

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 9, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 179 / BDR 52 - 756

City/County: City of Henderson Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: Should these changes to NRS 603A be adopted as they currently are in this bill, the resulting requirements for encryption, monitoring, and management of personally identifiable information will be exponentially increased. Because the definitions are not clear, comprehensive, and uniform, confusion and increased costs will result if this bill is passed as is. Due to the lack of clarity in the current bill, the fiscal impact cannot be estimated.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary Comment: This bill may offer citizens additional privacy protection. Any regulation which changes how the City of Las Vegas handles private information above and beyond what the Federal Government requires could impact internal processes and potentially create additional costs for our carriers. The additional costs however are not easily determined.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr. Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: Passage of BDR 52-756 does not appear to place an additional financial burden on the County.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: No fiscal impact anticipated.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Elko County**

Approved by: Cash A. Minor, Assistant County Manager/CFO

Comment: Will have an impact but we are currently unable to quantify the fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: Karen Scott, Auditor/Recorder

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller

Comment: We already have requirements in place related to redaction.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: This will have adverse impact to the County in the form of additional expense. All records of the County would have to be reviewed and information appropriately redacted. Until the total extent of data involved is identified the exact cost cannot be determined.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School: **Carson City School District**

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: We believe there would be some programming/programs needed annually and some man hours to set this all up in the first year of implementation.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$25,000	\$10,000	\$10,000

School: **Clark County School District**

Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO

Comment: CCSD does not expect any impact. The information in the proposed changes is already handled on internal networks. CCSD already pays for shredding services in Business and Finance as it relates to security and destruction of personal information so no additional costs are expected.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES

Comment: Our interpretation of this BDR is a redefinition of personally identifiable information. We do not believe there is any fiscal impact to the school district. We are already adhering to these definitions.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School: **Humboldt County School District**

Approved by: David Jensen, Superintendent

Comment: May require the district to enhance current firewall protections and other technology software. Unable to determine specific fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School: Lincoln County School District Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent Comment: Lincoln County School District would probably require a security audit of existing data bases containing "personal information" as defined. Our estimates place the cost around \$250 dollars an hour for a week and total \$10,000.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$10,000	\$0	\$10,000

School: Lyon County School District Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School: Mineral County School District Approved by: Patricia Stoddard, Finance Manager Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School: Nye County School District Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary Comment: Would have to hire an additional IT tech to go through all documents, web site & school web sites; additional payroll accounting software.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000

School: Pershing County School District Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent Comment: There would be an increase in expenditures to enact this, however, there is insufficient information to make an accurate estimate of the cost.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School: Washoe County School District Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School: White Pine County School District Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities, counties and school districts did not provide a response: Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Douglas County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, and Storey County School District.