

LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 27, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A. B. 302 / BDR 24 - 801

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: It appears that the cost of any presidential preference primary election is a charge against the State and must be paid from the Reserve for Statutory Contingency Account in the State General Fund, therefore, Churchill County does not anticipate any significant fiscal impacts.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: Fiscal impact cannot be determined. This moving target approach to an election does not allow Clark to properly plan for an election, prepare registration/polling books, etc. Costs will escalate as we have to make contingency plans for dates of an election that can possibly change.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Tami Spero, Clerk Comment: This only changes the date of the primary not how it works but there could be potential costs if the involvement of the Presidential race increase turnout. There is also a possibility of the employee overtime being a bit higher if they are required to work on holidays (given the proximity of New Years to the Early Voting period). Impact should be minimal.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: This bill allows for a major political party to request that the state hold a presidential preference primary election in January of a presidential year. There are numerous considerations regarding fiscal impact dependent upon final language.

Strict deadlines surrounding elections are in place for a reason. The deadline dates mentioned in this bill and AB302 (Oct 15 deadline for Presidential Candidate filing) do not allow sufficient time to process, set-up, proof, print and mail ballots to the UOCAVA voters. That deadline is 45 days prior to each Election Day. In order to comply there would be additional vendor costs related to having to rush the processes and overtime for staff involved in the set-up, proofing and testing processes.

Costs could increase even further if the date of the primary election were suddenly shifted from late January to early January because another Western state decided to hold a primary earlier. There does not appear to be a clear deadline in this bill for deciding on the exact date of the election.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000

City/County: **City of Henderson**

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: No identifiable fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Las Vegas**

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Carson City , Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, White Pine County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.