

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 13, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 107 / BDR 34 - 407

School District: Carson City School District Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Clark County School District Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO Comment: This proposal would have no fiscal impact to CCSD.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Douglas County School District Approved by: Holly Luna, CFO, Business Services Comment: We already generate the data for the ARC (accountability reports) and have all of the rest of the data broken out by subpopulation.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Humboldt County School District Approved by: David Jensen, Superintendent Comment: The district is unable to determine the specific fiscal impact as such would be based on testing vendor modifications to provide the report as prescribed. The additional cost would be transferred to the districts to offset the additional expectations.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent Comment: Reporting processes that currently happen in the District Office. Added reporting responsibilities, but can be accomplished under current contract time. Minimal expense.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Lyon County School District**

Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance

Comment: The fiscal impacts depend on whether or not we have to provide redundant data to the state. For example we already report number and percentage of pupils who are eligible for free or reduced price meals. The testing results are already at the state level as well as all info on race, ethnicity, FRL, etc. If the district doesn't have to pull redundant data the overall fiscal impact would be less. Pulling all of the data may take up to a couple of days depending on the formats (which tests, which gpa's, etc).

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Nye County School District**

Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary

Comment: This would have a huge impact on Nye Co. School District. We would require an additional staff position in order to obtain & prepare the amount of information required in addition to what is already required for accountability reporting.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$0

School District: **Pershing County School District**

Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent

Comment: Not enough information provided to analyze a financial impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Storey County School District**

Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent

Comment: Increase cost for compiling new reports.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: While this adds significant layers of additional report (approximately 20 new categories), Washoe County School District believes this can be absorbed by existing staff.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: White Pine County School District Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: The information requested in BDR 34-407 is currently included in the Power School database. In order to prepare the report, information could be extracted in the format requested. Any financial impact to the District should be nominal.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following school districts did not provide a response: Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.