#### Amendment No. 332

Assembly Amendment to Assembly Bill No. 98	(BDR 11-49)			
Proposed by: Assembly Committee on Judiciary				
Amends: Summary: Yes Title: Yes Preamble: No Joint Sponsorship: No	Digest: Yes			

ASSEMBLY	ACT	TION	Initial and Date	SENATE ACTIO	ON Initial and Date
Adopted		Lost	1	Adopted	Lost
Concurred In		Not	1	Concurred In	Not
Receded		Not	1	Receded	Not

EXPLANATION: Matter in (1) *blue bold italics* is new language in the original bill; (2) variations of <u>green bold underlining</u> is language proposed to be added in this amendment; (3) <u>red-strikethrough</u> is deleted language in the original bill; (4) <u>purple double strikethrough</u> is language proposed to be deleted in this amendment; (5) <u>orange double underlining</u> is deleted language in the original bill proposed to be retained in this amendment.

MNM/BAW



A.B. No. 98—Revises provisions governing child custody, child support and visitation. (BDR 11-49)

Date: 4/17/2015

### ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 98–ASSEMBLYMAN ELLISON

#### Prefiled January 30, 2015

## Referred to Committee on Judiciary

SUMMARY—Revises provisions governing <del>[child-custody,]</del> child support. <del>[and visitation.]</del> (BDR 11-49)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.

Effect on the State: No.

~

EXPLANATION - Matter in bolded italics is new; matter between brackets formitted material is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to domestic relations; <del>[elarifying that there is a presumption that</del> joint legal custody and joint physical custody would be in the best interest of a minor child of a marriage under certain circumstances; providing a new formula by which to calculate child support when parents have joint physical custody of a child; defining the term "monthly household income" for the purposes of such a formula; increasing a parent's monthly presumptive maximum amount for an obligation for the support of a child; providing a method to calculate the amount of child support to be paid in situations involving primary physical custody and joint physical custody; revising the factors a court must consider in adjusting the amount of child support: when parents have joint physical custody of a child; revising the circumstances which constitute changed circumstances for purposes of modifying a child support order; revising provisions governing orders awarding visitation of a minor child; defining the term "minor child" for the purposes of such visitation orders; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Under existing law, there is a presumption that joint eustedy is in the best interest of a minor child of a marriage if the parents agree to an award of joint eustedy. (NRS 125.490) Section 2 of this bill clarifies that the presumption concerns joint legal custody and joint physical custody of such a minor child.

In determining the amount of child support in a case in which the parents of a minor child have joint physical custody of the child. Nevada courts currently use the formula set forth by the Nevada Supreme Court in Wright v. Osburn, 114 Nev. 1367 (1998), which takes into account the gross monthly income of each parent. Section 6 of this bill sets forth a new formula by which a court will determine the amount of child support in cases involving joint physical custody, which takes into account the monthly household income of each parent. Section 5 of this bill defines the term "monthly household income" to include the gross monthly income of a parent and the gross monthly income of the current spouse or domestic partner or a cohabitant of the parent.]

 Existing law establishes a parent's monthly obligation for the support of a child, which is calculated as a percentage of the gross monthly income of the parent. Such an obligation for support is subject to a presumptive maximum amount based on the income range within which a parent's gross monthly income falls. (NRS 125B.070) Section 8 of this bill: (1) revises the income ranges used to determine the presumptive maximum amount for an obligation for support; and (2) increases the presumptive maximum amount for an obligation for support for each income range. Section 8 also provides a method for calculating the total amount of child support to be paid by one parent to the other parent depending on whether one parent has primary physical custody or the parents share joint physical custody.

Existing law specifies certain factors that a court must take into consideration when adjusting an amount of child support. (NRS 125B.080) Section 9 of this bill provides that if the amount of child support is determined pursuant to section 6, the court must take into consideration the relative monthly household income of both parents.

Existing law also provides that a child support order may be reviewed by a court at any time on the basis of changed circumstances. (NRS 125B.145) Section 10 of this bill provides that if the amount of child support ordered was determined pursuant to section 6, an increase in the monthly household income of the person entitled to receive child support payments pursuant to the order such that his or her monthly household income exceeds that of the person obligated to pay child support pursuant to the order is deemed to constitute changed circumstances requiring a review for modification of the order.

Existing law additionally sets forth certain requirements regarding any order that awards a party a right of visitation of a minor child. (NRS 125C.010) Section 11 of this bill requires

Existing law additionally sate forth eartain requirements regarding any order that awards a party a right of visitation of a minor child. (NRS 125C.010) Section 11 of this bill requires any such order to provide that such a right of visitation generally remains effective as long as the child remains a minor child. Section 11 defines the term "minor child" using the definition of the term as it is used for purposes of child support, meaning a person who is: (1) under the age of 18 years; (2) under the age of 19 years, if the person is enrolled in high school; (3) under a legal disability; or (4) not declared emancipated.] requires the court to take into consideration the child's standard of living in each parent's household and the specific circumstances of the child if the child: (1) has reached the age of majority; (2) has not graduated from high school; and (3) remains entitled to child support pursuant to a child support order. Section 9 further provides that when the court is taking into consideration the relative income of both parents, the court must consider any contributions made toward the payment of household expenses by an adult who is cohabitating with either parent of the child.

# THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. [NRS 125.150 is hereby amended to read as follows:

— 125.150 Except as otherwise provided in NRS 125.155 and unless the action is contrary to a premarital agreement between the parties which is enforceable pursuant to chapter 123 A of NRS:

- 1. In granting a divorce, the court:
- (a) May award such alimony to the wife or to the husband, in a specified principal sum or as specified periodic payments, as appears just and equitable; and
- (b) Shall, to the extent practicable, make an equal disposition of the community property of the parties, except that the court may make an unequal disposition of the community property in such proportions as it deems just if the court finds a compelling reason to do so and sets forth in writing the reasons for making the unequal disposition.
- 2. Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, in granting a divorce, the court shall dispose of any property held in joint tenancy in the manner set forth in subsection 1 for the disposition of community property. If a party has made a contribution of separate property to the acquisition or improvement of property held

in joint tenancy, the court may provide for the reimbursement of that party for his or her contribution. The amount of reimbursement must not exceed the amount of the contribution of separate property that can be traced to the acquisition or improvement of property held in joint tenancy, without interest or any adjustment because of an increase in the value of the property held in joint tenancy. The amount of reimbursement must not exceed the value, at the time of the disposition, of the property held in joint tenancy for which the contribution of separate property was made. In determining whether to provide for the reimbursement, in whole or in part, of a party who has contributed separate property, the court shall consider:

- (a) The intention of the parties in placing the property in joint tenancy;
- 1 (b) The length of the marriage; and
  - (e) Any other factor which the court deems relevant in making a just and equitable disposition of that property.
  - As used in this subsection, "contribution" includes, without limitation, a down payment, a payment for the acquisition or improvement of property, and a payment reducing the principal of a loan used to finance the purchase or improvement of property. The term does not include a payment of interest on a loan used to finance the purchase or improvement of property, or a payment made for maintenance, insurance or taxes on property.
  - 3. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 125.141, whether or not application for suit money has been made under the provisions of NRS 125.040, the court may award a reasonable attorney's fee to either party to an action for divorce.
  - 4. In granting a divorce, the court may also set apart such portion of the husband's separate property for the wife's support, the wife's separate property for the husband's support or the separate property of either spouse for the support of their children as is deemed just and equitable.
  - 5. In the event of the death of either party or the subsequent remarriage of the spouse to whom specified periodic payments were to be made, all the payments required by the decree must cease, unless it was otherwise ordered by the court.
  - 6. If the court adjudicates the property rights of the parties, or an agreement by the parties settling their property rights has been approved by the court, whether or not the court has retained jurisdiction to modify them, the adjudication of property rights, and the agreements settling property rights, may nevertheless at any time thereafter be modified by the court upon written stipulation signed and acknowledged by the parties to the action, and in accordance with the terms thereof.
  - 7. If a decree of divorce, or an agreement between the parties which was ratified, adopted or approved in a decree of divorce, provides for specified periodic payments of alimony, the decree or agreement is not subject to modification by the court as to accrued payments. Payments pursuant to a decree entered on or after July 1, 1975, which have not accrued at the time a motion for modification is filed may be modified upon a showing of changed circumstances, whether or not the court has expressly retained jurisdiction for the modification. In addition to any other factors the court considers relevant in determining whether to modify the order, the court shall consider whether the income of the spouse who is ordered to pay alimony, as indicated on the spouse's federal income tax return for the preceding calendar year, has been reduced to such a level that the spouse is financially unable to pay the amount of alimony the spouse has been ordered to pay.
  - 8. In addition to any other factors the court considers relevant in determining whether to award alimony and the amount of such an award, the court shall consider:
  - (a) The financial condition of each spouse;
    - (b) The nature and value of the respective property of each spouse;

child if the parents have agreed to an award of joint legal custody and joint

53

50 51 52

1

2 3 4

physical custody or so agree in open court at a hearing for the determining the eustody of the minor child or children of the marriage.

- The court may award joint legal custody without awarding joint physical custody in a case where the parents have agreed to joint legal custody.
- 3. For assistance in making a determination whether an award of joint legal custody and joint physical custody is appropriate, the court may direct that an investigation be conducted.] (Deleted by amendment.)
- Sec. 3. Chapter 125B of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto the provisions set forth as sections 4, 5 and 6 of this act.] (Deleted by amendment.)
- Sec. 4. ["Gross monthly income" means the total amount of income received each month from any source of a person who is not self employed or the gross income from any source of a self employed person, after deduction of all legitimate business expenses, but without deduction for personal income taxes, contributions for retirement benefits, contributions to a pension or for any other personal expenses. (Deleted by amendment.)
- Sec. 5. ["Monthly household income" means the total amount of income received in a parent's household from the gross monthly income of the parent and the gross monthly income of the current spouse or domestic partner or a cohabitant of the parent. As used in this section, "domestic partner" means a person who is in a domestic partnership that is registered pursuant to chapter 122.4 of NRS and that has not been terminated pursuant to that chapter.] (Deleted by amendment.)
- Sec. 6. If the parents of a child or children are awarded joint physical custody of the child or children, the amount of child support to be paid by the parent with the higher monthly household income to the parent with the lower monthly household income must be calculated as follows:
- 1. The total combined monthly household income of both parents is multiplied by the applicable percentage according to the following schedule:

  (a) For one child, 18 percent;
- (b) For two children, 25 percent;
- (c) For three children, 29 percent;
- (d) For four children, 31 percent; and
- (c) For each additional child, an additional 2 percent.
- The amount determined pursuant to subsection 1 is multiplied by 50 percent. The resulting amount is added to the amount determined pursuant to subsection 1 to determine the total combined child support obligation of both parents.
- 3. The amount determined pursuant to subsection 2 is multiplied by 50 percent, resulting in the total child support obligation for each household.
- 4. Each parent is assigned a percentage of the amount determined pursuant to subsection 3 for which he or she is responsible. The percentage a parent is assigned is determined in accordance with the percentage attributable to him or her of the total combined monthly household income of both parents. To calculate such a percentage, the monthly household income of a parent is divided by the total combined monthly household income of both parents. The resulting decimal amount, when converted to a percentage, represents the percentage attributable to that parent of the total combined monthly household income of both parents. The percentage assigned to that parent is used to determine the amount of child support for which he or she is responsible.
- 5. To determine the amount of child support for which a parent is responsible, the total child support obligation determined pursuant to subsection 3 is multiplied by the percentage assigned to the parent in subsection 4.

The difference between the amount of child support for which each parent is responsible, as determined pursuant to subsection 5, represents the final amount of child support to be paid by the parent with the higher monthly household income to the parent with the lower monthly household income. (Deleted by amendment.)

Sec. 7. [NRS 125B.002 is hereby amended to read as follows: 125B.002 As used in NRS 125B.002 to 125B.180, inclusive, and sections 4, 5 and 6 of this act, unless the context otherwise requires, the words and terms defined in NRS 125B.004 and 125B.008 and sections 4 and 5 of this act have the meanings ascribed to them in those sections. (Deleted by amendment.)

Sec. 8. NRS 125B.070 is hereby amended to read as follows:

125B.070 1. As used in this section and NRS 125B.080, unless the context otherwise requires:

- (a) "Gross monthly income" means the total amount of income received each month from any source of a person who is not self-employed or the gross income from any source of a self-employed person, after deduction of all legitimate business expenses, but without deduction for personal income taxes, contributions for retirement benefits, contributions to a pension or for any other personal expenses.
- (b) "Obligation I, "obligation for support" means the sum certain dollar amount determined according to the following schedule:

  - (1) (4) For one child, 18 percent; (2) (4) For two children, 25 percent; (3) (4) For three children, 29 percent;
  - (4) (4) For four children, 31 percent; and
  - (5) Helf For each additional child, an additional 2 percent,
- → of a parent's gross monthly income, but not more than the presumptive maximum amount per month per child set forth for the parent in subsection 2 for an obligation for support determined pursuant to subparagraphs (1) to (4), fparagraphs (a) to (d), inclusive, unless the court sets forth findings of fact as to the basis for a different amount pursuant to subsection 6 of NRS 125B.080.
- For the purposes of paragraph (b) of subsection 1, the presumptive maximum amount per month per child for an obligation for support, as adjusted pursuant to subsection 3, is:

PRESUMPTIVE MAXIMUM AMOUNT The Presumptive Maximum Amount [the]

Parent May Be Required to Payl INCOME RANGE for an obligation for support per Month per Child Pursuant to If the Parent's Gross But Paragraph (b) of Subsection 1 Is Monthly Income Is at Least Less Than

```
<del>[$4,168]</del> $4,235
                                              <del>1$5001</del> $670
    6.2511 6,351
                                                  <del>|550|</del> 817
                                                   600i 964
       <del>3341</del> 8,467
   0,418] 10,585
2,501] 12,701
     No Limit
```

If a parent's gross monthly income is equal to or greater than \$14,583 presumptive maximum amount the parent may be required to pay pursuant to paragraph (b) of subsection 1 is \$800.

- 23456789
- 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
- 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

- 32 33 34 35
- 36 37 38 39
- 40 41 42 43 44
- 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53

45

- The presumptive maximum amounts set forth in subsection 2 for the obligation for support must be adjusted on July 1 of each year for the fiscal year beginning that day and ending June 30 in a rounded dollar amount corresponding to the percentage of increase or decrease in the Consumer Price Index (All Items) published by the United States Department of Labor for the preceding calendar year. On April 1 of each year, the Office of Court Administrator shall determine the amount of the increase or decrease required by this subsection, establish the adjusted amounts to take effect on July 1 of that year and notify each district court of the adjusted amounts.
- To calculate the support of a child in a case in which one parent has primary physical custody of the child, the applicable percentage set forth in paragraph (b) of subsection 1 will be applied to the gross monthly income of the noncustodial parent. Subject to the presumptive maximum amount set forth in subsection 2 for an obligation for support, and unless the court sets forth findings of fact as to the basis for a different amount pursuant to subsection 6 of NRS 125B.080, the resulting amount is the monthly child support obligation of the noncustodial parent.
- 5. To calculate the support of a child in a case in which the parents share joint physical custody of the child, the applicable percentage set forth in paragraph (b) of subsection 1 will be applied to each parent's gross monthly income. Subject to the presumptive maximum amounts set forth in subsection 2 for an obligation for support, the child support obligation of the parent who has the lower gross monthly income will be subtracted from the child support obligation of the parent who has the higher gross monthly income. Unless the court sets forth findings of fact as to the basis for a different amount pursuant to subsection 6 of NRS 125B.080, the resulting amount is the monthly child support obligation of the parent who has the higher gross monthly income.
- 6. As used in this section [ "Office] :
  (a) "Joint physical custody" means a custodial arrangement in which each parent has physical custody and control of a child for at least 146 days each year.
- (b) "Office of Court Administrator" means the Office of Court Administrator created pursuant to NRS 1.320.
- (c) "Primary physical custody" means a custodial arrangement in which one parent has physical custody and control of a child for more than 219 days each year.
  - NRS 125B.080 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - Except as otherwise provided in NRS 425.450:
- A court of this State shall apply the appropriate formula set forth in NRS 125B.070 for section 6 of this act, as applicable, to:
- (a) Determine the required support in any case involving the support of children.
- (b) Any request filed after July 1, 1987, to change the amount of the required support of children.
- If the parties agree as to the amount of support required, the parties shall certify that the amount of support is consistent with the appropriate formula set forth in NRS 125B.070 for section 6 of this act, as applicable. If the amount of support deviates from the fapplicable formula, the parties must stipulate sufficient facts in accordance with subsection 9 which justify the deviation to the court, and the court shall make a written finding thereon. Any inaccuracy or falsification of financial information which results in an inappropriate award of support is grounds for a motion to modify or adjust the award.
- 3. If the parties disagree as to the amount of the gross monthly income for monthly household income! of either party, [as applicable,] the court shall

determine the amount and may direct either party to furnish financial information or other records, including income tax returns for the preceding 3 years. Once a court has established an obligation for support by reference to a formula set forth in NRS 125B.070 \*\*for section 6 of this act, as applicable.]\* any subsequent modification or adjustment of that support, except for any modification or adjustment made pursuant to subsection 3 of NRS 125B.070 or NRS 425.450 or as a result of a review conducted pursuant to subsection 1 of NRS 125B.145, must be based upon changed circumstances.

4. Notwithstanding the formulas set forth in NRS 125B.070, the minimum amount of support that may be awarded by a court in any case *fin which a parent has primary physical custody!* is \$100 per month per child, unless the court makes a written finding that the obligor is unable to pay the minimum amount. Willful underemployment or unemployment is not a sufficient cause to deviate from the awarding of at least the minimum amount.

awarding of at least the minimum amount.

5. It is presumed that the basic needs of a child are met by the formulas set forth in NRS 125B.070 and section 6 of this act. This presumption may be rebutted by evidence proving that the needs of a particular child are not met by the applicable formula.

6. If the amount of the awarded support for a child is greater or less than the amount which would be established under the applicable formula, the court shall:

(a) Set forth findings of fact as to the basis for the deviation from the formula; and

(b) Provide in the findings of fact the amount of support that would have been established under the applicable formula.

7. Expenses for health care which are not reimbursed, including expenses for medical, surgical, dental, orthodontic and optical expenses, must be borne equally by both parents in the absence of extraordinary circumstances.

8. If a parent who has an obligation for support is willfully underemployed or unemployed to avoid an obligation for support of a child, that obligation must be

based upon the parent's true potential earning capacity.

9. The court shall consider the following factors when adjusting the amount of support of a child upon specific findings of fact : to establish an amount of support that is adequate to fulfill a child's needs and is fair to both parents based on the circumstances of the case:

- (a) The cost of health insurance;
- (b) The cost of child care;
- (c) Any special educational needs of the child;
- (d) The age of the child;
- (e) The legal responsibility of the parents for the support of others;
- (f) The value of services contributed by either parent;
- (g) Any public assistance paid to support the child;
- (h) Any expenses reasonably related to the mother's pregnancy and confinement;
- (i) The cost of transportation of the child to and from visitation if the custodial parent moved with the child from the jurisdiction of the court which ordered the support and the noncustodial parent remained;
  - (j) The amount of time the child spends with each parent;
  - (k) Any other necessary expenses for the benefit of the child; and
  - (1) The relative income of both parents [...; and

(m) If the amount of support is determined pursuant to section 6 of this act, the relative monthly household income of both parents.], including, without limitation, any contributions made toward the payment of household expenses by an adult who is cohabitating with either parent;

```
(m) The child's standard of living in each parent's household; and
            (n) The specific circumstances of the child if the child:
 2
3
4
5
6
7
                (1) Has reached the age of majority;
                (2) Has not graduated from high school; and
                (3) Remains entitled to support pursuant to an order for the support of a
       child.
            Sec. 10. [NRS 125B.145 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 8
            125B.145 1. An order for the support of a child must, upon the filing of a
 9
       request for review by:
10
            (a) The Division of Welfare and Supportive Services of the Department of
11
       Health and Human Services, its designated representative or the district attorney, if
       the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services or the district attorney has
12
13
       jurisdiction in the case; or
14
           (b) A parent or legal guardian of the child,
       be reviewed by the court at least every 3 years pursuant to this section to determine whether the order should be modified or adjusted. Each review conducted pursuant to this section must be in response to a separate request.
15
16
17
18
            If the court:
19
            (a) Does not have jurisdiction to modify the order, the court may forward the
20
       request to any court with appropriate jurisdiction.
21
            (b) Has jurisdiction to modify the order and, taking into account the best
22
       interests of the child, determines that modification or adjustment of the order is
       appropriate, the court shall enter an order modifying or adjusting the previous order
23
24
       for support in accordance with the requirements of NRS 125B.070 or section 6 of
25
       this act, as applicable, and 125B.080.
26
                The court shall ensure that:
27
            (a) Each person who is subject to an order for the support of a child is notified,
28
       not less than once every 3 years, that the person may request a review of the order
29
        pursuant to this section; or
30
            (b) An order for the support of a child includes notification that each person
31
       who is subject to the order may request a review of the order pursuant to this
32
       section.
33
           4. An order for the support of a child may be reviewed at any time on the
34
       basis of changed circumstances. For the purposes of this subsection, [a] the
35
       following shall be deemed to constitute changed circumstances requiring a review
36
       for modification of the order for the support of a child:
           (a) A change of 20 percent or more in the gross monthly income of a person
37
       who is subject to an order for the support of a child. [shall be deemed to constitute
38
39
       changed circumstances requiring a review for modification of the order for the
       support of a child.
40
41
       (b) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (a), if the amount of support set forth in an order for the support of a child was determined pursuant
42
43
       to section 6 of this act, an increase in the monthly household income of the
44
       person entitled to receive the payments for the support of the child pursuant to
45
       the order such that the person's monthly household income exceeds the monthly
       household income of the person obligated to make payments for the support of
46
47
       the child pursuant to the order.
48
                As used in this section [:
            (a) "Gross monthly income" has the meaning ascribed to it in NRS 125B.070.
49
            (b) "Order], "order for the support of a child" means such an order that was
50
51
       issued or is being enforced by a court of this State.] (Deleted by amendment.)
```

1	Sec. 11. <del>INRS 125C.010 is hereby amended to read as follows:</del>
2	125C.010 1. Any order awarding a party a right of visitation of a minor
3	child must:
4	(a) Define that right with sufficient particularity to ensure that the rights of the
5	parties can be properly enforced and that the best interest of the child is achieved;
6	<del>[and]</del>
7	(b) Provide that, subject to a subsequent finding by a court of competent
8	jurisdiction that the best interest of the child is not achieved by such visitation,
9	the right of visitation remains effective as long as the child remains a minor
10	child; and
11	(c) Specify that the State of Nevada or the state where the child resides within
12	the United States of America is the habitual residence of the child.
13	The order must include all specific times and other terms of the right of
14	visitation.
15	2. As used in this section [, "sufficient]:
16	(a) "Minor child" has the meaning ascribed to it in NRS 125B.200.
17	(b) "Sufficient particularity" means a statement of the rights in absolute terms
18	and not by the use of the term "reasonable" or other similar term which is
19	susceptible to different interpretations by the parties.] (Deleted by amendment.)