LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Date Prepared: March 22, 2015

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses A.B. 314 / BDR 34 - 103

School District: Carson City School District

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Clark County School District

Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO

Comment: CCSD believes there is significant impact to the bill as proposed. In essence, a member of the public can put forth a request for the school board to consider and take action upon. In the event that the board chooses not to take action on the request, a written explanation setting forth the specific reasons why action was not taken must be provide at a board meeting. Assuming the board office would be directed to respond to the public requests, an additional full time equivalent would be needed to handle them. This position would contact the department/division responsible for the particular topic/issue/program and each department/division would perform the research and necessary work that would be brought back to a meeting in a formalized manner. The board office staff member would handle the research and necessary work if the topic were specific to the board office. CCSD anticipates the need to add one additional position per Work stream (total of eight) and one in the board office to be able to answer and address the requests in a manner to comply with the way the bill is written. Total fiscal impact for nine positions including benefits is \$924,000.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$924,000	\$924,000	\$1,848,000

School District: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES

Comment: Regarding Section2: This is difficult to assess. If only a few requests are made, there should not be a significant burden on the board's regular agenda nor on staff time. If this change leads to large numbers of requests, it will have an impact on the length or frequency of board meetings in order to process in the time period required. (Our district holds only 1 meeting per month.)

Regarding Section 3: School boards appoint superintendents to act as the Chief Administrator of the District, providing day-to-day leadership. Further clarification of this proposed language would be helpful. The current language is somewhat confusing and therefore makes it difficult to estimate the fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Esmeralda County School District

Approved by: Monie L. Byers, Superintendent

Comment: There will be a fiscal impact to Esmeralda County School District. Unable to determine amount of fiscal impact due to variables, including number of requests, policies

involved, research involved, packets needing to be repaired, and labor to do so.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Humboldt County School District**

Approved by: David Jensen, Superintendent

Comment: Without further information, the district is unable to determine fiscal impact. However, we feel it is important to state that the proposed BDR assumes that locally elected school boards are ill informed of the actions being taken by their selected superintendent. Clear roles and responsibilities are defined between board roles and superintendent roles. This BDR would eliminate that process and create an undue burden in which the board must move on the majority of actions. This bill draft appears to be counter to the intent of established school boards.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District

Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent

Comment: A potential huge human time cost is required if multiple public requests comes to the board, and if all matters not associated with the interal management of the superintendent's office has to go to the board, then delays and efficiency decrease. Define "internal management of the superintendent's office?"

Minimal financial impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Lyon County School District**Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District

Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary

Comment: There will be an undetermined cost for staff to review all policies/regulations implemented by the Supt. during the time frame & to make changes as directed. This would limit the Superintendent's authority to clarify & manage the school district.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Pershing County School District

Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent

Comment: Too many variables to determine.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Storey County School District

Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent

Comment: Cost to research the items that are requested by the public. Also cost of

responses.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District

Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following school districts did not provide a response: Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, and White Pine County School District.