LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Date Prepared: March 28, 2015

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses A.B. 375 / BDR 34 - 806

School District: Carson City School District

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Carson City School District Comment: We already meet or can meet these obligations.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Clark County School District

Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO

Comment: CCSD does not expect impact due to the following:

- Section 1.1: This provision is enforceable by the school staff. All CCSD schools should have the restrooms and locker rooms (with showers in MS's and HS's) segregated by sex.
- Section 1.2: All schools have separate restrooms/locker rooms for each sex, including toilet accommodations for ADA requirements, where each single water closet is in a single-person-use stall, enclosed and separated by partitions.
- Section 1.3: CCSD have in our schools other restrooms and showers facilities, which can be used as follows:
- Most, if not all, schools have a Health Room which can provide access to a single-person-use bathroom and/or shower.
- The two newer generations of high schools have, in addition, a unisex facility in the lobby serving the Theater and/or the Gym.
- All MS and HS staff locker rooms/restrooms/showers/ can provide controlled use by persons whose biological sex is different from the pupil's biological sex.
- There may be a handful of exceptions to the availability of such accommodations in some older schools but would require individual school review and conversion of existing facilities to make the appropriate accommodation.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES

Comment: We would need to complete a thorough evaluation of each school site, and available facilities to determine what would be suitable and properly reflect the intentions of the BDR language in Section 1, items 1-3. If no proper areas are available, there will be some expense incurred to modify existing facility space into space compliant with the propose BDR language. Incorporation of the other items would be little to no impact in current settings for our district.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District

Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent

Comment: Cost of individual dressing stalls in locker rooms. Approximately \$50,00 per gymnasium. 4 gyms at \$200,000, with follow up install maintenance the following year.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$200,000	\$20,000	\$0

School District: **Lyon County School District**Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District

Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary

Comment: This could cost the Nye County School District in additional staff supervision if we

experience this situation in any school.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Determined				

School District: **Pershing County School District**

Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent

Comment: The district would have to make some facility modifications in some of the restrooms and locker rooms. The district would have to employ a full-time instructor for

delivery of instruction as outlined.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$70,000	\$66,500	\$68,000

School District: Storey County School District

Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent

Comment: Cost of full-time employee in rural districts.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: White Pine County School District

Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO

Comment: The District already complies with the requirements of this BDR.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District

Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs

Comment: Washoe County School District cannot determine the impact of this legislation in terms of additional bathroom facilities or litigation.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Determined				

The following school districts did not provide a response: Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.