LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 30, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses A.B. 364 / BDR 7 - 696

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director

Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 7-696 would create a fiscal impact to Churchill County. Currently, the language in this bill states that a local agency ... "shall, to the extent practicable" and lists several requirements that force counties to use an IT company to facilitate communication between the internet and our DOS-based (ADS) systems. The expense of IT programming alone would be in the tens of thousands of dollars or more to set-up and maintain. Additionally, the word "practicable" is not defined, and causes concern as it is not clear how it will be interpreted in this bill.

Churchill County has already had issues with people establishing their business online through the State Business Portal and don't bother contacting the county. Although we have found some of these businesses by pulling reports from the internet and contacting them, the current methods create a lot of confusion for someone starting a business in our state, and have and will continue to cost the county in staff time to track down these businesses who didn't realize they had to obtain a business license or various permits from the local municipality.

With the mandates created with the existing language in this BDR, Churchill County is very concerned with the fiscal impacts. Estimates are difficult to determine at this time, without a quote from our IT company.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$80,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: As written, the bill will REQUIRE Clark County to integrate with the State's portal system. There will be an initial estimated cost of \$6,500,000 and on-going costs of \$500,000. This is a generic estimate based on the costs Business License incurred complying with SB 110 from the 2011 Legislative session. The fiscal impact will be associated with information technology costs such as programming/hardware/software/ongoing maintenance. However, since system requirements are unknown, a more specific estimate is unavailable.

PLEASE NOTE that if the LANGUAGE is AMENDED to make it OPTIONAL for local governments to integrate with the State's portal system, the fiscal impact for this bill can be reduced to \$0. If the County opts to integrate with the State's system, the Board of County Commissioners can approve an allocation for funding during the normal budget process. As such, this cost doesn't become an unfunded mandate.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$6,500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000

City/County: **Humboldt County** Approved by: Tami Spero, Clerk

Comment: There would be a fiscal impact. The language indicates a county may comply to level practicable, but who would be defining what level that is? This requires integration with the State system and would require our system to have certain data that it currently does not have. Discussion would need to occur with both the County Technology Dept and ADS to determine the full cost. Even if the State agreed to allow a time frame for full integration, ultimately there will be a cost for the County to comply. It might be necessary to review our business license fees to increase them to offset the cost. That will not assist in bringing in new businesses to the area. If this occurs a serious look would need to be done at combining both City and County licenses so our citizens would not have to pay twice. Please note that Humboldt County has begun the process to offer some cooperation with this State portal.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: This will require the County "to the extent practicable" to provide for integration with the state business portal, make it available on our website, provide for the acceptance of electronic signatures and provide for required security. Initially, this will require programming, website upgrades and hardware and software that will allow the County to accept electronic signatures. In future years this will require maintenance agreements on the website, hardware and software. Absent the specific requirements that are necessary to integrate with the state business portal, specific amounts cannot be determined. However, the outcome of this will be adverse financial impact to the County in the form of increased expense.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: The City of Henderson believes this legislation to be enabling and would not require a fiscal note. However, if the interpretation becomes that electronic integration with the Nevada Business Portal was mandatory then this legislation would then have a fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Carson City School District

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: Posting jobs on the state website would likely take some manhours for setup and

then maintenance thereafter by our HR and IT departments.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$5,000

School District: Clark County School District

Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO Comment: CCSD does not expect impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District

Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent

Comment: Doesn't appear to apply to school districts because of no business license. No

fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lyon County School District

Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District

Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Pershing County School District**

Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent

Comment: We try to do this now, but with existing staff do not have time to do it. We would need to either extend some contract times and/or hire some additional staff support.

 Impact
 FY 2014-15
 FY 2015-16
 FY 2016-17
 Future Biennia

 Has Impact
 \$0
 \$25,000
 \$25,000
 \$28,000

School District: Storey County School District

Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent Comment: Possible reduction in funds for DSA?

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District

Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: White Pine County School District

Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities, counties and school districts did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Churchill County School District, Douglas County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.