

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 12, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

| <b>Items of Revenue or<br/>Expense, or Both</b> | <b>Fiscal Year<br/>2014-15</b> | <b>Fiscal Year<br/>2015-16</b> | <b>Fiscal Year<br/>2016-17</b> | <b>Effect on Future<br/>Biennia</b> |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|   |                                |                                |                                |                                     |
| Total   | 0                              | 0                              | 0                              | 0                                   |

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 111 / BDR 23 - 618**

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: The fiscal impact includes the initial and recurring costs to purchase cameras, licensing, and storage. The figures also include facility and IT build out costs as well as personnel costs to manage the camera program.

The costs for LVMPD corrections officers (Detention) to wear a camera effects the County's Fund 2060.

The costs for every LVMPD police officer to wear a camera effects the County through its 63% cost allocation of METRO's budget.

| Impact     | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16  | FY 2016-17  | Future Biennia |
|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| Has Impact | \$0        | \$6,818,065 | \$4,873,405 | \$9,746,810    |

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: The initial cost to equip all 422 sworn peace officers at the Washoe County Sheriff's Office with body worn cameras, including infrastructure (video cloud storage, hardware, software, licensing, maintenance) and personnel is \$1,017,746, broken down as follows:

- 422 Watchguard Video, body-worn cameras @ \$895 = \$377,690
- The yearly data cloud storage costs for 422 cameras (full warranty and unlimited data storage) = \$79/mo/camera = \$33,338 /month = \$400,056/year
- Personnel: OA III for system management= \$75,000 / year  
Evidence Tech for FOIA requests= \$73,000 / year  
I.T. Developer for tech mgmt.= \$92,000 / year.

Due to a growing demand for body-worn cameras nation wide, there is a backlog of requests for equipment and infrastructure. It is highly unlikely that law enforcement agencies can make the BDR-mandated deployment date of October 1, 2015.

| Impact     | FY 2014-15  | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
|------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Has Impact | \$1,017,746 | \$640,056  | \$640,056  | \$640,056      |

City/County: **City of Las Vegas**

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: No Impact

| Impact    | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

| City/County: <b>City of Henderson</b><br>Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager<br>Comment: Initial implementation of this legislation would create a fiscal impact to the City of Henderson of approximately \$212,000. \$141,000 of the total cost would be for the purchase of cameras and \$71,000 would be for the purchase of 40 terabytes of storage for electronic record keeping of video. The fiscal impact in the outer years of the analysis would be for additional/replacement cameras and storage. |                   |                   |                   |                       |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Impact</b>  | <b>FY 2014-15</b> | <b>FY 2015-16</b> | <b>FY 2016-17</b> | <b>Future Biennia</b> |
| Has Impact   | \$0               | \$212,000         | \$30,000          | \$60,000              |

| City/County: <b>City of Mesquite</b><br>Approved by: Aaron Baker, City Liaison Officer<br>Comment: While the City of Mesquite supports the use of portable event recording devices by local law enforcement agencies, the City does not support the mandating of their usage without a funding source included.<br><br>Currently, the City does not have the funds to mandatorily equipment all officers with this equipment. If a reliable long-term funding source were identified, then the City would re-evaluate its position. |                   |                   |                   |                       |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Impact</b>   | <b>FY 2014-15</b> | <b>FY 2015-16</b> | <b>FY 2016-17</b> | <b>Future Biennia</b> |
| Has Impact  | \$0               | \$0               | \$0               | \$0                   |

| City/County: <b>City of Reno</b><br>Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.<br>Comment: Please see the attached Exhibit 1 for additional detail. |                   |                   |                   |                       |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Impact</b>   | <b>FY 2014-15</b> | <b>FY 2015-16</b> | <b>FY 2016-17</b> | <b>Future Biennia</b> |
| Has Impact  | \$0               | \$661,568         | \$220,552         | \$464,650             |

| City/County: <b>City of Sparks</b><br>Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director<br>Comment: The initial upfront costs are expected to be around \$250k-\$300k to purchase the required equipment. Future costs would involve maintenance, repair, and replacement of the equipment, but it's uncertain how much those costs would be at this time. Also, as storage becomes an issue, the purchase of more storage would add to on-going costs depending on the retention cycle and use. |                   |                   |                   |                       |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Impact</b>   | <b>FY 2014-15</b> | <b>FY 2015-16</b> | <b>FY 2016-17</b> | <b>Future Biennia</b> |
| Has Impact  | \$0               | \$300,000         | \$0               | \$0                   |

**The following cities/counties did not provide a response:** City of North Las Vegas and Boulder City.