

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 28, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 394 / BDR 34 - 900

City/County: City of Henderson Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: This legislation would not cause an unfunded mandate to the City of Henderson. The bill is enabling in that it would allow cities to pursue the creation of a local school precinct if approved by the State Board of Education. If the Henderson City Council were to decide to pursue the creation of a separate school precinct, all costs would be borne by the City at its discretion.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary Comment: Unable to make a determination at this time.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr. Comment: After initial review, the City of Reno estimates precinct council salaries (\$3,000/annually per Precinct Council member) for this fiscal note similar to a comparable size city. Fiscal Year 16 estimates half a year salaries as the effective date of the legislation is January 1, 2016. This is just an estimate, noting that the City of Reno Council has authority in Sec 13 to set a the salary amount. This number is for estimated comparisons only. There may be other fiscal impacts related to Section 8, but specifics to those impacts are unknown at this time.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$7,500	\$15,000	\$30,000

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Carson City School District Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services Comment: I don't believe there would be interest in Carson City for school precincts. I don't believe there would be interest in School District consolidation as well. If there was, there could be huge tax revenue consequences from bringing together two very different tax bases.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Douglas County School District Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES Comment: There are no incorporated cities in Douglas County. Without further information of intent (Douglas combine with Lyon or Carson City or Washoe, or split amongst all three), fiscal and organization impact cannot be determined.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Clark County School District Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO Comment: CCSD expects the impact to be \$5,520 per student for any student that left CCSD for another school district.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent Comment: There may rightly be some fiscal impact, but it cannot be determined at this time. Probably a cost for the time of the people involved in creating a local precinct within a school district or joining two school districts together by interlocal agreement.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lyon County School District Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance Comment: The fiscal impacts of BDR 34-900 cannot be determined.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary Comment: This would not apply to Nye County because there are no incorporated cities within the county.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Pershing County School District Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent Comment: There would certainly be an increase in expenses, however, the "what if" scenarios are so vast it's impossible to give an accurate estimate of what it might cost.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Storey County School District Approved by: Robert Slaby , Superintendent Comment: Cost of creating new districts and combining current districts.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County School District Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: Washoe County School District cannot determine the potential cost of this legislation or whether or not it would be used in Washoe County.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **White Pine County School District**

Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO

Comment: This BDR will take a step back toward the 1950's where each jurisdiction had its own school district. During this period and its peak, there were approximately 238 school districts throughout Nevada each with its own superintendent and administrative services. Consolidation significantly streamlined public school operations and significantly reduced redundant administrative services. In recent sessions, BDR have proposed consolidating school districts to further streamline costs and maximize funding for instruction. This BDR would create redundant administrative burden or costs and dilutes classroom funding. Further, we have experienced strained relationships negotiating shared services between the county and incorporated city. The strained relationship has prompted initiatives to disincorporate the city. Negotiating interlocal agreements between county and city school district will have certain obstacles. This type of redundancy and need for interlocal negotiations may be better suited for larger metropolitan areas with populations over a certain size. Based on the BDR, the fiscal impact cannot be determined because the specifications with respect to funding are absent. Also, because of tax limitations, the City has not been able to assess a property tax rate since 1997. If the property values for capital funds were coterminous with the city, this would undoubtedly create inequity with respect to school construction and capital improvements especially for the smaller outlying areas. Schools in these areas would have even less than the current inadequate supply of funding to maintain and improve schools.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities and school districts did not provide a response: Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.