



**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 6, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 57 / BDR 32 - 306

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nickolas A Providenti, Finance Director Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: No anticipated fiscal impact, as Churchill County does not have any known businesses that sell direct mail. However, we do support the streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: With no data for amounts collected from Sellers of direct mail that maintain a place of business in this state, the fiscal impact cannot be determined. If that information were available, the impact of this bill would most likely be a reduction of 95% of that which was previously collected. That is assuming 5% of mail transactions would be reported by the Purchaser.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Douglas County Approved by: Christine Vuletich, Assistant County Manager/CFO Comment: This change in sales and use tax reporting and payment for sales of direct mail would have no fiscal impact on Douglas County, as long as purchasers fulfill their obligations to pay and report the taxes due on direct mail sales where the seller has a business located in the State. Some loss of tax revenue could occur if purchasers do not comply.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Eureka County Approved by: Michael Mears, Budget Director Comment: We would expect this to increase sales tax revenue, but it is unknown by how much at this time.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller Comment: Not aware of any direct mail businesses in Humboldt County.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Lander County Approved by: Lura Duvall , Assessor Comment: NO IMPACT OR MINIMAL IMPACT				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Lyon County Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller Comment: I believe that this will have a significant impact on sales tax collection on behalf of Lyon County as this bill would make all direct mail sales taxes be paid by the individual not the seller. I don't believe many, IF ANY, individuals actually comply with the current law. We are unable to quantify the effect of this bill on sales tax collection as sales tax collection is confidential information maintained by the Department of Taxation. However, I expect it would be in the hundreds of thousands of dollars.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Pershing County Approved by: Karen Wesner, Admin. Assist. Comment: Pershing County Assessor stated that there was unknown fiscal impact.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: Washoe County cannot provide an estimate of fiscal impact since the sales tax data by seller is not available to us. We assume there will be an impact as the burden of paying sales taxes will go to the individual purchasers rather than the seller of the merchandise. The enforcement of payment of taxes would be very difficult. The system necessary to track and monitor small or individual purchasers for payment of taxes would be difficult and costly to create.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: To waive the obligation to collect sales tax by any business within the State of Nevada will result in the potential loss of tax revenue. As the category for advertising and promotional direct mail does not specifically exist within the Sales and Use Statistical Report for the State, it is difficult to assess the specific impact to the County. However, the anticipated outcome would be adverse impact to the County in the form of lost revenues.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Elko**

Approved by: Curtis Calder, City Manager

Comment: The City of Elko has no comment with regard to BDR 32-306.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Henderson**

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: The City of Henderson is not supplied with the number of direct mail companies that may be contributing to sales tax collections from a county wide stand point. Sales taxes are collected at the county level and distributed to cities and other governmental entities based on a formula. Therefore, the fiscal impact of this legislation cannot be calculated at this time.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Las Vegas**

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: The fiscal impact cannot not be determined at this time. We believe this would have a positive impact for the CLV.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: While realizing that there is a potential effect on the City of Reno, a fiscal impact cannot be determined at this time.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Sparks**

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: Data relating to the amount of direct mail sales activity within Washoe County which would impact the City of Sparks is unavailable. Thus, the fiscal impact is impossible to determine.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Carson City School District**

Approved by: Andrew J. Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: The magnitude of this change will be dependent on the number of purchasers it impacts and the level to which it is enforced. I have no idea of either.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Clark County School District**

Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO

Comment: CCSD does not anticipate any impact due to the inverted relationship of sales tax in the current funding formula. Under the existing funding formula if sales tax revenues increase, the DSA payment from the state would be decreased.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Douglas County School District Approved by: Holly Luna, CFO, Business Services Comment: The BDR revises provisions that will affect LSST which Douglas County School District is a recipient of a portion of receipts. However, there is not sufficient information to determine or calculate effects to provide a meaningful/substantial submittal on behalf of the school district.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Esmeralda County School District Approved by: Monie Byers, Superintendent Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent Comment: Lincoln County SD does not advertise through direct mail. There is no fiscal impact to our district.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lyon County School District Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance Comment: BDR 32-306 would have financial impacts depending on the amount of sales tax revenue collected. The amount is difficult to determine.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Pershing County School District Approved by: Dan Fox, Supt Comment: This should not have a negative impact on the district if enacted.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: Washoe County School District could expect to receive additional revenue as a result of the Streamlined Sales Tax but cannot forecast the extent to which that revenue may become available.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: White Pine County School District Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: Additional information would be necessary to provide a fiscal analysis.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Lincoln County Approved by: Denice Brown, Admin Assistant Comment: Not enough information available within the County to determine the impact.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities, counties and school districts did not provide a response: Elko County, Esmeralda County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Nevada League of Cities, Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, and Storey County School District.