## FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 19, 2015

Agency Submitting: State Board of Pharmacy

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
New PMP Software (Expense)	\$50,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$70,000
None (Revenue)				
Tota	s50,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$70,000

## **Explanation**

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

Staff for the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy anticipates that as written, BDR 53-986 would have a negative fiscal impact on the Board. In Section 1, Part 3, there is a proposed requirement that practitioners who prescribe controlled substances report to the State Board of Pharmacy and DPS. The Prescription Monitoring Program software does not support prescriber reporting. Dispensers report when a controlled substance is dispensed. In order to support prescriber reporting, the Board would have to incur a substantial negative impact (\$50,000+) from having to locate and purchase new software, or have its current software vendor redesign the current software.

If that prescriber reporting requirement is removed, and only the dispenser (pharmacy or dispensing practitioner) is required to report (which is already the law under NAC 639.926), then the amended bill would have no impact.

	Name	S. Paul Edwards
	Title	General Counsel
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION'S COMMENTS  The agency's response appears reasonable.	Date	Friday, March 13, 2015
	Name	James R. Wells, CPA
	Title	Interim Director