LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 29, 2015

Agency Submitting:	Local Governr	nent
--------------------	---------------	------

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title **Deputy Fiscal Analyst**

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses S.B. 368 / BDR 24 - 885

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: After initial review, the City of Reno estimates that the provision requiring redistricting to create a sixth ward would necessitate the need for outside services of

approximately \$5,000.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No identifiable fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following city did not provide a response: City of North Las Vegas