

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 31, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 395 / BDR 11 - 530

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: No major fiscal impact.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: No fiscal impact.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: No negative fiscal impact. Will generate revenues to be accounted for in a special revenue fund and used to promote wedding tourism (expense) in Clark County. Currently approximately 80,000 marriage licenses are issued annually. At \$14/license this will generate \$1,120,000				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Tami Spero, Clerk Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager Comment: This BDR will only have a fiscal impact if the Board of County Commissioners votes to impose the additional fee authorized in section 56 of this BDR.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: Increasing the definition of marriage has the potential to increase marriage licenses issued and recorded and potential civil litigation for divorces. This would increase workload and County costs. It would be an adverse impact to the County and an exact amount cannot be determined. This also provides the opportunity for the County to impose a \$14 per marriage license fee with funds used to promote marriage tourism. With the size of our County this would not generate large revenues. Total amounts would be minimal but would be positive to the County. With the offsetting provisions the County would have additional costs and potentially additional revenues. However, those revenues could not be used to offset the additional costs. There is impact and the end result would most likely be in the form of higher costs to the County.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Esmeralda County, Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Lyon County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.