LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 29, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses S.B. 364 / BDR S - 880

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director

Comment: No major fiscal impact as it appears all funding would be from state grants.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR S-880 would have a fiscal impact should the County decided to participate in the pilot program and apply for grant funds to provide financial assistance to pay the cost of childcare for certain eligible parents. The fiscal impact to the County would be the staff time required to apply for and manage the grant. Although the administrative costs for running the program may be billed to the grant, this would still create a manpower issue for our already over-burdened Social Services department.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: No Fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Douglas County

Approved by: Scott Morgan, Director

Comment: Douglas County is not interested in participating in the pilot program since we offer more cost-effective viable alternatives. Douglas County does not represent the typical geographic and economic diversity of the state. As a result the county would not be suitable for a pilot program. We're not sure what happens after the pilot has ended and only see this as increasing cost.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Liane Lee , Government Affairs Manager

Comment: There is fiscal impact to this proposed pilot program to provide financial assistance to certain persons to pay the cost of child care. Assumptions are made as to approximate administrative and operating costs.

Administration of a grant program of similar parameters in Washoe County in the approximate award amount of \$200,000 (assuming awards values to Clark County and rurals might comprise 83% of the funding estimate of \$1,200,000 annually) would approximate an annual operating cost to Washoe County of \$78,300 in year 1 and \$81,100 in year 2 of the biennium.

These operating costs would leave approximately \$121,700 in year 1 available for child care subsidy; and \$118,900 in year 2 available for child care subsidy of the proposed funding, assuming Washoe County's award is approximately 17% of the total state-wide funding proposed.

Administration of the proposed pilot would include approximately 10% of a program supervisor's oversight and recording of programmatic reporting requirements back to the State; approximately 30% of an eligibility specialist's contribution toward researching and verifying a potential client's income and program eligibility; and approximately 25% of an office support specialist's contribution toward recording program statistics and recordkeeping of programmatic documents. Additionally, there is the application of the County-wide overhead indirect rate which covers overhead costs of administration, bookkeeping, comptroller's fiscal recordkeeping oversight/payment of vendors, auditing, along with other County general overhead.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$78,300	\$81,100	\$0

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following counties did not provide a response: Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lincoln County, Lander County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.