



**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 7, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 97 / BDR 16 - 138

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 16-138 appears to create changes that are more procedural in nature and would create work for the District Court where a petition may need to be created to allow individuals to file with the Court a request to have an order issued, but it appears we will not be allowed to charge a filing fee. If we were allowed to charge a filing fee, it would be \$245, as it would be considered a civil action. The exact fiscal impact is difficult to determine at this time, and needs to be carefully considered.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: No fiscal impact.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Lincoln County Approved by: Denice Brown, Admin Assistant Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: The changes proposed will result in an adverse impact to the County in the form of higher costs for salary/benefits, supplies and postage, the potential of costs related to litigation and the possibility of payment for judgment against the County. Because the number of instances in which felons may request their civil rights to be restored is not known and the potential for litigation cannot be quantified, an exact amount for these additional items cannot be calculated.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.