

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 30, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2014-15</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2015-16</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**A.B. 403 / BDR 23 - 813**

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 23-813 presents an unfunded mandate on local law enforcement to put portable event recording devices on all uniformed officers. This legislation will have a significant fiscal impact initially to purchase the required equipment, develop policies and procedures, and provide training. There will also be an ongoing fiscal impact to purchase and replace devices, store data, and train employees. The estimated fiscal impact of the equipment (assuming a purchase price of \$400 per unit for the 40 sworn officers) would be \$16,000. The computer technology, storage and retrieval of the information would be very expensive to purchase, maintain and pay technical support and data management support staff. These costs are expected to be in excess of \$250,000 annually. The County currently maintains liability insurance for the law enforcement employees through the Nevada Public Agency Insurance Pool.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$266,000	\$254,000	\$254,000	\$254,000

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: The fiscal impact includes the initial and recurring costs to purchase cameras, licensing, and storage. The figures also include facility and IT build out costs as well as personnel costs to manage the camera program. The costs for LVMPD corrections officers (Detention) to wear a camera effects the County's Fund 2060. The costs for every LVMPD police officer to wear a camera effects the County through its 63% cost allocation of METRO's budget.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$6,818,065	\$4,873,405	\$9,746,810

City/County: **Douglas County**

Approved by: Paul Howell, Undersheriff

Comment: Cameras, storage for digital evidence, software for content management and video editing, and wifi system to download data will be initial start up at about 250K. FTE to maintain and support hardware and software is estimated at 75K per yer, and camera repair and replacement has a annual cost of 25K

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$325,000	\$100,000	\$102,500	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: The initial cost to equip all 422 sworn peace officers at the Washoe County Sheriff's Office with body worn cameras, including infrastructure (video cloud storage, hardware, software, licensing, maintenance) and personnel is \$1,017,746.

- 422 Watchguard Video, body-worn cameras @ \$895 = \$377,690
- The yearly data cloud storage costs for 422 cameras (full warranty and unlimited data storage) = \$79/mo/camera = \$33,338 /month = \$400,056/year
- Personnel: OA III for system management= \$75,000 / year  
Evidence Tech for FOIA requests=\$73,000 / year  
I.T. Developer for tech mgmt.= \$92,000 / year

Due to a growing demand for body-worn cameras nation wide, there is a backlog of requests for equipment and infrastructure. It is highly unlikely that law enforcement agencies can make the BDR-mandated deployment date of October 1, 2015.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$1,017,746	\$640,056	\$1,657,802

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: The portable event recording devices required for each officer and the server for storage of the recordings would increase equipment costs. All information would need to be securely stored with backup systems increasing IT costs. Anytime recordings need to be utilized they would need to be reviewed and information potentially redacted as it may relate to juveniles or other issues of law. This would result in increased salaries/benefits. Each peace officer would be required to be trained on the use of the devices and in communications increasing training costs. Each peace officer would be required to maintain liability insurance and pay for costs of investigations if wrong doing was identified. These costs, although paid by the officer, will be passed through to the County in the form of higher wages demanded to offset their expenses. The final result would be adverse financial impact to the County in the form of higher expenses.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$148,400	\$108,172	\$240,496

City/County: **City of Henderson**

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: Initial implementation of this legislation would create a fiscal impact to the City of Henderson of approximately \$212,000. \$141,000 of the total cost would be for the purchase of 40 terabytes of storage for electronic record keeping of video. The fiscal impact in the outer years of the analysis would be for additional/replacement cameras and storage.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$212,000	\$30,000	\$60,000

City/County: **City of Las Vegas**

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: No Impact

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Sparks**

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: It's estimated that equipping officers with such devices will have an initial up-front cost of about \$300,000. Future costs are unknown at this time, but are expected to potentially be significant considering repair, replacement, etc.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$300,000	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department**

Approved by: Rich Hoggan, Chief Financial Officers

Comment: Equipping all uniformed officers that have regular public contact will result in about \$3.6m in initial costs to equip officers that don't currently have a body camera, and will result in about \$2.6m in recurring expenditures for licenses and storage.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$3,648,341	\$2,561,933	\$5,123,000

School District: **Carson City School District**

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: No Impact

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Clark County School District</b> Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO Comment: CCSD is purchasing school officers body cameras in the current year.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$139,500	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Douglas County School District</b> Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES Comment: Douglas County School district currently has a Memorandum of Understanding in place with our local Sherriff's Office which requires the district to pay a portion of the overall cost of 2 full-time School Resource Officers. Those expenses may be influenced by this BDR. However, there is not sufficient information to determine or calculate the effects or to provide a meaningful or substantial submittal on behalf of the school district.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Lincoln County School District</b> Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent Comment: No fiscal impact. Lincoln County School District has no employees that are school resource officers.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Lyon County School District</b> Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Nye County School District</b> Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Pershing County School District</b> Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent Comment: Since the district does not employ any Peace Officers at this time, there is no fiscal impact.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Storey County School District</b> Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent Comment: There may be a cost if Supreme Court rules that teachers are really "policemen" in reporting child abuse.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Washoe County School District</b> Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: Washoe County School District estimates a cost to purchase the equipment and to store the footage for our school police department to comply with this proposed policy.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$60,000	\$30,000	\$60,000

**The following cities, counties and school districts did not provide a response:** Carson City , Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.