act*, except where the context otherwise requires, the words and terms defined in NRS 179.415 to 179.455, inclusive, *and sections 2 to 5, inclusive, of this act* have the meanings ascribed to them in those sections.

Sec. 9. NRS 179.415 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.415 "Aggrieved person" means a person who was a party to any intercepted wire , *electronic* or oral communication or a person against whom the interception was directed.

Sec. 10. NRS 179.420 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.420 "Contents" when used with respect to any wire , *electronic* or oral communication includes any information concerning the identity of the parties to such communication or the existence, substance, purport or meaning of that communication.

Sec. 11. NRS 179.425 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.425 "Electronic, mechanical or other device" means any device or apparatus which can be used to intercept a wire , *electronic* or oral communication other than:

1. Any telephone instrument, equipment or facility, or any component thereof:

(a) ~~Furnished~~ to the subscriber or user by a ~~communications common-carrier~~ *provider of electronic communication service* in the ordinary course of its business and being used by the subscriber or user in the ordinary course of its business; ~~for~~



(b) *Furnished by the subscriber or user for connection to the facilities of an electronic communication service and being used by the subscriber or user in the ordinary course of its business; or*

(c) Being used by a ~~communications common carrier~~ *provider of electronic communication service* in the ordinary course of its business, or by an investigative or law enforcement officer in the ordinary course of his or her duties.

2. A hearing aid or similar device being used to correct subnormal hearing to not better than normal.

Sec. 12. NRS 179.430 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.430 "Intercept" means the aural acquisition of the contents of any wire , *electronic* or oral communication through the use of any electronic, mechanical or other device or of any sending or receiving equipment.

Sec. 13. NRS 179.435 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.435 "Investigative or law enforcement officer" means any officer of the *United States or this* State or a political subdivision thereof who is empowered by the law of this ~~state~~ *State* to conduct investigations of or to make arrests for felonies, and any attorney authorized by law to prosecute or participate in the prosecution of such offenses.

Sec. 14. NRS 179.440 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.440 "Oral communication" means any verbal message uttered by a person exhibiting an expectation that such communication is not subject to interception, under circumstances justifying such expectation. *The term does not include an electronic communication.*

Sec. 15. NRS 179.455 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.455 "Wire communication" means any ~~communication~~ *aural transfer* made in whole or in part through the use of facilities for the transmission of communications by the aid of wire, cable or other like connection between the point of origin and the point of reception , *including the use of such connection in a switching station*, furnished or operated by any person engaged ~~as a common carrier~~ in providing or operating such facilities for the transmission of intrastate, interstate or foreign communications.

Sec. 16. NRS 179.460 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.460 1. The Attorney General or the district attorney of any county may apply to a Supreme Court justice or to a district judge in the county where the interception is to take place for an order authorizing the interception of wire , *electronic* or oral communications, and the judge may, in accordance with NRS 179.470 to 179.515, inclusive, *and sections 6 and 7 of this act*, grant an order authorizing the interception of wire , *electronic* or oral communications by investigative or law enforcement officers



1 having responsibility for the investigation of the offense as to which
2 the application is made, when the interception may provide evidence
3 of the commission of murder, kidnapping, robbery, extortion,
4 bribery, escape of an offender in the custody of the Department of
5 Corrections, destruction of public property by explosives, a sexual
6 offense against a child, sex trafficking, a violation of NRS 200.463,
7 200.464 or 200.465, trafficking in persons in violation of NRS
8 200.467 or 200.468 or the commission of any offense which is made
9 a felony by the provisions of chapter 453 or 454 of NRS.

10 2. A good faith reliance by a public utility on a court order
11 ~~[shall constitute]~~ *constitutes* a complete defense to any civil or
12 criminal action brought against the public utility on account of any
13 interception made pursuant to the order.

14 3. As used in this section, “sexual offense against a child”
15 includes any act upon a child constituting:

- 16 (a) Incest pursuant to NRS 201.180;
17 (b) Lewdness with a child pursuant to NRS 201.230;
18 (c) Sado-masochistic abuse pursuant to NRS 201.262;
19 (d) Sexual assault pursuant to NRS 200.366;
20 (e) Statutory sexual seduction pursuant to NRS 200.368;
21 (f) Open or gross lewdness pursuant to NRS 201.210; or
22 (g) Luring a child or a person with mental illness pursuant to
23 NRS 201.560, if punished as a felony.

24 **Sec. 17.** NRS 179.465 is hereby amended to read as follows:

25 179.465 1. Any investigative or law enforcement officer
26 who, by any means authorized by NRS 179.410 to 179.515,
27 inclusive, *and sections 2 to 7, inclusive, of this act* or 704.195 or 18
28 U.S.C. §§ 2510 to ~~[2520,]~~ *2522*, inclusive, has obtained knowledge
29 of the contents of any wire , *electronic* or oral communication, or
30 evidence derived therefrom, may disclose the contents to another
31 investigative or law enforcement officer or use the contents to the
32 extent that the disclosure or use is appropriate to the proper
33 performance of the official duties of the officer making or receiving
34 the disclosure.

35 2. Any person who has received, by any means authorized by
36 NRS 179.410 to 179.515, inclusive, *and sections 2 to 7, inclusive,*
37 *of this act* or 704.195 or 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510 to ~~[2520,]~~ *2522*,
38 inclusive, or by a statute of another state, any information
39 concerning a wire , *electronic* or oral communication, or evidence
40 derived therefrom intercepted in accordance with the provisions of
41 NRS 179.410 to 179.515, inclusive, *and sections 2 to 7, inclusive,*
42 *of this act* may disclose the contents of that communication or the
43 derivative evidence while giving testimony under oath or
44 affirmation in any criminal proceeding in any court or before any



grand jury in this state, or in any court of the United States or of any state, or in any federal or state grand jury proceeding.

3. An otherwise privileged wire , *electronic* or oral communication intercepted in accordance with, or in violation of, the provisions of NRS 179.410 to 179.515, inclusive, *and sections 2 to 7, inclusive, of this act* or 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510 to ~~2520,~~ 2522, inclusive, does not lose its privileged character.

4. When an investigative or law enforcement officer engaged in intercepting wire , *electronic* or oral communications as authorized by NRS 179.410 to 179.515, inclusive, *and sections 2 to 7, inclusive, of this act*, intercepts wire , *electronic* or oral communications relating to offenses other than those specified in the order provided for in NRS 179.460, the contents of the communications and the evidence derived therefrom may be disclosed or used as provided in subsection 1. The direct evidence derived from the communications is inadmissible in a criminal proceeding, but any other evidence obtained as a result of knowledge obtained from the communications may be disclosed or used as provided in subsection 2 when authorized or approved by a justice of the Supreme Court or district judge who finds upon application made as soon as practicable that the contents of the communications were intercepted in accordance with the provisions of NRS 179.410 to 179.515, inclusive, *and sections 2 to 7, inclusive, of this act* or 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510 to ~~2520,~~ 2522, inclusive.

Sec. 18. NRS 179.470 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.470 1. Each application for an order authorizing the interception of a wire , *electronic* or oral communication must be made in writing upon oath or affirmation to a justice of the Supreme Court or district judge and must state the applicant's authority to make such application. Each application must include the following information:

(a) The identity of the investigative or law enforcement officer making the application, and the officer authorizing the application.

(b) A full and complete statement of the facts and circumstances relied upon by the applicant to justify the applicant's belief that an order should be issued, including:

(1) Details as to the particular offense that is being, has been or is about to be committed.

(2) A particular description of the nature and location of the facilities from which or the place where the communication is to be intercepted, the facilities to be used and the means by which such interception is to be made.

(3) A particular description of the type of communications sought to be intercepted.



(4) The identity of the person, if known, who is committing, has committed or is about to commit an offense and whose communications are to be intercepted.

(c) A full and complete statement as to whether or not other investigative procedures have been tried and failed or why they reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried or to be too dangerous.

(d) A statement of the period of time for which the interception is required to be maintained. If the nature of the investigation is such that the authorization for interception should not automatically terminate when the described type of communication has been obtained, a particular description of facts establishing probable cause to believe that additional communications of the same type will occur thereafter.

(e) A full and complete statement of the facts concerning all previous applications known to the person authorizing and making the application made to any judge for authorization to intercept wire , *electronic* or oral communications involving any of the same persons, facilities or places specified in the application, and the action taken by the judge on each such application.

(f) Where the application is for the extension of an order, a statement setting forth the results thus far obtained from the interception, or a reasonable explanation of the failure to obtain such results.

2. The judge may require the applicant to furnish additional testimony or documentary evidence under oath or affirmation in support of the application. Oral testimony must be reduced to writing.

3. Upon such application the judge may enter an ex parte order, as requested or as modified, authorizing interception of wire , *electronic* or oral communications within the territorial jurisdiction of the court in which the judge is sitting, if the judge determines on the basis of the facts submitted by the applicant that:

(a) There is probable cause for belief that a person is committing, has committed or is about to commit an offense for which interception is authorized by NRS 179.460.

(b) There is probable cause for belief that particular communications concerning that offense will be obtained through such interception.

(c) Normal investigative procedures have been tried and have failed or reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried or appear to be too dangerous.

(d) There is probable cause for belief that the facilities from which, or the place where, the wire , *electronic* or oral communications are to be intercepted are being used or are about to



be used by such person in connection with the commission of such offense or are leased to, listed in the name of, or commonly used by such person.

4. The judge shall accept a facsimile copy of the signature of any person required to give an oath or affirmation as part of an application submitted pursuant to this section as an original signature to the application.

Sec. 19. NRS 179.475 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.475 1. Each order authorizing the interception of any wire, *electronic* or oral communication ~~[shall]~~ *must* specify:

(a) The identity of the person, if known, whose communications are to be intercepted.

(b) The nature and location of the place where or communication facilities to which authority to intercept is granted, the facilities to be used and the means by which such interceptions ~~[shall]~~ *will* be made.

(c) A particular description of the type of communication sought to be intercepted, and a statement of the particular offense to which it relates.

(d) The identity of the agency authorized to intercept the communications, and of the person authorizing the application.

(e) The period of time during which such interception is authorized, including a statement as to whether or not the interception ~~[shall]~~ *will* automatically terminate when the described communication has been first obtained.

2. An order authorizing the interception of a wire, *electronic* or oral communication shall, upon request of the applicant, direct that a ~~[communications common carrier,]~~ *provider of electronic communication service*, landlord, custodian or other person shall furnish the applicant forthwith all information, facilities, and technical assistance necessary to accomplish the interception unobtrusively and with a minimum of interference with the services that such ~~[carrier,]~~ *provider of electronic communication service*, landlord, custodian, or person is according the person whose communications are to be intercepted. Any ~~[communications common carrier,]~~ *provider of electronic communication service*, landlord, custodian or other person furnishing such facilities or technical assistance ~~[shall]~~ *must* be compensated therefor by the applicant at the prevailing rates.

3. No order entered under this section may authorize the interception of any wire, *electronic* or oral communication for any period longer than is necessary to achieve the objective of the authorization, and in no event longer than 30 days. Extensions of an order may be granted, but only upon application for an extension made in accordance with the procedures provided in NRS 179.470.



1 The period of extension ~~{shall}~~ *must* be no longer than the
2 authorizing judge deems necessary to achieve the purposes for
3 which it was granted and in no event for longer than 30 days. Every
4 order and extension thereof ~~{shall}~~ *must* contain a provision that the
5 authorization to intercept ~~{shall}~~ *must* be executed as soon as
6 practicable, ~~{shall}~~ *must* be conducted in such a way as to minimize
7 the interception of communications not otherwise subject to
8 interception under this statute, and ~~{shall}~~ *will* terminate upon
9 attainment of the authorized objective, or in any event in 30 days.

10 **Sec. 20.** NRS 179.485 is hereby amended to read as follows:

11 179.485 The contents of any wire , *electronic* or oral
12 communication intercepted by any means authorized by NRS
13 179.410 to 179.515, inclusive, ~~{shall}~~ *and sections 2 to 7, inclusive,*
14 *of this act must*, if possible, be recorded on tape or wire or other
15 comparable device. The recording of the contents of any wire ,
16 *electronic* or oral communication under this section ~~{shall}~~ *must* be
17 done in such a way as will protect the recording from editing or
18 other alterations. Immediately upon the expiration of the period of
19 the order, or extensions thereof, such recordings ~~{shall}~~ *must* be
20 made available to the judge issuing such order and sealed under the
21 judge's directions. Custody of the recordings ~~{shall}~~ *must* be placed
22 with whomever the judge directs. They ~~{shall}~~ *must* not be
23 destroyed except upon an order of the judge issuing such order and
24 in any event ~~{shall}~~ *must* be kept for 10 years. Duplicate recordings
25 may be made for use or disclosure pursuant to the provisions of
26 subsection 1 of NRS 179.465 for investigations. The presence
27 of the seal provided for by this section, or a satisfactory explanation
28 for the absence thereof, is a prerequisite for the use or disclosure of
29 the contents of any wire , *electronic* or oral communication or
30 evidence derived therefrom under subsection 2 of NRS 179.465.

31 **Sec. 21.** NRS 179.495 is hereby amended to read as follows:

32 179.495 1. Within a reasonable time but not later than 90
33 days after the termination of the period of an order or any extension
34 thereof, the judge who issued the order shall cause to be served on
35 the chief of the Investigation Division of the Department of Public
36 Safety, persons named in the order and any other parties to
37 intercepted communications, an inventory which must include
38 notice of:

39 (a) The fact of the entry and a copy of the order.

40 (b) The fact that during the period wire , *electronic* or oral
41 communications were or were not intercepted.

42 ➤ Except as otherwise provided in NRS 239.0115, the inventory
43 filed pursuant to this section is confidential and must not be released
44 for inspection unless subpoenaed by a court of competent
45 jurisdiction.



2. The judge, upon receipt of a written request from any person who was a party to an intercepted communication or from the person's attorney, shall make available to the person or the person's counsel those portions of the intercepted communications which contain the person's conversation. On an ex parte showing of good cause to a district judge, the serving of the inventory required by this section may be postponed for such time as the judge may provide.

Sec. 22. NRS 179.500 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.500 The contents of any intercepted wire , *electronic* or oral communication or evidence derived therefrom ~~[shall]~~ *must* not be received in evidence or otherwise disclosed in any trial, hearing or other proceeding in any court of this state unless each party, not less than 10 days before the trial, hearing or proceeding, has been furnished with a copy of the court order and accompanying application under which the interception was authorized and a transcript of any communications intercepted. Such 10-day period may be waived by the judge if the judge finds that it was not possible to furnish the party with such information 10 days before the trial, hearing or proceeding and that the party will not be prejudiced by the delay in receiving such information.

Sec. 23. NRS 179.505 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.505 1. Any aggrieved person in any trial, hearing or proceeding in or before any court, department, officer, agency or other authority of this State, or a political subdivision thereof, may move to suppress the contents of any intercepted wire , *electronic* or oral communication, or evidence derived therefrom, on the grounds that:

(a) The communication was unlawfully intercepted.

(b) The order of authorization under which it was intercepted is insufficient on its face.

(c) The interception was not made in conformity with the order of authorization.

(d) The period of the order and any extension had expired.

2. Such *a* motion ~~[shall]~~ *must* be made before the trial, hearing or proceeding unless there was no opportunity to make such *a* motion or the person was not aware of the grounds of the motion. If the motion is granted, the contents of the intercepted wire , *electronic* or oral communication, or evidence derived therefrom, ~~[shall]~~ *must* be treated as having been obtained in violation of NRS 179.410 to 179.515, inclusive ~~[]~~ , *and sections 2 to 7, inclusive, of this act.* The judge, upon the filing of such motion by the aggrieved person, may in the judge's discretion make available to the aggrieved person or the aggrieved person's counsel for inspection such portions of the intercepted communication or evidence derived therefrom as the judge determines to be in the interest of justice.



Sec. 24. NRS 179.515 is hereby amended to read as follows:

179.515 1. In January of each year, the Attorney General and the district attorney of each county shall report to the Administrative Office of the United States Courts the information required to be reported pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2519. A copy of the report must be filed with the Investigation Division of the Department of Public Safety. In the case of a joint application by the Attorney General and a district attorney both shall make the report.

2. Every justice of the Supreme Court or district judge who signs an order authorizing or denying an interception shall, within 30 days after the termination of the order or any extension thereof, file with the Investigation Division of the Department of Public Safety on forms approved by the Division a report containing the same information required to be reported pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2519. The report must also indicate whether a party to an intercepted wire , *electronic or oral* communication had consented to the interception.

3. The willful failure of any officer to report any information known to the officer which is required to be reported pursuant to subsection 1 or 2 constitutes malfeasance in office and, in such cases, the Secretary of State shall, when the wrong becomes known to the Secretary of State, institute legal proceedings for the removal of that officer.

4. The Investigation Division of the Department of Public Safety shall, on or before April 30 of each year, compile a report consisting of a summary and analysis of all reports submitted to the Division pursuant to this section during the previous calendar year. The report is a public record and may be inspected by any person during the regular office hours of the Division.

Sec. 25. NRS 199.540 is hereby amended to read as follows:

199.540 1. It is unlawful for an officer or employee of a court or law enforcement agency, or any employee of a ~~telecommunications common-carrier,~~ *provider of electronic communication service*, landlord, custodian or other person who is ordered pursuant to subsection 2 of NRS 179.475 to furnish information, facilities and technical assistance necessary to accomplish an authorized interception of a wire , *electronic* or oral communication, having knowledge that an order has been applied for or has been issued authorizing the interception of a wire , *electronic* or oral communication in accordance with NRS 179.410 to 179.515, inclusive, *and sections 2 to 7, inclusive, of this act* to:

(a) Give notice of the interception; or

(b) Attempt to give notice of the interception,

➔ to any person with the intent to obstruct, impede or prevent the interception of the wire , *electronic* or oral communication.



* A B 4 3 3 *

2. It is unlawful for an officer or employee of a court or law enforcement agency, or any employee of a ~~communications common-carrier,~~ *provider of electronic communication service*, landlord, custodian or other person who is ordered pursuant to subsection 2 of NRS 179.475 to furnish information, facilities and technical assistance necessary to accomplish an authorized interception of a wire , *electronic* or oral communication, having knowledge that an order has been applied for or has been issued authorizing the use of a pen register or trap and trace device to:

(a) Give notice of the use of the pen register or device; or

(b) Attempt to give notice of the use of the pen register or device,

↳ to any person with the intent to obstruct, impede or prevent that use.

3. A person who violates any provision of subsection 1 or 2 is guilty of a category D felony and shall be punished as provided in NRS 193.130.

