LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 3, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses S.B. 175 / BDR 15 - 515

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director

Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: The impacts of BDR 15-515 would be public safety and judicial staff time to investigate related cases addressed in this bill. The statutes would likely assist in the timely investigation and disposition of the cases related to justifiable homicide as it provides clarifying language to assist law enforcement and the courts. We support this BDR, and do not see any significant fiscal impacts.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: No fiscal impact foreseen.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Douglas County**

Approved by: Paul Howell, Undersheriff

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Elko County

Approved by: Cash A. Minor, Assistant County Manager/CFO

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: Sec. 3 adds another person to list of people who may be charged with a Category B felony. It is likely that the change will result in more felony prosecutions, but after speaking with prosecution, we are unable to determine the number of persons previously convicted of misdemeanor domestic abuse who might be charged under the newly proposed legislation. It has impact, but we cannot quantify.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No identifiable fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: If this Bill was to pass without allowing local governments/municipalities from restricting firearms in City Buildings and Court Rooms, there would be a large Fiscal Impact. Some of those impacts would be felt in our Municipal Courts. Municipal Court would need to hire additional Court Marshal's/security to monitor individuals Each additional Marshal hired would cost roughly \$100,000. If there were a complete inability to restrict firearms, a recommendation would be to double up the number of Marshals in each courtroom - at a minimum.

- o That would require 7 additional marshals for a minimum cost of \$700,000.
- o With the many new marshals, you would also need to hire an additional sergeant at an estimate of roughly \$140,000 total cost.
- The combined minimum cost IF firearms could not be restricted would be roughly \$840,000 annually just for the courthouse.

Based on the estimate of additional Marshals being hired the estimated cost of over the next three fiscal years would be \$2,520,000. In additional there also would be an operational impact and security concerns in that this bill could impact the ability of the court & city to restrict firearms in court and city facilities.

Based on these concerns clarification might be needed that we would be able to restrict possession in certain Municipal buildings for safety purposes.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$840,000	\$840,000	\$2,520

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lander County, Lyon County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, White Pine County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.