

LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 29, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 330 / BDR 34 - 724

School District: Carson City School District Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Clark County School District Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO Comment: Most of the requirements in this bill draft already exist in CCSD schools. The bill has unfunded mandates requiring the NIAA to hire an additional hearing officer or create another level of appeal could result in an increase in membership dues. CCSD cannot accurately determine if and how much dues might increase, however, a 10% increase in dues would amount to \$12,100.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Douglas County School District Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES Comment: Douglas is a small district with just 1 high school for the entire Carson Valley and 1 high school for the Douglas County region at Lake Tahoe. This would appear to be a larger issue for more urban communities. It appears there could be the potential for significant costs at the State's Office when it comes to the "Director of the Department of Administration." It seems this could cause the need for many more hearings to be arranged. If not already underway, I would suggest that a fiscal note be requested of that department as well.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent Comment: No fiscal impact determined for Lincoln County SD. Transfers are governed by the transfer rule but also by the guardianship rule. The bill mentions nothing about the guardianship rule. Can they be living with anyone and be eligible to play? Lincoln CSD usually doesn't receive students in this remote area unless they are living with guardians so it would not entice students to transfer to LCSD and increase enrollment which impacts funding.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lyon County School District Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary Comment: The BDR doesn't specify who the hearing officer would be so if it's culled from local school district personnel, there would be costs associated with it.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Pershing County School District Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent Comment: This states the Director of the Department of Administration shall appoint a hearing officer.....however, it does not state who will pay for that officer (of late, their services are not free). Therefore, there could be an expense to the district if the charge is determined to be the district's, but that is not known at this time. Other items in the BDR should not involve a fiscal matter.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Storey County School District Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent Comment: Cost of appeal.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: White Pine County School District Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following school districts did not provide a response: Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.