

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 28, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

| Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both | Fiscal Year 2014-15 | Fiscal Year 2015-16 | Fiscal Year 2016-17 | Effect on Future Biennia |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 378 / BDR 34 - 807

School District: Carson City School District

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: My assumption is that if the CSR ratios go away, the CSR revenue distribution to districts goes away and we would not want to increase our class sizes from where they are. I'm not sure if the Probationary/Post probationary language has a cost impact. It could possibly reduce some legal costs, but it could increase them as well.

| Impact | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Has Impact | \$0 | (\$3,300,000) | \$3,300,000 | \$3,300,000 |

School District: Clark County School District

Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO

Comment: Impact to CCSD is estimated at \$30,000,000 decrease annually.

| Impact | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Has Impact | \$0 | \$30,000,000 | \$30,000,000 | \$60,000,000 |

School District: Douglas County School District

Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES

Comment: What is not clear in this BDR is if the categorical funding for CSR would no longer be a source of revenue. The effects of removal of this funding are reflected above as a negative should the monies not be distributed. Currently the district has contracted with 25.5 teachers for elementary grades and 0.5 teaching staff for Kindergarten, totaling 26 FTEs. Without this source of funding, the district's district class sizes would grow substantially (potentially 28+ in K-6). However, if the intent is not to remove the funding but to remove the reporting requirements, our district would have flexibility in placement of teachers to our most needy populations and schools, and disadvantaged students. With regards to the Masters Teachers, we would want the implementation to be successful and appreciate working collaboratively with the Department of Education to fulfill the timelines and requirements of the BDR. Proposed language changes regarding probationary and post-probationary certified staff allows the district more flexibility and management rights rather than for extended periods as currently allowed through contract bargaining unit protection clauses and 391 rights.

| Impact | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Has Impact | \$0 | (\$2,611,446) | (\$2,611,446) | (\$5,222,892) |

| School District: Esmeralda County School District Approved by: Monie L. Byers, Superintendent Comment: There is a fiscal impact to Esmeralda County School District, but the amount cannot be determined because the district is so small and the limited DSA would not allow for the monetary requirement of this bill. There is only one school administrator in the district, which is the principal and the superintendent. | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Impact | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
| Cannot Be Determined | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| School District: Lincoln County School District Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent Comment: Revenue from savings on attorney's fees through grievances, consolidated teaching staff, reduced Parapro's, where the reward for good teaching is funded. | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Impact | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
| Has Impact | \$0 | \$20,000 | \$0 | \$0 |

| School District: Lyon County School District Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance Comment: BDR 34-807 would have fiscal impacts to the district. If the program of class size reduction is eliminated the funding for that program needs to come back into the DSA. The district is prepared to meet the current class size reduction staffing ratios. If the program is eliminated the district will be paying for additional teachers in FY16 that will be past the notification period in May. The language for master teachers would need to be clarified for the full fiscal impact. My interpretation is the district would be responsible for the salary of the master teacher and another teacher salary to take the Master Teacher's class. If the district needs to hire another teacher that will cost approximately \$70,000 per master teacher. | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Impact | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
| Has Impact | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| School District: Nye County School District Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary Comment: This would cost the Nye County School District \$1.4 million and the loss of 15.5 positions. | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Impact | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
| Has Impact | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| School District: Pershing County School District Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent Comment: There are too many variables to estimate the fiscal impact. | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Impact | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
| Cannot Be Determined | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| School District: Storey County School District Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent Comment: Cost of incentive pay. | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Impact | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
| Cannot Be Determined | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| School District: Washoe County School District Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: Washoe County School District cannot determine the impact of this legislation as it is not clear as to whether the class size reduction money would be reallocated to compensation as described in the legislation. | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Impact | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
| Cannot Be Determined | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| School District: White Pine County School District Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: Eliminating the class size requirements for K- 3 would allow the District to reposition resources that would reduce class sizes at the secondary level while maintaining moderate class sizes K - 3 providing the current funding associated with CSR is not also eliminated. If the class size requirement went away in addition to the funding, then the District would have to reduce staff by approximately 7.75 positions to meet the change in fiscal resources. This fiscal note assumes that both the funding mechanism and the class size requirements are eliminated and reduction in force of 7.75 positions. | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Impact | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Future Biennia |
| Has Impact | \$0 | \$76,984 | \$76,984 | \$153,968 |

The following school districts did not provide a response: Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.