

BDR 34-147 AB 221

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 16, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 221 / BDR 34 - 147

School District: Carson City School District Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services Comment: The expense consists of manpower hours to collect and maintain the student information, create necessary reports, and make them available to stakeholders.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000

School District: Clark County School District Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO Comment: Due to the way the bill draft is written, CCSD expects there to be financial impact but does not feel it can adequately determine cost due to the level of uncertainty and lack of clarity of various rules and requirements as currently written. Several areas of concern include sections 5, 6 and 8 which have new reporting requirements that could in fact be very onerous and have significant financial impact. Specifically page 2 - Lines 28 - 32 - Could have a pretty big impact; basically says the NDE will create rules and we have to follow them; so, depending how onerous the rules are for data protections, it could have a large impact. Specifically page 2 - Lines 37 - 43 - Could have a big impact; we would have to report to the NDE annually any changes to data collection on students; this could be interpreted pretty broadly and have a big impact; every new field in IC; every new field in the Student Data Mart (beyond what is in IC); perhaps additional data elements tracked by our vendors. Specifically page 2 - Lines 44 - 54 - This is unmanageable; basically a data dictionary for all possible data elements and all possible users.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES

Comment: Sections 4 and 9 would have the greatest impact to Douglas County School District. These could mean that any app, extension, program, or cloud service that collects students names or any other personally identifiable information. Basically, this means any online service or software program. This would require us to scrutinize each and every system we and our students use. Depending on the final determination and interpretation of these sections, there could be significant fiscal impact as well as construction of a policy to redefine review of any app, extension, program, or cloud service. However, there is not sufficient information to determine or calculate the fiscal effects or to provide a meaningful or substantial submittal on behalf of the school district.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Esmeralda County School District**

Approved by: Monie L. Byers, Superintendent

Comment: Esmeralda County School District would have to hire a part-time employee to create, maintain, and report data for 20 hours per week for the year.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$12,315	\$24	\$24	\$0

School District: **Lincoln County School District**

Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent

Comment: No cost unless there is a purchase required to carry out the plan.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Lyon County School District**

Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary Comment: Nye Co. School District agrees with this BDR. It will require very little expense to implement & enforce. The cost of a data coordinator would be \$84,000 with benefits.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$84,000	\$84,000	\$84,000

School District: Pershing County School District Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent Comment: Not knowing what the department's plan will be, it's not known what potential impact there might be.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Storey County School District Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent Comment: Possible increase cost in record keeping.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: Washoe County School District has worked with Assemblyman Kirner to ensure the fiscal impact is limited.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: White Pine County School District Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following school districts did not provide a response: Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.