BDR S-996
SB 276

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 25, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation (Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

FN 8225

Local Government Responses
S.B. 276 / BDR S - 996

City/County: **Carson City**
Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director
Comment: This should not have a major fiscal impact to Carson City.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Churchill County**
Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager
Comment: The fiscal impacts of BDR S-996 may be mitigated by the local option that allows the County to adopt an ordinance which provides for a limitation on the total number of medical marijuana establishments which is less than the number allocated by law.

Churchill County has an ordinance in place to prohibit medical marijuana establishments, therefore, BDR S-996 will have no impact to Churchill County.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Clark County**
Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance
Comment: Additional management staff costs for initial application review and one Business License Agent for the ongoing detailed review of the businesses.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	$0	$127,000	$112,000	$224,000

City/County: **Esmeralda County**
Approved by: Nancy Boland, Commissioner
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**
Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Pershing County**

Approved by: Karen Wesner, Admin. Assist.

Comment: BDR S - 996 Could have a fiscal impact on cities and counties that are unknown at this time.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: Any Medical Marijuana Establishment (MME) dispensary must obtain a Washoe County business license. The cost is $75 for the application fee and first year's licensure. Any MME dispensary must also obtain an industrial waste permit ($130) and air quality permit (~$250) from the Health District, in addition to any required building permits (cost varies depending on the size of the building, typical is $2,000). Annual renewals are required for the business license ($655 per year for a large gross receipt volume business), and for the industrial waste and air quality permits (same as initial costs).

Given that no MME has completed the business license application process, this fiscal impacts assume that none of the proposed new 5 MME dispensaries will start their process until next FY (15-16) and that they will start operations in FY 15-16.

Operational monitoring costs are unknown, given that no MMEs have opened in the County and there is no history on staff monitoring requirements. Additionally, MME specific fees may be increased in the future by the County Commission or the District Health Board.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	$0	($2,380)	$275	$550

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: The County per ordinance has not authorized medical marijuana establishments. It seems this BDR will force the County to reconsider this ordinance requiring that the County "determine that the community is adequately served by the number of current establishments". The minimum that will be required of the County is preparation and enactment of a new ordinance which requires staff time, advertising and codification. The most is that it will force the County to allow medical marijuana establishments which will result in increased need for law enforcement, public health, court services and other services needed to address the increase in crime experienced in areas immediately surrounding these type of establishments. An exact dollar amount cannot be calculated but the outcome will be an adverse financial impact to the County.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Henderson**
Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager
Comment: No identifiable fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Las Vegas**
Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary
Comment: BDR S-996 revises provisions governing the registration of certain medical marijuana establishments. This bill gives a local government two options. Option 1-To review current applications
Option 2-To develop an ordinance to limit the number of medical marijuana establishments within the jurisdiction.

There are cost associated with both options listed. Below, you will find the cost associated based on the option chosen:

1) A fiscal impact to review, update applications and process additional applicants for Planning and Licensing for consideration by Council estimated at $22,950.
2) Administrative costs associated with ordinance development.

Either option would be a one-time cost not spread out over the next three years

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Sparks**
Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: After initial review, the City of Reno is not able to determine a fiscal impact due to the fact that the legislation is silent as to how the additional dispensaries will be allocated among the local jurisdictions within the county. The number of dispensaries that the City of Reno will receive is unknown. If Reno is allocated additional dispensaries, the City will experience an increase in application and licensing revenue, but at this time the amount of that increase cannot be determined without knowing the number of applicants and ultimately the number of dispensaries specific to Reno.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	$0	$0	$0	$0

The following cities, counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.