LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 1, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses A.B. 396 / BDR 39 - 820

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: Bill 39-820 requires the County to pay for the examination and treatment of certain uninsured persons who may need mental health assistance. Furthermore, if the patient is indigent, the County would be responsible for the treatment cost associated with their illness. The financial burden could be significant depending on the number of qualified individuals and the required treatment necessary. On average an evaluation is \$1,000.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: Fiscal impact unknown but might be significant. Section 6 of the bill proposes both a second opinion and primary opinion by a doctor not affiliated with mental institution. Existing law requires the County to pay for the primary opinion only for indigent persons which is rendered by doctor affiliated with the mental institution. Because there are no existing data to use, estimating the fiscal impact is unknown.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Determined				

City/County: **Humboldt County**Approved by: Tami Spero, Clerk

Comment: This would have an impact but currently these evaluations are handled by the Court so I don't know that it would impact the Indigent Budget. This is not something that happens often but I suppose it the need increases the Court could request that the indigent budget cover the costs as the recipients are w/o income generally. The Public Administrator/DA would have costs associated with this.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: There is a fiscal impact to this proposed change that cannot be determined with any level of accuracy, as the potential population presenting under the conditions described for an undetermined level of medical evaluation, subsequent hospitalization and or treatment is unknown.

The proposed changes would result in costs for medical evaluations and procedures, treatment and hospitalization that would be anticipated to be paid by the County government, offset by eligible Medicaid, Medicare or private insurance reimbursements.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: This will require the County to pay for additional medical exams and treatments for persons alleged with mental illness. The exact amount number of persons involved and the amount which the County will be charged for each of these persons cannot be accurately estimated at this time. However, the outcome will be adverse financial impact to the County in the form of increased expense.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: This could potentially be a fiscal impact to the City of Henderson if the definition of community based program extends to the programs offered by the Henderson Police Department. At this time the cost cannot be calculated due to unknown volumes and whether the legislation would apply to the City of not.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities and counties did not provide a response: Carson City, Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Pershing County, Nye County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.