

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 2, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2014-15</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2015-16</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**A.B. 153 / BDR 5 - 622**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 5-622 would have minimal fiscal impact because Churchill County does not currently see these types of cases very often.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: Unable to determine the fiscal impact. The cost per youth in Detention for one day is \$329. DJJS does not know how this bill will impact the number of youth placed in detention or how long they could be detained. DJJS cannot determine the potential loss of Federal pass through dollars from the state and/or the loss of Federal grant funding.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Douglas County</b> Approved by: Scott Shick, Chief JPO Comment: In order to facilitate this legislation jurisdictions would have to provide protective, secure residential care for juveniles subject to this law. Leaving them in a secure juvenile detention facility is not feasible. It should be up to the discretion of the court to place the child in the least restrictive place possible to address the assessed level of risk determined in the risk assessment.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000

City/County: <b>Humboldt County</b> Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller Comment: Cannot determine what the impact would be.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager Comment: As written, the bill would protect youth who are being exploited or are prostituting by allowing detention for assessment and service provision. Under Section 5, subsection 4, "the child may be detained for an additional period as necessary for the juvenile court to make an alternative placement for the child to protect him/her from further exploitation." CPS would likely be the "alternative placement" for these youth. The alternative placement must have no physical restraining devices or barriers. Without knowing the number or severity of potential cases, it is not possible to determine the fiscal impact at this time.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>White Pine County</b> Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: Extending the length of time a court may detain a juvenile will result in additional costs to the County. The amount of the additional expense cannot be determined at this time but it will result in adverse impact to the County.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following counties did not provide a response:** Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Pershing County, Nye County, and Storey County.