

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 26, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 346 / BDR 40 - 897

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: We anticipate the additional billing burden of this bill would require Carson City to hire an additional billing FTE at an annual cost of \$60,000 per year.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$120,000

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: Churchill County does not operate our hospital or ambulance service. As such, BDR 40-897 does not appear to have a fiscal impact on Churchill County.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: Fiscal impact cannot be calculated. There are over 3,000 physicians on staff at UMC. Assuming that each physician would provide their list of charges, this would require many man hours and dedicated resources to maintain and update these lists regularly. UMC currently allows public access to our own charge master. Requiring a hospital to provide a patient with all bills relating to hospital care and related services provided by other providers in one mailing would cause a huge impact to the cash flow if we were to try and coordinate the mailing of physician bills with the hospital bills. Every provider uses a different method or vendors to handle mailing of the statements to patients. It would be a monumental task. The labor resources needed to try and gather all the bills from each physician, vendor, etc. would be cost prohibitive.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager Comment: BDR 40-897 has no impact on Washoe County.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: This will require coordination of the County's emergency medical services with the County Hospital. If this requires the County to provide a bill to the hospital and then have the hospital send it to the patient, this could have adverse impact on revenues. The County currently bills insurances and/or patients directly. If the County has to wait until the hospital bills that will be a considerable delay in the County's ability to collect. An exact amount cannot be calculated but this will have adverse impact on the County in the form of decreased revenues.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Henderson Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No identifiable fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary Comment: The City of Las Vegas anticipates a fiscal impact primarily related to removing Las Vegas Fire and Rescue from the billing and accounts receivable process. The Bill requires that the hospital collect on all of the bills and charges from everyone that provided services to a patient and then send them to the patient all at once. Besides the long delays in remittance, it is very likely the accounts receivable process will be difficult and administratively challenging because of all of the agencies that will be involved. There is not enough data to determine what drop in revenue would result from this measure.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr. Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities and counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lincoln County, Lander County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.