

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 19, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 217 / BDR 57 - 836

City/County: City of Henderson Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No identifiable fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary Comment: There would be a fiscal impact to city. This cost would come from the insurance companies who will have to change their administrative systems to implement and allow the dispensing of various levels/multiple refills of topical ophthalmic products.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$5,000

City/County: City of Reno Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr. Comment: After initial review, it is anticipated that there will be little or no fiscal impact to the City of Reno as a result of this legislation.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: This would have a fiscal impact to Carson City but the amount is unknown at this time.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 57-836 is anticipated to have minimal fiscal impact.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: Potential fiscal impact cannot be determined. Anticipated impact should be minor.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Elko County Approved by: Cash A. Minor, Assistant County Manager/CFO Comment: Has Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$10,000

City/County: Esmeralda County Approved by: Karen Scott, Auditor/Recorder Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller Comment: This could increase the County's insurance premium but there is no way to know for sure.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: This bill would have minimal impact to Washoe County health plans. Washoe County has not received complaints about denials of multiple refills of ophthalmic products as long as there is medical necessity.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: Adding new requirements for pharmacy services to health insurance would increase premium cost. The exact amount cannot be determined but it would be an adverse impact to the County in the form of increased expense.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department**

Approved by: Rich Hoggan, Chief Financial Officer

Comment: LVMPD does not have employer provided insurance. Instead, employee insurance is administered either by an employee association or via an independent Trust. That said, the effect of extending insurance coverage for specific benefits above the ACA will likely drive up costs as it represents additional funding requirement. However, that cost cannot be determined as it is unknown how many covered members would be effected.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Carson City School District**

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Clark County School District**

Approved by: Nicole Thorn, Deputy CFO

Comment: CCSD reviewed this draft in two areas 1) one from workers compensation and 2) from the health insurance standpoint of all eligible employees. From the workers compensation standpoint, CCSD does not expect any impact. CCSD expects minimal impact regarding all other eligible employees. The bill draft could result in a minimal increase in premium costs to eligible employees in the following manner. For example, the medication Restasis is a currently covered medication; however, it is not covered for the treatment of "dry eyes". This proposal would require coverage to be available for this condition in addition to the currently approved conditions for which the medication is authorized.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES

Comment: 1. The reason insurers place restrictions on ophthalmic products is because they are extremely expensive and they are very easy to waste. Placing these requirements on insurers will result in higher costs to consumers to make up for the resulting waste in the product. Has fiscal impact, but cost cannot be determined.

2. This bill is effective for plans that are sold or delivered on or after January 1, 2016. By the time the bill is enacted, all small group and individual plans for 2016 will already have been submitted for approval. If this bill is approved, it needs to be effective no earlier than January 1, 2017.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Humboldt County School District**

Approved by: David Jensen, Superintendent

Comment: Unable to determine.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Lincoln County School District**

Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent

Comment: If there is a cost it would be minimal for this specific item, however it get included with the overall cost increase of insurance.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lyon County School District Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance Comment: BDR 57-836 will have fiscal impacts that cannot be determined until the district receives the insurance renewal. The fiscal impacts could be immaterial based on the number of employees that would impact the loss ratio.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Pershing County School District Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent Comment: The district does not have the information needed to make an estimate.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Storey County School District Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent Comment: Can't calculate effect on health insurance.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **White Pine County School District**

Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO

Comment: Unable to determine impact. Financial changes caused from health insurance changes would require valuation from an actuary or underwriter. The impact of the change would depend upon the profile of the eligible participants, claims history and changes proposed in this BDR.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities, counties and school districts did not provide a response: Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Douglas County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, Pershing County, Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.