

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 26, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 331 / BDR 24 - 969

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: Estimate that the software changes will cost \$80,000 in year 1 and \$5,000 in maintenance costs thereafter.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$80,000	\$5,000	\$10,000

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 24-969 provides for the electronic transmission of voter information from DMV to the County Clerk. The estimated fiscal impact for programming costs are approximately \$20,000.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: DMV sends voter information through the NOVA (Nevada on-line Voter Assistance) to the Secretary of State. The Secretary's office verifies the information and then sends to the appropriate County Election Department. Fiscal Impact would be the cost of replicating the system and staff time of the Secretary's office for implementation at the County level. Unknown requirements make the calculation of fiscal impact to Clark County undetermined.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Esmeralda County Approved by: Ruth P. Lee, Assessor Comment: no impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Tami Spero, Clerk Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Liane Lee , Government Affairs Manager Comment: This BDR would allow for electronic transmission of all voter registration information from the DMV. A very high percentage of voter registration applications are accepted by the DMV for Washoe County(possibly as high as 70%). Section 14 of the bill requires that voter notification cards be mailed to the voter within two business days. This requirement put stress on our current system. Our Repographics Department prints and mails these card for the Registrar of Voters. We currently only run this process weekly. The provisions in the bill that allow e-mail notification will complicate the process by requiring a email be sent first. Impact will be minimal but cannot be determined.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: This will require the County to obtain necessary hardware and software to accommodate the transmission of electronic data as may be established by the Secretary of State and the DMV. Additionally ongoing maintenance of the system will be required to ensure it stays updated and secure. It may also require additional storage for the County's systems. Staff time will be required for training on the new system. This will result in adverse financial expense to the County in the form of increased expense.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$33,500	\$3,500	\$3,500

The following counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.