



**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 8, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 22 / BDR 32 - 455

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: The impact of BDR 32-455 is estimated to be minimal. If this BDR were to pass, Churchill County would no longer receive the application fee from those businesses seeking a license within the City of Fallon, but we also would no longer be taking the time to investigate those businesses either.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: No fiscal impact – the license fees these applications generate are designed to reimburse the county for the costs incurred (background check and processing costs, investigations). By not processing the license, a loss of revenue would be incurred. However, the associated costs would also be eliminated. Thus, this proposal is cost neutral.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Douglas County Approved by: Paul Howell, Undersheriff Comment: Douglas County does not have any incorporated cities at this time. However if this bill were passed and we did have incorporated cities the county could lose up to \$300,000 a year in liquor license revenue. This projection is based on the fees collected in calendar year 2014				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	(\$300,000)	(\$300,000)	(\$300,000)	\$0

City/County: Eureka County Approved by: Michael Mears, Budget Director Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Lincoln County Approved by: Denice Brown, Admin Assistant Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager Comment: Minimal Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: The revenues for liquor licenses within incorporated areas of the County would be lost resulting in an annual decrease in revenues. The numbers above for lost revenues are estimates.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	(\$4,000)	(\$4,200)	(\$8,700)

City/County: City of Henderson Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: This legislation will not have an identifiable fiscal impact to the City of Henderson. This will be a customer services enhancement for the impacted businesses.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary Comment: No Fiscal Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr. Comment: After initial review, the City of Reno anticipates minimal revenue increase (\$<500) based upon historical applications through the county that would be processed by the City as a result of the proposed legislation.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$500	\$500	\$500

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: It does not appear that there will be a lot of volume within the City of Sparks associated with the new liquor licensing provisions listed in this bill. Although we are unable to determine the fiscal impact since this is proposing new licensing activities, we do expect the extent of the activities, and the related fiscal impact, will be minimal.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Elko County, Esmeralda County, Humboldt County, Lander County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.