EXECUTIVE AGENCY FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 1, 2015

Agency Submitting: Department of Taxation

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Section 1 levy of 5 cents (Revenue)		\$48,606,542	\$51,036,869	\$109,856,860
Section 15 levy of 5 cents (Revenue)		\$48,606,542	\$51,036,869	\$109,856,860
Section 13 6% Tax Cap (Revenue)		\$87,979,384	\$87,979,384	\$175,958,768
Section 10 Audit program (Expense)		\$119,542	\$141,783	\$259,812
Tota	0	\$185,072,926	\$189,911,339	\$395,412,676

<u>Explanation</u> (Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached narrative and exhibits (Ex 1-6)

	Name	Deonne Contine
	Title	Executive Director
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION'S COMMENTS	Date	Friday, March 27, 2015
The agency's response appears reasonable.		
	Name	James R. Wells, CPA
	Title	Interim Director

Exhibit 1

BDR/Bill/Amendment Number: BDR 31-963 Name of Agency: Department of Taxation Division/Department: Division of Local Government Services Date: March 21, 2015

BDR 31-963 would allow an additional property tax rate of 5 cents to be imposed by a county commission. The rate would not be subject to any revenue limitations in NRS 354.59811, per Section 1(3) and Section 6. The rate would be outside the overlapping rate limitation of \$3.64 provided in Section 12 amending NRS 361.453. It would also not be subject to any residential or general tax abatements ("the Tax Cap"), pursuant to Section 14, amending subparagraph 2 of NRS 361.4726.

Similarly, Section 15 provides that an additional property tax rate of 5 cents could be imposed by either the board of county commissioners or the school board or both, to be used for school construction and maintenance and for information technology. Like the levy in Section 1, the school district levy would not be subject to any residential or general tax abatements.

Based on these conditions, the maximum amount of anticipated revenue from a 5 cent levy in each county is presented in Exhibit 2. Exhibit 2 is based on the FY 2015 assessed values in the FY 2015 Redbook; and for FY 2016, the projected assessed values in the Department's FY 2016 Final Revenue Projections. *See*

http://tax.nv.gov/LocalGovt/PolicyPub/ArchiveFiles/LGF Final Revenue Projections 2015 20 16/. Exhibit 2 also assumes a 5% growth in assessed value for each year from 2017 to 2019. The maximum tax revenue that might be collected is as follows:

Section 1 levy	Section 15 levy
\$ 48,606,542	\$ 48,606,542
\$ 51,036,869	\$ 51,036,869
\$109,856,860	\$109,856,860
	\$ 48,606,542 \$ 51,036,869

Department of Taxation expenses associated with changing the centrally-assessed billing program are incorporated in the discussion below.

Section 9 provides for the loan of money to a local government from the Local Government Pooled Investment Fund administered by the State Treasurer. The Department defers to the State Treasurer to estimate the expenses associated with this loan program.

Section 14 amends NRS 361.4726(3) to exempt certain properties from the general and residential tax abatement (the "Tax Cap"), including those that received a reduction in value in the prior year based on the income approach. Section 10 requires a county assessor, county board of equalization ("county board") and State Board of Equalization to report each reduction in assessed value if the income approach is used; and also requires the Department to annually conduct an audit of all properties subject to the exemption from abatement as

provided in Section 14. The proposed bill does not specify the scope or type of audit required. In addition, it is unknown how many properties are currently valued using the income approach, so it is difficult to estimate what kind of resources will be necessary to conduct said audits. Most centrally-assessed properties routinely use the income approach (about 150); and there are about 70,000 commercial, industrial, and multi-residential locally-assessed properties to which the income approach could be applied. However, only about 3,000 properties are typically appealed annually to the county and state boards, and although no data is currently available, perhaps half of those might use the income approach.

At a minimum, a Review Appraiser and Appraiser II will be necessary to design, maintain the data base of properties subject to the income approach, design the scope of audits, and conduct audits. Depending on the nature and scope of the audit and number of audits required, additional auditors would also have to be hired.

The estimated expense to conduct the audit program is itemized in Exhibit 4. Total cost is estimated at \$119,542 for FY 2015-2016; \$141,783 for FY 2016-2017; and \$259,812 for future biennia.

Section 13 modifies the general Tax Cap by creating a new minimum of the higher of the 10 year rolling average, twice the CPI or 6%. This has the effect on the general Tax Cap of decreasing the amount of abatement and increasing the amount of property tax revenue. Exhibits 3(a), 3(b), and 3(c) relate to this impact. Exhibit 3(b) shows the current Tax Cap factors for each county for 2015-2016. Any factor shown on this table that was less than 6% would be revised upward to 6%. Elko, Esmeralda, Eureka, Humboldt, Lander, Lincoln, and Mineral Counties are currently all greater than 6% and would not be affected by the new minimum. Exhibit 3(c) shows the 2014-2015 summary of abatements in each county, and the abatement amount is transferred to Exhibit 3(a) to calculate the effects of a higher minimum.

For example, the limitation in Carson City of 1.032 is converted to a percentage of 3.2%. This level of abatement produced \$1,442,819 in total abatements. Said another way, if there were no abatements, the tax revenue would increase by \$1,442,819. Exhibit 3(a) shows what would happen if the minimum were increased to 6%. If we assume that each property that is limited at 3.2% would also be limited at the new minimum of 6%, the 2.8% (6.0% minus 3.2%) would become the measure of the increase in tax revenue. To convert the abatement amount to a common factor, we first calculated the amount of 1% of abatement (\$1,442,810 divided by 3.2 = \$450,880.) Multiplying 2.8 times the 1% factor would be converted to tax (2.8 x 450,880 = \$1,262,467 additional tax). The estimated tax above 6% would still be abated. This is estimated as \$1,442,819 less \$1,262,467 = \$180,352.

Using the methodology in this example, it is estimated that statewide, the change in the minimum general Tax Cap would result in almost \$88 million of tax instead of abatement. Expressed another way, instead of \$107M abated, \$19M would be abated resulting in \$88M additional taxes collected.

Nevada Department of Taxation Fiscal Impact of a 5 cent Property Tax Levy

	FY 201	15	FY 201	16	FY 201	7	FY 201	8	FY 20:	19
						Property		Property		
	Assessed Value	Property Tax	Assessed Value	Property Tax	Assessed Value	Tax	Assessed Value	Tax	Assessed Value	Property Tax
Carson City	1,286,890,682	643,445	1,373,408,853	686,704	1,442,079,296	721,040	1,514,183,260	757,092	1,589,892,423	794,946
Churchill County	683,625,992	341,813	722,841,370	361,421	758,983,439	379,492	796,932,610	398,466	836,779,241	418,390
Clark County	62,898,942,089	31,449,471	69,258,468,466	34,629,234	72,721,391,889	36,360,696	76,357,461,484	38,178,731	80,175,334,558	40,087,667
Douglas County	2,659,900,426	1,329,950	2,727,497,704	1,363,749	2,863,872,589	1,431,936	3,007,066,219	1,503,533	3,157,419,530	1,578,710
Elko County	1,743,259,203	871,630	1,786,375,922	893,188	1,875,694,718	937,847	1,969,479,454	984,740	2,067,953,427	1,033,977
Esmeralda County	60,122,367	30,061	64,697,729	32,349	67,932,615	33,966	71,329,246	35,665	74,895,709	37,448
Eureka County	755,497,987	377,749	863,783,668	431,892	906,972,851	453,486	952,321,494	476,161	999,937,569	499,969
Humboldt County	1,081,333,429	540,667	1,058,724,518	529,362	1,111,660,744	555,830	1,167,243,781	583,622	1,225,605,970	612,803
Lander County	646,297,215	323,149	705,976,617	352,988	741,275,448	370,638	778,339,220	389,170	817,256,181	408,628
Lincoln County	349,822,781	174,911	340,283,401	170,142	357,297,571	178,649	375,162,450	187,581	393,920,572	196,960
Lyon County	1,420,138,197	710,069	1,524,382,122	762,191	1,600,601,228	800,301	1,680,631,290	840,316	1,764,662,854	882,331
Mineral County	134,556,573	67,278	151,173,470	75,587	158,732,144	79,366	166,668,751	83,334	175,002,188	87,501
Nye County	1,259,400,684	629,700	1,334,377,490	667,189	1,401,096,365	700,548	1,471,151,183	735,576	1,544,708,742	772,354
Pershing County	247,536,706	123,768	235,516,076	117,758	247,291,880	123,646	259,656,474	129,828	272,639,297	136,320
Storey County	516,362,669	258,181	510,172,664	255,086	535,681,297	267,841	562,465,362	281,233	590,588,630	295,294
Washoe County	13,281,733,500	6,640,867	14,130,582,150	7,065,291	14,837,111,258	7,418,556	15,578,966,820	7,789,483	16,357,915,161	8,178,958
White Pine County	420,613,741	210,307	424,821,359	212,411	446,062,427	223,031	468,365,548	234,183	491,783,826	245,892
Total	89,446,034,241	44,723,017	97,213,083,579	48,606,542	102,073,737,758	51,036,869	107,177,424,646	53,588,712	112,536,295,878	56,268,148

Note: (1) The FY 2015 assessed values are from the FY 2015 Redbook and the FY 2016 values are from the FY 2016 Final Revenue Projections Publication.

- (2) The assessed values do not include Net Proceeds of Minerals.
- (3) From FY 2017 to FY 2019 the values are assumed to grow at 5% each year.

Nevada Department of Taxation Analysis of Revenue Produced by 6% Minimum Tax Cap

(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)		(h)
County	FY 14 Abated \$	2015-2016 Tax Cap Factor	Abatement %	(C) x (100)	Each 1% abatement (a) / (d)	% versus abatement (6-d)	Abatement nverted to Tax (e*f)	am	Estimated nount Abated with 6% Cap (a-g)
Carson City	\$ 1,442,819	1.032	3.2000%	3.20	\$ 450,881	2.80	\$ 1,262,467	\$	180,352
Churchill	503,263	1.032	3.2000%	3.20	\$ 157,270	2.80	\$ 440,355	\$	62,908
Clark	82,945,059	1.032	3.2000%	3.20	\$ 25,920,331	2.80	\$ 72,576,927	\$	10,368,132
Douglas	2,278,570	1.032	3.2000%	3.20	\$ 712,053	2.80	\$ 1,993,749	\$	284,821
Elko	1,418,716	1.074	7.4000%	7.40	\$ 191,718	0.00	\$ -	\$	1,418,716
Esmeralda	138,685	1.08	8.0000%	8.00	\$ 17,336	0.00	\$ -	\$	138,685
Eureka	188,314	1.08	8.0000%	8.00	\$ 23,539	0.00	\$ -	\$	188,314
Humboldt	1,486,017	1.08	8.0000%	8.00	\$ 185,752	0.00	\$ -	\$	1,486,017
Lander	291,730	1.08	8.0000%	8.00	\$ 36,466	0.00	\$ -	\$	291,730
Lincoln	1,198,266	1.08	8.0000%	8.00	\$ 149,783	0.00	\$ -	\$	1,198,266
Lyon	3,087,123	1.032	3.2000%	3.20	\$ 964,726	2.80	\$ 2,701,232	\$	385,890
Mineral	426,350	1.075	7.5000%	7.50	\$ 56,847	0.00	\$ -	\$	426,350
Nye	1,807,819	1.032	3.2000%	3.20	\$ 564,944	2.80	\$ 1,581,842	\$	225,977
Pershing	567,229	1.043	4.3000%	4.30	\$ 131,914	1.70	\$ 224,253	\$	342,975
Storey	755,029	1.058	5.8000%	5.80	\$ 130,177	0.20	\$ 26,035	\$	728,994
Washoe	4,959,936	1.032	3.2000%	3.20	\$ 1,549,980	2.80	\$ 4,339,944	\$	619,992
White Pine	3,237,235	1.032	3.2000%	3.20	\$ 1,011,636	2.80	\$ 2,832,580	\$	404,654
STAT	STATEWIDE DIFFERENCE				\$ 32,255,352		\$ 87,979,384	\$	18,752,774

\$ 106,732,158

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION PRELIMINARY NRS 361.4722 TAX CAP FACTORS

FISCAL 2015 - 2016

	MOVING AVERAGE	2 X 2013-14	3.00%	8.00%	RESIDENTIAL	GENERAL
COUNTY	GROWTH RATE	CPI CHANGE	RES CAP	GENERAL CAP	CAP FACTOR	CAP FACTOR
CARSON CITY	0.0%	3.2%	3.0%	3.2%	1.030	1.032
CHURCHILL	3.2%	3.2%	3.0%	3.2%	1.030	1.032
CLARK	-1.7%	3.2%	3.0%	3.2%	1.030	1.032
DOUGLAS	-1.1%	3.2%	3.0%	3.2%	1.030	1.032
ELKO	7.4%	3.2%	3.0%	7.4%	1.030	1.074
ESMERALDA	9.8%	3.2%	3.0%	8.0%	1.030	1.080
EUREKA	8.2%	3.2%	3.0%	8.0%	1.030	1.080
HUMBOLDT	10.0%	3.2%	3.0%	8.0%	1.030	1.080
LANDER	25.6%	3.2%	3.0%	8.0%	1.030	1.080
LINCOLN	8.2%	3.2%	3.0%	8.0%	1.030	1.080
LYON	1.8%	3.2%	3.0%	3.2%	1.030	1.032
MINERAL	7.5%	3.2%	3.0%	7.5%	1.030	1.075
NYE	1.2%	3.2%	3.0%	3.2%	1.030	1.032
PERSHING	4.3%	3.2%	3.0%	4.3%	1.030	1.043
STOREY	5.8%	3.2%	3.0%	5.8%	1.030	1.058
WASHOE	0.6%	3.2%	3.0%	3.2%	1.030	1.032
WHITE PINE	1.5%	3.2%	3.0%	3.2%	1.030	1.032
STATEWIDE	-1.0%	3.2%	3.0%	3.2%	1.030	1.032

Total assessed value subject to general cap factor

County	Total Number of Parcels	Total Assessed Value	Total Preabated Tax Amount (C+D+E-F+G)	Abatement Amount	Net Tax (H-I)	Total Number of Parcels	Total Assessed Value	Total Preabated Tax Amount (C+D+E-F+G)	Abatement Amount	Net Tax (H-I)
Carson City										
Secured	3,184	466,012,212	16,586,743	941,985	15,644,758	3,150	476,004,299	16,848,699	1,032,622	15,816,077
Unsecured	4,056	64,239,315	2,280,234	30,808	2,249,426	3,130	470,004,233	10,040,033	1,032,022	13,810,077
Central	4,030	40,306,440	1,437,687	470,026	967,661					_
Total	7,240	570,557,967	20,304,664	1,442,819	18,861,845	3,150	476,004,299	16,848,699	1,032,622	15,816,077
<u>Churchill</u>	5 420	470.050.000	F 260 022	04.042	5 275 004	F 20F	475 720 046	5 575 442	400.067	5 466 445
Secured	5,130	170,959,999	5,360,822	84,842	5,275,981	5,205	175,729,916	5,575,412	108,967	5,466,445
Unsecured	1,899	204,610,186	5,368,868	17,103	5,351,765					-
Central		73,957,905	2,237,793	401,318	1,836,475					
Total	7,029	449,528,090	12,967,484	503,263	12,464,221	5,205	175,729,916	5,575,412	108,967	5,466,445
Clark										
Secured	418,575	43,606,356,057	1,023,491,410	55,898,956	967,592,454	438,392	49,049,991,671	1,179,707,962	155,054,918	1,024,653,044
Unsecured	69,744	4,614,690,144	129,301,411	294,043	129,007,368		-,, ,-	, -, - ,	,,-	-
Central	,	2,387,482,591	70,503,634	26,752,060	43,751,575					_
Total	488,319	50,608,528,792	1,223,296,456	82,945,059	1,140,351,396	438,392	49,049,991,671	1,179,707,962	155,054,918	1,024,653,044
<u>Douglas</u>	5.054	507.052.204	20 000 557	4 770 227	10 210 220	F 770	745.052.047	24 720 026	2 4 0 4 0 0 0	40.620.020
Secured	5,854	697,063,284	20,989,557	1,779,237	19,210,320	5,773	715,952,947	21,730,026	2,101,088	19,628,939
Unsecured	2,600	70,731,260	2,112,320	21,734	2,090,586					-
Central		54,248,314	1,645,733	477,599	1,168,133					<u>-</u>
Total	8,454	822,042,858	24,747,610	2,278,570	22,469,040	5,773	715,952,947	21,730,026	2,101,088	19,628,939
<u>Elko</u>										
Secured	29,223	578,825,694	16,752,416	1,113,191	15,639,225	29,019	633,010,871	18,332,820	1,243,920	17,088,900
Unsecured	5,724	252,167,843	6,826,289	36,051	6,790,238	•				, , -
Central	,	409,282,001	10,704,885	269,474	10,435,411					

17,088,900	1,243,920	10 222 020	622.040.074	20.010	22.054.074	4 440 746	24 202 502		24.047	
	1,243,920	18,332,820	633,010,871	29,019	32,864,874	1,418,716	34,283,589	1,240,275,538	34,947	Total <u> </u>
										<u>Esmeralda</u>
567,543	12,367	579,910	19,522,007	2,088	566,673	27,153	593,826	20,048,035	2,104	Secured
,	,	,	, ,	•	400,199	92	400,292	13,522,728	360	Unsecured
-					758,131	111,439	869,570	28,767,654		Central
567,543	12,367	579,910	19,522,007	2,088	1,725,003	138,685	1,863,688	62,338,417	2,464	Total
										<u>Eureka</u>
11,529,039	138,061	11,667,101	915,167,160	3,329	9,142,111	119,773	9,261,885	780,925,587	3,344	Secured
,00,000	100,001	,	010,107,100	3,5_3	3,248,303	9	3,248,312	194,632,095	160	Unsecured
_					561,497	68,531	630,029	35,230,020	100	Central
11,529,039	138,061	11,667,101	915,167,160	3,329	12,951,912	188,314	13,140,225	1,010,787,702	3,504	Total
										<u>Humboldt</u>
7,715,085	845,391	8,560,476	424,076,805	10,411	6,842,838	1,277,267	8,120,104	398,082,929	10,359	Secured
7,713,003	043,331	0,500,470	424,070,003	10,411	7,059,651	1,639	7,061,290	341,279,475	1,538	Unsecured
					7,826,472	207,111	8,033,583	345,296,223	1,550	Central
7,715,085	845,391	8,560,476	424,076,805	10,411	21,728,960	1,486,017	23,214,977	1,084,658,627	11,897	Total
										=
										<u>Lander</u>
4,398,077	992,158	5,390,235	215,565,169	4,661	4,310,237	80,096	4,390,333	166,535,289	4,669	Secured
-									905	Unsecured
					1,493,243	210,753	1,703,996	50,576,393		Central
4,398,077	992,158	5,390,235	215,565,169	4,661	20,772,991	291,730	21,064,722	735,835,553	5,574	Total <u> </u>
										<u>Lincoln</u>
2,521,931	113,370	2,635,301	83,965,142	2,837	1,898,892	127,586	2,026,479	63,727,753	2,833	Secured
-					805,441	1,877	807,318	26,749,678	299	Unsecured
-					2,511,424	1,068,803	3,580,226	119,035,307		Central
2,521,931	113,370	2,635,301	83,965,142	2,837	5,215,757	1,198,266	6,414,023	209,512,738	3,132	Total
										<u>Lyon</u>
12,089,862	1,362,326	13,452,188	398,646,801	12,688	11,870,631	1,336,782	13,207,412	393,683,587	12,815	Secured
, , ,	• •	. ,		•						Unsecured
-									,	
))	992,158 113,370 113,370	5,390,235 2,635,301		4,661 2,837	14,969,511 1,493,243 20,772,991 1,898,892 805,441 2,511,424	881 210,753 291,730 127,586 1,877 1,068,803	14,970,393 1,703,996 21,064,722 2,026,479 807,318 3,580,226	518,723,871 50,576,393 735,835,553 63,727,753 26,749,678 119,035,307	905 5,574 2,833 299	Central Total Lincoln Secured Unsecured Central Total Lyon Secured

					, ,	•	,				
Total	16,388	665,426,990	22,256,268	3,087,123	19,169,146		12,688	398,646,801	13,452,188	1,362,326	12,089,862
Mineral											
Secured	1,796	23,806,902	866,853	93,121	773,732		1,823	24,161,430	880,121	93,660	786,461
Unsecured	260	35,022,338	1,259,795	107,064	1,152,731						-
Central		29,589,066	1,084,917	226,165	858,752						-
Total	2,056	88,418,306	3,211,565	426,350	2,785,215		1,823	24,161,430	880,121	93,660	786,461
<u>Nye</u>											
Secured	40,436	422,201,011	13,839,471	1,098,485	12,740,986		40,341	482,180,907	15,047,279	2,587,361	12,459,918
Unsecured	5,002	208,100,239	6,241,400	24,383	6,217,017						-
Central		118,560,134	3,688,834	684,951	3,003,883						-
Total	45,438	748,861,384	23,769,704	1,807,819	21,961,885		40,341	482,180,907	15,047,279	2,587,361	12,459,918
Pershing											
Secured	9,134	58,055,172	1,832,852	116,835	1,716,018		9,168	67,442,815	2,148,060	310,910	1,837,150
Unsecured	308	76,417,588	2,106,654	112,787	1,993,867						-
Central		72,746,803	2,283,980	337,607	1,946,373						-
Total =	9,442	207,219,563	6,223,487	567,229	5,656,258		9,168	67,442,815	2,148,060	310,910	1,837,150
<u>Storey</u>											
Secured	3,069	226,152,791	7,819,982	280,002	7,539,980		3,130	221,365,317	7,657,379	218,289	7,439,090
Unsecured	508	99,897,806	3,097,863	74,305	3,023,558		•				-
Central		120,038,482	4,155,705	400,722	3,754,982						-
Total	3,577	446,089,079	15,073,550	755,029	14,318,521		3,130	221,365,317	7,657,379	218,289	7,439,090
<u>Washoe</u>											
Secured	38,562	6,184,219,113	138,864,281	3,205,383	135,658,899		37,379	6,332,468,933	156,327,780	18,955,082	137,372,698
Unsecured	28,101	818,190,359	28,304,141	158,012	28,146,129		•	, , ,	, ,	, ,	-
Central	,	419,396,748	13,715,707	1,596,541	12,119,166						-
Total =	66,663	7,421,806,220	180,884,130	4,959,936	175,924,194		37,379	6,332,468,933	156,327,780	18,955,082	137,372,698
White Pine											
Secured	3,901	187,613,132	6,597,233	2,306,611	4,290,622		3,933	185,432,974	6,065,561	2,261,918	3,803,642
Unsecured	567	112,114,500	3,211,968	23,739	3,188,229		,	, - ,-	,,	, , ,	-
Central		63,007,113	2,306,292	906,884	1,399,408						_
		,,	_,_ 50,_5_	- 50,00 .	=,=55,.55						

Total	4,468	362,734,745	12,115,494	3,237,235	8,878,259	3,933	185,432,974	6,065,561	2,261,918	3,803,642
CTATELAUDE										
<u>STATEWIDE</u>										
Secured	594,988	54,444,268,547	1,290,601,661	69,887,305	1,220,714,356	613,327	60,420,685,164	1,472,606,310	187,432,409	1,285,173,901
Unsecured	125,604	7,750,211,679	219,771,496	914,184	218,857,312	-	-	-	-	-
Central	-	4,540,142,344	134,458,478	35,930,670	98,527,809	-	-	-	-	-
Total	720,592	66,734,622,570	1,644,831,635	106,732,158	1,538,099,477	613,327	60,420,685,164	1,472,606,310	187,432,409	1,285,173,901

Nevada Department of Taxation

Personnel Costs of Income Approach Audit Program, Section 10 of bill 0 2 2 2 2 2 **Positions**

Summary

Cat	Title	FY15		FY16	FY17	FY18	3	FY19	FY1	18/19 Total
01	Personnel Services	,	-	96,011	130,510	100	,812	137,036	\$	237,848
03	In-State Travel		-	1,932	2,576	2	,576	2,576	\$	5,152
04	Operating		-	6,803	8,216	7	,185	8,627	\$	15,812
05	Equipment		-	9,722	-		-	-	\$	-
15	Lockbox		-	-	-		-	-	\$	-
26	Information Technology		-	5,074	481		495	505	\$	1,000
30	Training							 	\$	
	Totals	\$ -	\$	119.542	\$ 141.783	\$ 111	.068	\$ 148,744	\$	259.812

Cost Summary 3/23/2015