

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 26, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2014-15</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2015-16</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**A.B. 333 / BDR 42 - 650**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: Churchill County does not have fire protection districts, therefore, no fiscal impacts would result from BDR 42-650				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Humboldt County</b> Approved by: Dave Mendiola, Administrator Comment: This definitely would have an impact in Humboldt County, however, I cannot say that it would be good or bad. It certainly depends upon the districts and what the end game is. It could be very positive from a fire protection perspective as it could provide additional coverage for blind spots and it could better utilize the resources of each district. It really depends upon the two or more districts that are merging.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager Comment: The saving from the combining of these two fire enties will come from not duplicating external audits preparing separate budgets as well as a great deal staff time spent duplicating tasks rather than doing only once when the two departments are formally combined. The anticipated saving from the combining of these entities is estimated to save between \$60,000 and \$80,000 per year.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>White Pine County</b> Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: As far as I can determine this is identical to BDR 42-833. Therefore my last fiscal note stands in relation to this issue: The County only has one 474 Fire District within its borders so the proposed changes would not be applicable.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Reno</b> Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr. Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Sparks</b> Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following cities/counties did not provide a response:** Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lincoln County, Lander County, Mineral County, Lyon County, Pershing County, Nye County, Storey County, City of Elko, and Nevada League of Cities.