LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 30, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title **Deputy Fiscal Analyst**

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses S.B. 302 / BDR 34 - 567

School District: Carson City School District

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: Every student lost to a private school would be a loss of per pupil revenue (\$6,630), and if handled like charter schools, a loss of "outside revenues"per pupil (\$1,007)as well. We are not currently receiving monies for the students attending private schools, so this would directly reduce general fund monies we receive, solely based on the kids that do attend Carson City School District. We believe there are approximately 300 resident children that attend private schools. This would reduce our general fund revenues by \$2,000,000 if it is only the per pupil amount, by \$2,300,000 if the "outside revenues" were considered as well. We would have to reduce staffing dramatically, with no change in our current enrollment. With current Class-Size Reduction laws, that would mean class sizes of 40 kids in the middle and high schools.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	(\$2,000,000)	(\$2,000,000)	(\$2,000,000)

School District: Clark County School District

Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO

Comment: CCSD expects effect in the amount of \$5,520 per pupil plus associated local funds

per student that chooses a private school.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District

Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent

Comment: All licensed private schools in Lincoln County are on-line. But we do have about 10 students who attend those on-line schools. If they are already enrolled in those on-line schools then Lincoln CSD currently does not get those funds. If grant money was awarded to those individuals but they are not enrolled in Lincoln CSD, then the money should not be deducted from the school district. Only if they are enrolled students on count day of the school district and funding was received to the school district, then they are approved for a grant to choose another school, should the money be deducted from the total apportionment to the school district.

Under section 16 of this bill, the amount of the grant must be deducted from the total apportionment to the resident school district of the child on whose behalf the grant is made doesn't make sense if the student is not enrolled in the local school district because the local SD didn't get the money in the first place.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000

School District: Lyon County School District

Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance

Comment: The impacts of BDR 34-567 will have significant impact depending on the number of students that will enroll in a private school. This voucher program will continue to take resources from the DSA fund that is already not sufficient to fund the current operations of the district.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District

Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary

Comment: Any loss in DSA due to lower student numbers will result in the loss of teachers & staff in addition to an increased staff to student ratio. Impact will depend on the number of student losses. Unable to determine impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Determined				

School District: Pershing County School District

Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent

Comment: This has the potential of reducing the district's overall revenue, but it cannot be determined as to how much since the number of students who might participate in it is unknown.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Storey County School District

Approved by: Robert Slaby , Superintendent

Comment: Reductions in DSA.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District

Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs

Comment: Washoe County School District cannot determine the cost to our district as we

cannot anticipate how many children would take advantage of this program.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: White Pine County School District

Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO

Comment: There are no private schools at this time in White Pine County so there would be no impact at this time. However, the impact would be similar to the opening or a charter school.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following school district did not provide a response: Churchill County School District, Douglas County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Elko County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.