BDR 28-791
SB 254

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 25, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation (Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 254 / BDR 28 - 791

City/County: **Carson City**
Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director
Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Churchill County**
Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager
Comment: BDR 28-791 would require payment of retention amounts at various stages of completion of a public works project. The possible unintended consequence of lowering the retainage would be the entity's leverage on the contractor for completion of the final punch list and warranty work within the first 60-days of the building opening.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Clark County**
Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance
Comment: Fiscal Impact cannot be determined.
The permanent reduction of retainage to an amount lower than 10% would prove to have a negative fiscal impact to the County if the contractor should walk off of a job or be none responsive to completing the entire scope of work on the project, as the amount held in retention may not be sufficient to complete the work or cover liquidated damages. The retention is also subject to claims by the Labor Commissioner (NRS 338.515(7)), which further depletes reserves. When these instances arise, they tend to be very material.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Douglas County**
Approved by: Carl Ruschmeyer, Public Works Director
Comment: This legislation would have no additional fiscal impact on Douglas County.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**
Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Washoe County**
Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **White Pine County**
Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director
Comment: This provides for a lower amount of retention and a faster payment schedule on public works projects. On large projects this will result in additional staff time to process payments more quickly and lost interest/investment revenues on the funds which must be paid out at a higher percent or on a more aggressive payment schedule. The outcome will be adverse impact to the County in the form of higher expense and lower revenues. An exact amount cannot be realistically calculated at this time.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Henderson**
Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager
Comment: No identifiable fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Las Vegas**
Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary
Comment: Administrative cost for progress payment management

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	$0	$50,000	$50,000	$50,000

City/County: **City of Reno**
Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.
Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Sparks**
Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **Carson City School District**
Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **Clark County School District**
Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO
Comment: CCSD does not expect impact.
If the changes were to be enacted, CCSD would need to change the contract language in construction contracts to reflect the new percentage and would need to change some formulas in how we track.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **Douglas County School District**
Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES
Comment: The fiscal impact would be the allowable amount of retainage of any public works project moving from 10% to 5% until 50% of the work is completed.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **Esmeralda County School District**
Approved by: Monie L. Byers, Superintendent
Comment: The BDR and its intention is indeterminable.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **Humboldt County School District**
Approved by: David Jensen, Superintendent
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **Lincoln County School District**
Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent
Comment: No fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **Lyon County School District**
Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **Pershing County School District**
Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent
Comment: No impact on a public school district, only high ed.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **Nye County School District**
Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **Storey County School District**
Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent
Comment: MST effect available funds for DSA.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **Washoe County School District**
Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

School District: **White Pine County School District**
Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

The following cities, counties and school districts did not provide a response: Elko County, Eureka County, Esmeralda County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Pershing County, Nye County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.