LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 22, 2015

Agency Submitting:	Local Government
--------------------	------------------

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title **Deputy Fiscal Analyst**

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses A.B. 316 / BDR 32 - 918

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director

Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: Any fiscal impacts created from BDR 32-918 are not anticipated to be significant.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: Fiscal Impact - Negligible

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller

Comment: This would have very minimal impact in Humboldt County and there is no way to

determine what the amount of loss of revenues would be.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: Minimal impact data not available to quantify the impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: Every time items are exempted from the collection of sales tax the County loses revenues. This results in adverse impact to the County, however, an exact amount based on firearms as defined in the BDR cannot be determined at this time.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: The impact of this proposed legislation cannot be calculated at this time. The number of these types of transactions in undetermined.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: The impact would be a loss of potential revenue, however, we do not have enough information regarding the sale of firearms to determine the extent of the loss in revenue. This loss could be compounded as people try to avoid paying the tax by utilizing out of state transfer to buy firearms

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: The exemptions from the sales and use tax for the occasional sale of firearms related to non-firearms retailers and non-federally licensed firearms dealers is anticipated to be minimal. The fiscal impact to the City of Reno as a result of this legislation will be nominal.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

Approved by: Rich Hoggan, Chief Financial Officer

Comment: Receipts of sales tax revenue from the sale of firearms under the narrow definition in this bill will not appreciably be effected by the proposed changes to existing law.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Carson City School District

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: It is impossible to gauge, but I would assume there would be a slight reduction in the revenue generated for the schools if gun sales were exempt from sales tax.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)

School District: Clark County School District

Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO Comment: CCSD does not expect impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES

Comment: Little fiscal impact to the district, if any.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Esmeralda County School District

Approved by: Monie L. Byers, Superintendent

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Humboldt County School District**

Approved by: David Jensen, Superintendent

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District

Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent

Comment: Very minimal savings from sales and use tax exemptions in Lincoln County for

sale of firearms.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lyon County School District

Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance

Comment: The fiscal impacts of BDR 32-918 cannot be determined. The amount of sales tax revenue that will be abated is based on the volume of sales transactions.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District

Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary

Comment: Unable to determine impact to the District because information is not available to the District that indicates how sales contribute to school DSA.

FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Pershing County School District

Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent

Comment: The district doesn't have the required information to determine if there would be a fiscal impact, however, if there were it would most likely be very minimal.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District

Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs

Comment: Washoe County School District cannot determine the potential fiscal impact of this legislation.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities, counties and school districts did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, Storey County School District, and White Pine County School District.