

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 28, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2014-15</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2015-16</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 138 / BDR 14 - 222**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: This would have an impact on Carson City. We estimate it would cost the City about \$20,000 per year - although this number could vary significantly either up or down depending on criminal activity and the amount of forfeiture.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$40,000

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 14-222 does not appear to create a fiscal impact to Churchill County. There may be procedural changes, if this BDR passed that could require additional staff time, but these impacts are not anticipated to be significant.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: This bill revises the existing processes and procedures related to law enforcement agencies and the Attorney General regarding forfeitures of assets and cash related to criminal activity. It will affect LVMPD which the County is the primary funding source, but the fiscal impact of these process and procedural changes are yet to be determined. Dependent on how they are implemented.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Lander County</b> Approved by: Hy Forgeron, Chief Deputy District Attorney Comment: No impact to Lander County				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: In each of the last 4 fiscal years, the Washoe County District Attorney's office has received an average of 85K each year from forfeiture. This bill is far-reaching. This bill would eliminate this revenue and/or TRANSFER these yearly forfeitures to the State General Fund. The cost for a full-time Deputy District Attorney is 141K. Assuming forfeitures continue on existing efforts, a portion of a new Deputy would be required. The increase report writing and tracking; petitions for remission of forfeiture, mitigation, excessiveness and pre-trial procedures would probably require ¼ of a new Deputy or 42K per year.

The five year average for the Washoe County Sheriff's Department of state forfeiture is \$67,000, but varies year over year.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$43,000	\$43,000	\$43,000	\$43,000

School District: **Carson City School District**

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Clark County School District**

Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO

Comment: CCSD police do not seize property. Under the proposed change, the department would be required to file a null annual report indicating that no forfeitures occurred during the year. This could be accomplished without fiscal impact. The district's last three year average receipt of forfeiture funds is \$596,000. The fiscal impact to CCSD under the proposal is that CCSD will no longer receive those forfeiture funds because the funds would be remitted to the State Treasurer and finally the State General Fund. Additional resources would need to be identified to cover textbooks, computer hardware, and software expenditures for students that have previously been purchased using forfeiture funds in order to maintain the same level of expenditures in those areas.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$596,000	\$596,000	\$1,192,000

School District: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: Holly Luna, CFO, Business Office

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Humboldt County School District</b> Approved by: David Jensen, Superintendent Comment: No fiscal impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Lincoln County School District</b> Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent Comment: No impact that I can determine for Lincoln County School District.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Lyon County School District</b> Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Mineral County School District</b> Approved by: Patricia Stoddard, Finance Manager Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Pershing County School District</b> Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent Comment: Not sure how this would interface with a public school's financial functions?				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Nye County School District</b> Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary Comment: There is no change to school district references.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Washoe County School District</b> Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>White Pine County School District</b> Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Henderson</b> Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: This legislation would have a fiscal impact to the City of Henderson. The City would lose approximately \$600,000 each year in revenue from forfeited vehicle auctions and other forfeited assets. Also, the City would have to purchase and maintain undercover vehicles that are currently a rotating pool of forfeited vehicles.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	(\$1,125,000)	(\$700,000)	(\$1,400,000)

City/County: <b>City of Las Vegas</b> Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Mesquite**

Approved by: Aaron Baker, City Liaison Officer

Comment: The City of Mesquite currently relies upon the funds obtained through the forfeiture of property. If those funds were redirected to the State, the City would be significantly impacted.

There are three main The City feels strongly that the forfeiture funds should remain in the communities where the crimes are committed. First, it redirects the from the community where the crimes are occurring, to some other area. The money should be used by the local government to remedy local problems. Second, this actually creates a disincentive for local police departments to seize assets that might otherwise be instrumentalities of crime, since they will receive none of the money from those seizures to improve the department. Finally, it eliminates one of the very few, very limited ways that local governments have to bring in revenue.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: The above amounts are a five-year average of a total of both state and federal funds; and of these yearly total amounts, \$201,676 are state revenues. Further clarification as to the impact on state-only, or state and federal seizures may require further analysis.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$628,877	\$628,877	\$1,257,754

City/County: **City of Sparks**

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: Provisions within this bill could result in the loss of resources of approximately \$40,000 - \$50,000 per year.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	(\$50,000)	(\$50,000)	(\$50,000)

**The following cities, counties and school districts did not provide a response:** Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, White Pine County, Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, Storey County School District, Boulder City, City of Elko, and City of North Las Vegas.