



**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 11, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 35 / BDR 39 - 330

City/County: **Carson City**

Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director

Comment: This BDR requires states to pay another state for mental health care of individuals (does not state indigent) when services needed are not available within the resident state. This is in addition to the present law, NRS 433A.400, which now requires payment for indigent individuals. So counties could see an increase in costs due to the increase of individuals which may be covered by this legislation. States which transport individuals will also be responsible for the transportation costs which could be costly.

Costs could end up on our home waiver/county match invoices if they were institutionalized here. If the person does not qualify for Medicaid it could end up a county only pay because if their income does not meet their basic living needs they are considered indigent in these cases, again, it would anyway (here or there). Counties could lose any control over negotiating rates if this bill passed; now we negotiate with facilities to pay the Medicaid rate. If we are looking at moving someone to another state, it's because we can't house them---the out of state facility knows that and we lose leverage.

It's impossible to quantify the amount other than it could have a fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 39-330 has no anticipated impact on Churchill County. The impact will be primarily to the State Division of Behavioral Health for Mental Institutions that are State owned/operated.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: There are no references to any costs borne by the local entities.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Elko County Approved by: Cash A. Minor, Assistant County Manager/CFO Comment: There will be an impact to the Elko County Budget that is not determinable at this time.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: The requirement to transfer individuals to and from different states for care and treatment would result in additional cost to the County. Because the exact number of transports is not known an exact amount cannot be determined. However, this would have an adverse impact in the form of increased expense.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.