

Amendment No. 398

Senate Amendment to Senate Bill No. 442	(BDR 3-1138)
Proposed by: Senate Committee on Judiciary	
Amends: Summary: No Title: Yes Preamble: No Joint Sponsorship: No Digest: Yes	

ASSEMBLY ACTION			Initial and Date	SENATE ACTION			Initial and Date		
Adopted	☐	Lost	☐	_____	Adopted	☐	Lost	☐	_____
Concurred In	☐	Not	☐	_____	Concurred In	☐	Not	☐	_____
Receded	☐	Not	☐	_____	Receded	☐	Not	☐	_____

EXPLANATION: Matter in (1) *blue bold italics* is new language in the original bill; (2) variations of green bold underlining is language proposed to be added in this amendment; (3) ~~red strikethrough~~ is deleted language in the original bill; (4) ~~purple double strikethrough~~ is language proposed to be deleted in this amendment; (5) orange double underlining is deleted language in the original bill proposed to be retained in this amendment.

MKM/NCA



Date: 4/13/2015

S.B. No. 442—Revises provisions governing arbitration. (BDR 3-1138)



SENATE BILL NO. 442—COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

MARCH 23, 2015

Referred to Committee on Judiciary

SUMMARY—Revises provisions governing arbitration. (BDR 3-1138)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.
Effect on the State: No.

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to arbitration; authorizing the removal of an arbitrator from an arbitral proceeding under certain circumstances; prohibiting an arbitrator from consolidating separate arbitral proceedings or other claims under certain circumstances; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Existing law establishes the Uniform Arbitration Act of 2000. (NRS 38.206-38.248) Under existing law, a person who is requested to serve as an arbitrator must disclose to all parties to the agreement to arbitrate and arbitral proceeding and to any other arbitrators any facts that a reasonable person would consider likely to affect the impartiality of the arbitrator in the proceeding. Existing law also authorizes a court, upon a timely objection by a party, to vacate an award made by an arbitrator who did not disclose such a fact. (NRS 38.227) Section 1 of this bill prohibits an arbitrator from consolidating separate arbitral proceedings or other claims unless all parties expressly agree to such consolidation. ~~Plus~~ Section 2 of this bill requires a court to remove an arbitrator who did not disclose such a fact from the arbitral proceeding if an award has not yet been made.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN
SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. NRS 38.224 is hereby amended to read as follows:

38.224 1. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 3, upon motion of a party to an agreement to arbitrate or to an arbitral proceeding, the court may order consolidation of separate arbitral proceedings as to all or some of the claims if:

(a) There are separate agreements to arbitrate or separate arbitral proceedings between the same persons or one of them is a party to a separate agreement to arbitrate or a separate arbitral proceeding with a third person;

(b) The claims subject to the agreements to arbitrate arise in substantial part from the same transaction or series of related transactions;

(c) The existence of a common issue of law or fact creates the possibility of conflicting decisions in the separate arbitral proceedings; and

(d) Prejudice resulting from a failure to consolidate is not outweighed by the risk of undue delay or prejudice to the rights of or hardship to parties opposing consolidation.

2. The court may order consolidation of separate arbitral proceedings as to some claims and allow other claims to be resolved in separate arbitral proceedings.

3. The court may not order consolidation of the claims of a party to an agreement to arbitrate if the agreement prohibits consolidation.

4. An arbitrator may not consolidate separate arbitral proceedings or other claims unless all parties expressly agree to the consolidation.

~~Section 1~~ **Sec. 2.** NRS 38.227 is hereby amended to read as follows:

38.227 1. Before accepting appointment, an individual who is requested to serve as an arbitrator, after making a reasonable inquiry, shall disclose to all parties to the agreement to arbitrate and arbitral proceeding and to any other arbitrators any known facts that a reasonable person would consider likely to affect the impartiality of the arbitrator in the proceeding, including:

(a) A financial or personal interest in the outcome of the arbitral proceeding; and

(b) An existing or past relationship with any of the parties to the agreement to arbitrate or the arbitral proceeding, their counsel or representatives, a witness or another arbitrator.

2. An arbitrator has a continuing obligation to disclose to all parties to the agreement to arbitrate and arbitral proceeding and to any other arbitrators any facts that the arbitrator learns after accepting appointment which a reasonable person would consider likely to affect the impartiality of the arbitrator.

3. If an arbitrator discloses a fact required by subsection 1 or 2 to be disclosed and a party timely objects to the appointment or continued service of the arbitrator based upon the fact disclosed, the objection may be a ground under paragraph (b) of subsection 1 of NRS 38.241 for vacating an award made by the arbitrator.

4. If the arbitrator did not disclose a fact as required by subsection 1 or 2, upon timely objection by a party ~~and a determination by the court under paragraph (b) of subsection 1 of NRS 38.241~~ ~~may vacate~~ *that the nondisclosed fact is one that a reasonable person would consider likely to affect the impartiality of the arbitrator in the arbitral proceeding, the court shall:*

(a) *Vacate an award made before the objecting party discovered such fact; or*

(b) *If an award has not been made before discovery of such fact, remove the arbitrator from the arbitral proceeding.*

5. An arbitrator appointed as a neutral arbitrator who does not disclose a known, direct and material interest in the outcome of the arbitral proceeding or a known, existing and substantial relationship with a party is presumed to act with evident partiality for the purposes of paragraph (b) of subsection 1 of NRS 38.241.

6. If the parties to an arbitral proceeding *expressly* agree to the procedures of an arbitral organization or any other procedures for challenges to arbitrators before an award is made, substantial compliance with those procedures is a condition precedent to a motion to vacate an award on that ground under paragraph (b) of subsection 1 of NRS 38.241.

Sec. 3. NRS 38.241 is hereby amended to read as follows:

38.241 1. Upon motion to the court by a party to an arbitral proceeding, the court shall vacate an award made in the arbitral proceeding if:

(a) The award was procured by corruption, fraud or other undue means;

(b) There was:

(1) Evident partiality by an arbitrator appointed as a neutral arbitrator;

(2) Corruption by an arbitrator; or

1 (3) Misconduct by an arbitrator prejudicing the rights of a party to the
2 arbitral proceeding;

3 (c) An arbitrator refused to postpone the hearing upon showing of sufficient
4 cause for postponement, refused to consider evidence material to the controversy,
5 or otherwise conducted the hearing contrary to NRS 38.231, so as to prejudice
6 substantially the rights of a party to the arbitral proceeding;

7 (d) An arbitrator exceeded his or her powers;

8 (e) There was no agreement to arbitrate, unless the movant participated in the
9 arbitral proceeding without raising the objection under subsection 3 of NRS 38.231
10 not later than the beginning of the arbitral hearing; or

11 (f) The arbitration was conducted without proper notice of the initiation of an
12 arbitration as required in NRS 38.223 so as to prejudice substantially the rights of a
13 party to the arbitral proceeding.

14 2. A motion under this section must be made within 90 days after the movant
15 receives notice of the award pursuant to NRS 38.236 or within 90 days after the
16 movant receives notice of a modified or corrected award pursuant to NRS 38.237,
17 unless the movant alleges that the award was procured by evident partiality,
18 corruption, fraud or other undue means, in which case the motion must be made
19 within 90 days after the ground is known or by the exercise of reasonable care
20 would have been known by the movant.

21 3. If the court vacates an award on a ground other than that set forth in
22 paragraph (e) of subsection 1, it may order a rehearing. If the award is vacated on a
23 ground stated in paragraph (a) or (b) of subsection 1, the rehearing must be before a
24 new arbitrator. If the award is vacated on a ground stated in paragraph (c), (d) or (f)
25 of subsection 1, the rehearing may be before the arbitrator who made the award or
26 the arbitrator's successor. The arbitrator must render the decision in the rehearing
27 within the same time as that provided in subsection 2 of NRS 38.236 for an award.

28 4. If the court denies a motion to vacate an award, it shall confirm the award
29 unless a motion to modify or correct the award is pending.

30 **Sec. 4. This act becomes effective upon passage and approval.**