LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 27, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses A.B. 162 / BDR 23 - 443

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director

Comment: This will have a fiscal impact. Since there is no mention of 'just' patrol, it sounds like we would have to supply each sworn officer with the recording device. Just doing a quick search online, I found many vendors with the product. Advertised prices range from \$450 and up. Some vendors even have a monthly service – for equipment and storage. Just using the generic prices on the internet, but without exact specifications, we could be in for over \$40,000.

The bill doesn't talk about the retention of the recordings, but that will have to be addressed. We would have to have sufficient storage available on one of our servers to handle it.

I estimate an initial cost of \$40,000 per year with annual costs of \$10,000.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$40,000	\$10,000	\$10,000

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 23-443 is an unfunded mandate that would requires all peace officers to wear video recording devices while on duty. Churchill County would incur expense with the initial purchase, implementation, and ongoing maintenance/replacement of equipment if this bill were to pass. Additionally, there may be an increase in "Freedom of Information Act" requests for recorded video. However, this may also create a deterrent for lawsuits when there is video demonstrating that the actions of the officer were justified. Having this video may also help more criminal cases to be settled without trial when there is recorded evidence of the encounter. Currently, how we handle video evidence is largely governed by agency policy. These devices and their accessories/components are estimated to cost between \$200 and \$1000 to purchase depending on the equipment, and there may be licensing costs associated with the software. There will also be replacement costs for lost/broken devices. As Churchill County already has policies in place to insure deputies making public contact are recorded on video and/or audio, it is difficult to support this unfunded mandate.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: The fiscal impact includes the initial and recurring costs to purchase cameras, licensing, and storage. The figures also include facility and IT build out costs as well as personnel costs to manage the camera program. The costs for LVMPD corrections officers

City/County: Clark County

(Detention) to wear a camera effects the County's Fund 2060. The costs for every LVMPD police officer to wear a camera effects the County through its 63% cost allocation of METRO's budget.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$6,818,065	\$4,873,405	\$9,746,810

City/County: **Douglas County**

Approved by: Paul Howell, Undersheriff Comment: FY14-15 total = \$340,000

Following years = \$105,000

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$340,000	\$105,000	\$105,000	\$0

City/County: Elko County

Approved by: Cash A. Minor, Assistant County Manager/CFO

Comment: Has Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$100,000	\$1,000,000	\$200,000

City/County: Lander County

Approved by: Robert Quick, Undersheriff

Comment: Already equip our officers with this device.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: The initial cost to equip all 422 sworn peace officers at the Washoe County Sheriff's Office with body worn cameras, including infrastructure (video cloud storage, hardware, software, licensing, maintenance) and personnel is \$1,017,746. This include 422 Watchguard Video, body-worn cameras @ \$895 = \$377,690, The yearly data cloud storage costs for 422 cameras (full warranty and unlimited data storage) = \$79/mo/camera = \$33,338 /month = \$400,056/year,

Personnel: OA III for system management= \$75,000 / year, Evidence Tech for FOIA requests= \$73,000 / year, I.T. Developer for tech mgmt.= \$92,000 / year.

Due to a growing demand for body-worn cameras nation wide, there is a backlog of requests for equipment and infrastructure. It is highly unlikely that law enforcement agencies can make the BDR-mandated deployment date of October 1, 2015.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$1,017,746	\$640,056	\$640,056	\$640,056

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: Portable event recording devices are estimated at approximately \$1,000 unit. In addition it would require a server for storage of the recordings. All information would need to be securely stored and anytime it needed to be utilized it would need to be reviewed and information potentially redacted as it may relate to juveniles or other issues of law. The end result would be adverse impact on the County in the form of increased salaries, benefits and equipment.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$86,000	\$56,000	\$131,000

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: Initial implementation of this legislation would create a fiscal impact to the City of Henderson of approximately \$212,000. \$141,000 of the total cost would be for the purchase of cameras and \$71,000 would be for the purchase of 40 terabytes of storage for electronic record keeping of video. The fiscal impact in the outer years of the analysis would be for additional/replacement cameras and storage.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$212,000	\$30,000	\$60,000

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Mesquite

Approved by: Aaron Baker, City Liaison Officer

Comment: While the City of Mesquite supports the use of portable event recording devices by local law enforcement agencies, the City does not support the mandating of their usage without a funding source included. Currently, the City does not have the funds to mandatorily equip all officers with this equipment. If a reliable long-term funding source were identified, then the City would re-evaluate its position.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: Upfront costs are estimated to be around \$250k-\$300k for the purchase of the required equipment. Future costs would involve maintenance, repair, and replacement of the equipment, but it's uncertain how much those costs would be at this time. Storage and data management also may become problematic and potentially add on significant costs depending upon the usage and retention cycle.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$300,000	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, and City of North Las Vegas.